# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2013-13678

Issue No.: 6027

Case No.: Hearing Date:

County:

April 17, 2013 Kent County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Corey A. Arendt

### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400. 9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Apr il 17, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included and Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included

#### ISSUE

Did the Department properly process t Development Care (CDC) application?

he Claimant's Septem ber 17, 2012 Child

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- On September 17, 2012, the Claimant faxed to the DHS Services office a CDC application.
- The DHS Services employee who received the fax is now retired.
- 3. The DHS did not process the CDC application or forward the application to the appropriate DHS AP office.
- 4. On October 12, 2012, the Claimant submitted a second CDC application.
- 5. On November 2, 2012, the D epartment processed and approved the Claimant's October 12, 2012 CDC application.

6. On November 5, 2012, the Claim ant requested a hearing protesting the handing of the September 17, 2012 CDC application.

#### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The CDC program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of F ederal Regulations, Pa rts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.50 01 through Rule 400.5015.

Anyone may request or apply for assistance in any local offic e in Michigan. The application must be processed by the local office serving the county or district where the person lives or is institutionalized. (BAM 110).

If a client chooses to complete an application and turns it in at an office which will not process the application, the De partment must accept and register it as an application and promptly mail it to the correct office so the transfer-in office may act within the standard of promptness. (BAM 110).

In this case, there was no dis pute as to the earlier September 17, 2012 application and the fact the application was submitted to a DHS office which would not process the application. The DHS employee, who rece ived the application, s hould have accepted the CDC applic ation and r egistered it and then promptly maile d it to the correct office. There was no evidence of this being done. Therefore, I am reversing the Department in this matter as they did not properly handle the September 17, 2012 CDC application.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the r ecord, finds that the Department did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's CDC decision is **REVERSED**.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate a redetermination as to the Claimant's eligibility for CDC benefits beginning September 17, 2012 and issue retroactive benefits if otherwise eligible and qualified.

Corey A. Arendt
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 18, 2013

Date Mailed: April 18, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address ot her relevant iss ues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings

Recons ideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## CAA/las

