STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:		
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 1283 2009 January 16, 2013 Oakland (04)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris		
SETTLEMENT ORDER		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on January 16, 2013, from Pontiac, Michigan. Participants or behalf of Claimant included The Claimant did not appear. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included		
ISSUE		
Whether the Department properly:		
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits ☐ failed to process the Claimant's application for N	Medical Assistand	ce and Disability
for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐	State Disability A	ssistance (SDA)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On February 3, 2012 the Claimant, through her AHR, filed an application and retro application with the Department for Medical Assistance which the Department: denied Claimant's application for benefits closed Claimant's case for benefits reduced Claimant's benefits failed to process
	under the following program(s):
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.
2.	On October 4, 2012, the Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative filed a timely request for hearing concerning the Department's action.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, SC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ph Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program we October 1, 1996.
progra impler Regul Agend	ne Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) am] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.
Secur The [ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and by 1999 AC, Rule 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action as the Department conceded that it never processed the 2/3/12 application for MA-P and retro application filed on the Claimant's behalf and further confirmed that its SOLQ for the Claimant indicated that Claimant was deemed eligible for SSI by SSA as of June 1993. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: the Department agreed to re-register and process the 2/3/12 Medical Assistance and disability application and retro application (November 2011) and determine eligibility. The Department's records indicate that the Claimant is eligible for SSI as of June 1993.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. The Department shall initiate re-registration of the Claimant's 2/3/12 application for MA-P and retro MA-P and shall process the application to determine eligibility consistent with Claimant's eligibility for SSI as of June 1993.

Lynn M. Ferris`
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 4, 2013

Date Mailed: February 4, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

