STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

7115	 	
	 	R OF

		_

Reg. No.: 2013-11845

Issue No.: 2000

Case No.:

Hearing Date: February 13, 2013

County: Wayne (35)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

SETTLEMENT ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on February 13, 2013, at Redford, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant and his Authorized Representative, Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Medical Contact Worker.

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly:	
□ denied Claimant's application for benefits □ closed Claimant's case for benefits □ reduced Claimant's benefits	
for:	
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)? ☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On November 1, 2012, the Department:

	 ☑ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits 		
	under the following program(s):		
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.		
2.	On September 17, 2012, the Department sent notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the:		
	□ denial □ closure □ reduction.		
3.	On November 9, 2012, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
Eligibi	tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).		
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.			

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: determine Claimant's eligibility for MA based on the U.S. Social Security Administration approval of Claimant for Social Security disability benefits as of the onset date of May 30, 2011; provide MA benefits as appropriate.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING WITHIN TENDAYS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ORDER:

- Determine Claimant's eligibility for MA benefits based on the Social Security Administration approval of Claimant for Social Security RSDI benefits with an onset date of May 30, 2011.
- 2. Provide Claimant with MA benefits at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 3. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge

for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Jan

Date Signed: February 15, 2013
Date Mailed: February 15, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

JL/tm

