STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	THE	MAT	TER	OF:

		Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	201313178 3008 January 2, 2013 Kent
ADMINISTR	ATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully		
	HEARING DECI	SION	
and MCL 4 telephone he on behalf of	is before the undersigned Administrat 00.37 following Claimant's request earing was held on January 2, 2013, Claimant included rices (Department) included	for a hearing. from Lansing, Mi	After due notice, a
	<u>ISSUE</u>		
	ailure to comply with the verificationdeny Claimant's application ☑ close		
Food As	ndependence Program (FIP)? sistance Program (FAP)? Assistance (MA)?	-	ent and Care (CDC)?
	FINDINGS OF F	ACT	
	strative Law Judge, based upon the the whole record, including testimony		•
1.	Claimant ☐ applied for ☒ was re☐CDC.	ceiving: FIP	∏FAP ∏MA ∏SDA
2.	Claimant ⊠ was □ was not (DHS-1010).	provided with	a Redetermination
3.	Claimant was required to s	submit requeste	d verification by

4.	On October 2, 2012, the Department \square denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case \square reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.				
5.	On October 2, 2012, the Department sent notice of the \square denial of Claimant's application. \boxtimes closure of Claimant's case. \square reduction of Claimant's benefits.				
6.	On November 20, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial. \boxtimes closure. \square reduction.				
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges nual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).				
Responsibility 42 USC 601, Agency) adm	Ily Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal y and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 e 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ober 1, 1996.				
program] is implemented Regulations	d Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ninisters FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 400.3015.				
Security Act The Departm	ical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). nent (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.				
for disabled pas the Famil	e Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known y Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL eq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.				
and XX of the 1990, and the	Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE ne Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of e Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98				

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly closed Claimant's case. denied Claimant's application. reduced Claimant's benefits.
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \boxtimes did act properly. \square did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
/s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: January 3, 2013

Date Mailed: January 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:

 the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

CC:

