STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.:	2013-12767
Issue No.:	2015
Case No.:	
Hearing Date:	April 24, 2013
County:	Wayne (76)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 24, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Medical Contact Worker/Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly \Box deny Claimant's application \boxtimes close Claimant's case for:

i	\sim	

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for benefits received benefits for:



Family Independence Program (FIP).

Food Assistance Program (FAP). Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

2. On November 13, 2012, the Department

denied Claimant's application \boxtimes closed Claimant's case

due to the fact that Claimant was no longer the parent of a minor child residing in the home.

- 3. On November 13, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. 🛛 closure.
- 4. On November 16, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \Box denial of the application. \Box closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

 \boxtimes The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, at the hearing it was established that Claimant received Medicaid benefits in the Medicaid program entitled Other Healthy Kids (OHK). When Claimant's daughter became nineteen years old and was no longer living in Claimant's home, the Department terminated Claimant's OHK benefits. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 131 (2010).

Other Healthy Kids (OHK) is a Medicaid program for families with children who meet all Id. Accordingly, it is found and determined that when other MA requirements. Claimant's daughter became ineligible, Claimant also became ineligible for OHK benefits. It is found and determined that the Department acted correctly in terminating Claimant's OHK benefits in November, 2012. The Department is AFFIRMED.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

\bigtriangledown	

properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case

| improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case

for: \square AMP \square FIP \square FAP \square MA \square SDA \square CDC.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department \square did act properly. \square did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Jan -lone

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>April 25, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>April 25, 2013</u>

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
 of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

