STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-12997 3008 January 23, 2013 Wayne (19)			
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane					
HEARING DECISION					
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on J anuary 23, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participant on behalf of Claimant inclu ded the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included This ALJ made repeated attempts to contact the Washtenaw County DHS office to no avail.					
<u>ISSUE</u>					
Did the Departm ent properly $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)?☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?			
FINDINGS OF FACT					
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as mater	he competent, mater ial fact:	ial, and substantial			
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ⊠ received benefits for:					
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).	State Disability	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).			

2.	On November 1, 2012, the Department ☐ denied Claimant's application ☐ closed Claimant's case due to noncooperation with Work First.					
3.	On September 24, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.					
4.	On October 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \square denial of the application. \boxtimes closure of the case.					
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW					
	epartment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).					
∑ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.						
☐ The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) program] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.						
☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independenc e Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 400.105.						
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .					
for Se pro	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ervices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through alle 400.3180.					

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establishhed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL				
400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.				
Additionally, at the hearing t he Claimant testified that she had been working with Work First but then she was evicted from her home. She passed th is information on to the Department and the Department failed to respond according to policy.				
On October 1, 2012, the Department held a triage and found no good cause for the Claimant's non-attendance.				
The Claimant told the Department personnel at the triage that she was homeless.				
Unplanned Event or Factor				
Credible information indicates an unplanned event or factor which lik ely prevents or si gnificantly interferes with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities. Unplanned events or factors incl ude, but are not limited to, the following:				
Domestic violence. Health or safety risk. Religion. Homelessness. Jail. Hospitalization. (233A, p.5-6; November 1, 2012).				
Here, the Cla imant testified to informing the Department of her being homeless and requested a deferment from Work First.				
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Co nclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department				
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ properly closed Claimant's case □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case 				
for:				

DECISION AND ORDER

	ns stated on the record, find	e Findings of Fact and Conclusions is that the Department
Accordingly, the Departmis ☐ AFFIRMED ☒ RE\	nent's \square AMP $oxtimes$ FIP \square FA/ERSED for the reasons sta	NP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC decision ted on the record.
	IS ORDERED TO DO THE I	FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF DRDER:

1. Initiate reinstatement of the Claimant's FIP back to the closure date of Nov ember 1, 2012, and supplement for any missed benefits.

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 22, 2013

Date Mailed: April 22, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

2013-12997/MJB

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

MJB/cl

