## STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | $2013-12115$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 2009 |
| Case No.: |  |
| Hearing Date:  <br> County: Oarch 21,2013 <br>  Oakland (63-02) |  |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jonathan W. Owens

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 21, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

## ISSUE

Whether the Department properly determined that Claimant is not "disabled" for purposes of the Medical Assistance (MA-P) program?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On August 24, 2012, Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA.
2. On October 17, 2012, the Medical Review Team denied Claimant's request.
3. On November 14, 2012, Claimant submitted to the Department a request for hearing regarding her MA denial. No request for hearing regarding the SDA denial was filed.
4. The State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) denied Claimant's request.
5. Claimant is 57 years old.
6. Claimant completed education through high school.
7. Claimant has employment experience (last worked February 2013) delivering newspapers earning \$60 to \$100 a week.
8. Claimant's limitations have lasted for 12 months or more.
9. Claimant suffers from major depression recurrent moderate, dysthymic disorder, anxiety, alcohol abuse, chronic headaches, hypertension, pulmonary nodules and emphysema (moderate).
10. Claimant has significant limitations on physical activities involving sitting, standing, walking, bending, lifting, and stooping.
11. Claimant has significant limitations on understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions; use of judgment; responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and dealing with changes in a routine work setting.
12. Claimant is 5 '2 and weighs between $87-90 \mathrm{lbs}$.
13. On January 22, 2013, the Social Security Administration found Claimant met the disability requirements for RSDI as of March 10, 2011.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

MA-P is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers MA-P pursuant to MCL 400.10 et seq. and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per BEM, Item 260.

The Department is required to initiate a determination of Claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done, beginning August 2012. No request for retro MA benefits had been made.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that Claimant is medically disabled under the MA program as of August 2012.

Accordingly, the Department is hereby REVERSED and the Department is ORDERED to open an ongoing MA case for Claimant effective August 2012 ifotherwise eligible.
Mathen Quenzen
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 25, 2013
Date Mailed: March 25, 2013
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. ( 60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
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