## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue Nos.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-12419 2013, 3015, 4011 February 13, 2013 Wayne (35)
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Levent	er	
HEARING DI	ECISION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request was held on February 13, 2013, at Redfor Claimant included Claimant, her ex-husband Bush, and her Authorized Representative Participants on behalf of (Department) included Workers.	for a hearing. After of the depth of the dep	due notice, a hearing cipants on behalf of epresentative, Writer
ISSU	Ē	
Did the Department properly $igtiez$ deny Claima for:	nt's application 🛛 c	lose Claimant's case
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS C	F FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t evidence on the whole record, finds as materia 1. Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ⊠ receive	ıl fact:	rial, and substantial
<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li> </ul>	State Disability      A     State Disability      A     State Disability      A     State Disability      A     State Disability      State Disab	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).

[Insert.]/[Insert.]

documentation.

2. On November 1, 2012, the Department ⊠ denied Claimant's application ⊠

3. On November 8, 2012, the Department sent ☐ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ☐ denial. ☐ closure.		
<ol> <li>On November 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the</li></ol>		
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Feder Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Ru 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.		
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Soci Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC 400.105.		
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistant for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Huma Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SD program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.		
Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusion of law are entered in this cas		

Closed Claimant's case

due to a determination that Claimant did not provide adequate income

The Claimant in this case submitted page 2 of a four-page bank statement. The statement indicates there are two joint accounts, both in the names of Claimant and her ex-husband. Considering this document, it is impossible to determine whose income is

accounts at Chase Bank. Dept. Exh. 1, p. 19.

Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400, "Assets," requires the Department to consider the customer's financial assets when determining eligibility for benefits and the benefit level to be awarded. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 400 (2012). This policy is applicable to this case. The Claimant has two joint checking

## [Insert.]/[Insert.]

whose, without further information and without the missing three pages of the bank statement. The Claimant did not present the Department with further information regarding these two assets. It was not presented to the factfinder at the hearing in this case.

Bridges Administrative Manual 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," requires the Department to determine eligibility, provide benefits and protect client rights. It also requires the client to cooperate fully with the Department's requests for information and documentation. Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2012). Applying BAM 105 to this case, it is found and determined that the Claimant failed to provide the Department with sufficient information to determine her assets. Without such information the Department was unable to determine what assets Claimant had. In the absence of accurate information about assets, the Department could not calculate eligibility or benefit levels. Accordingly, it is found and determined that the Department was correct in its termination of Claimant's FAP benefits and its denial of MA and SDA benefits to Claimant.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\boxtimes$  MA  $\boxtimes$  SDA  $\square$  CDC. **DECISION AND ORDER** The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly. Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record. OF 1. Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Date Signed: February 15, 2013

Department of Human Services

Date Mailed: February 15, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

