
STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES



Reg No.: 2013-13161

Issue No.: 2009,

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 14, 2013

Wayne County DHS (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was conducted from Detroit, Mi chigan on February 14, 2013. Claimant appeared and testified.

Authorized Hearing Represent ative, also appeared on his behalf.

Medical Contact Worker, appeared on behalf of the Department of Human Service s ("Department").

After the hearing was concluded the Author ized Hearing Repres entative received and provided to the undersigned a fully favorable disability determination from the Social Security Administration ("SSA") showing a disability onset date of August 2012.

ISSUE

Whether the Department proper ly determined that the Claimant was not disabled for purposes of the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") benefit program?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- The Claimant submitt ed an application for public assistance seeking MA-P benefits and retro MA-P benefits on August 16, 2012.
- 2. On September 29, 2012, the Medical Review Team ("MRT") found the Claimant not disabled.

- 3. The Department notified the Claimant of the MRT determination on Nov ember 15, 2012.
- 4. On November 20, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's timely written request for hearing.
- 5. On January 23, 2013 the State Hear ing Review T eam ("SHRT") found the Claimant not disabled.
- 6. Subsequently, the SSA found the Claimant disabled with a disability onset date of August 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance program is established by Subchapter XIX of Chapter 7 of The Public Health & Welfare Act, 42 USC 1397, and is administered by the Department of Human Services, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, pursuant to MCL 400.10 *et seq* and MCL 400.105. Department polic ies are found in the Bridge's Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligib ility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Tables ("RFT").

A previous ly denied MA application is treated as a pending application when MRT determined the Claim ant was not disabled and subs equently, the SSA determines that the Claimant is entitled to SSI based on his disability/blindness for some, or all, of the time covered by the denied MA application. BEM 260 All eligibility factors must be met for each month MA is authorized. BEM 260

In this case, the SSA approved the Claim ant for social security benefits with the disability onset date of August 2012. Based on the favorable SSA determination, it is not neces sary for the Admin istrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability pursuant to BEM 260.

In this case, the Claimant is found disabled for purposes of the MA-P program based on the SSA approval.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law finds that the Claimant meets the definition of medically disabled for purposes of the MA-P and SDA benefit programs.

2013-13161/LMF

Accordingly, it is ORDERED:

- 1. The Department's determination that the Claimant was not disabled is REVERSED.
- The Department shall in itiate processing of (if not previously done so) the August 16, 2012 applicati on, to include all applicable retroactive months (June 2012) and determine if all other nonmedical c riteria are met and inform the Claimant of the determination in accordance with department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris

Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 28, 2013

Date Mailed: March 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

2013-13161/LMF

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re

consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

cc: