STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2013-114 2009; 4031

January 2, 2013 Jackson

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Ad request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi which gov ern the administrative hearing a telephone hearing was commenced on January 2, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Human Services (Department) included and Eligibility Specialist

ISSUE

Did the Department of Human Services (DHS) pr operly deny Claimant 's Medic al Assistance (MA), Retro-MA and State Disability Assistance (SDA) application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On August 8, 2012, Cla imant filed an application for MA, Retro-MA and SDA benefits, alleging disability.
- (2) On September 11, 2012, the M edical Review T eam (MR T) denied Claimant's application indicating she was c apable of performing other work, pursuant to 20 CFR 416. 920(f). SDA was denied due to lack of duration. (Department Exhibit A, pp 1-2).
- (3) On September 17, 2012, the department caseworker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On September 21, 2012, Claim ant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.

- (5) On October 25, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) found Claimant was not disabled. (Department Exhibit B, pp 1-2).
- (6) At the time of the hearing, Claimant was 52 years old with a birth date; was 5'9" in height and weighed 154 pounds.
- (7) Claimant has a high school equivalent education.
- (8) Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Security disability benefits at the time of the hearing.
- (9) Claimant has a nicotine abuse problem smoking half a pack of cigarettes a day. Claimant does not drink and does not have a drug abuse problem.
- (10) Claimant has a valid driver's license but is unable to drive at night.
- (11) Claimant is not currently working. She last worked in October, 2011, as a home health care provider for ten years and prior to that, as a janitor for six years.
- (12) Claimant alleges disability on the basis of osteoarthritis, chronic pain, lumbago, obesity, calcaneal spur, lateral epicondylitis, and anxiety.
- (13) Claimant's treating physician c ompleted a residual functional capacity questionnaire indicating Claimant is physically incapable of working an 8 hour day, 5 days a week job on a sust ained basis, based on her diagnosis of lumbago, calcaneal spur, osteoarthritis and obesity.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disa bility or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901). DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

... the inability to do any subs tantial gainful activity by reason of any medically dete rminable physical or mental impairment which c an be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require t hat several considerations be analyzed in s equential order:

... We follow a set order to determine whether you are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further. 20 CF R 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed im pairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?

2013-114/VLA

5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consi ders the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

... You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an im pairment(s) and how seve re it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

Medical reports should include --

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not al one establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which show that you have a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a). T he medical evidenc e must be complete and detailed enough to allow us to mak e a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) **Sy mptoms** are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic al or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be obs erved, apart from your

statements (symptoms). Si gns must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic t echniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indic ate s pecific ps ychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalit ies of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must al so be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.

(c) Laboratory findings are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be s hown by the use of a medically accept able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic techniques include chemical tes ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sources may also help us to understand how your impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e). You can only be found disabled if yo u are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result from anatomical, physiologic al, or psychological abnormalities which are demons trable by medically acc eptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analys is herein, Claimant is not ine ligible at the first step as Claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). This second step is a *de min imus* standard. Ruling a ny ambiguities in Claimant's favor, this Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) finds that Claimant meets both. The analysis continues.

The third step of the analysis looks at whether an individual meets or equals one of the Listings of Impairments. 20 CFR 416.920(d). Claimant does not. The analys is continues.

The fourth step of the analysis looks at the ab ility of the ap plicant to return to past relevant work. This step ex amines the physical and mental demands of the work done by Claimant in the past. 20 CF R 416.920(f). In this case, th is ALJ finds that Claimant cannot return to past relevant work on the bas is of the medical evidence. The analysis continues.

The fifth and final step of the analysis applies the biographical data of the applic ant to the Medical Vocational Grids to determine the residual functional capacity of the applicant to do other work. 20 CFR 416.920(g). After a careful review of the credible and substantial evidence on the whole record, this Administrative Law Judge finds that Claimant could not do a full range of sedent ary work pursuant to Medical Vocational Grid Rule Footnote 201.00(h) due to multiple impair ments and her partial paralysis in her left arm and left leg and dependence on her walker which is documented by the medical evidence.

In this case, Claimant's treating physician opined that Claimant is unable to work at this time based on her osteoarthritis, lumbago, c alcaneal spur, and obesity. This evidence, as already noted, does rise to statutory disability.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the department's actions were incorrect.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **REVERSED**.

The department is ORDERED to make a determination if Claimant meets the non-medical criteria for the MA, Retro-MA and SDA programs. If so, the department is ORDERED to open an MA case from the date of application, including any retro months if eligible, and issue supplemental benefits to claimant.

The department is ORDERED to review t his case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

<u>/s/</u>

Vicki L. Armstrong Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Administrative

Date Signed: January 23, 2013

Date Mailed: January 23, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical erro r, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

VLA/las

CC:

