STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg.No. 2013 11283 Issue No. 1038

Issue No. 1 Case No.

Hearing Date: January 17, 2013

Wayne County DHS (18)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the c laimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 17, 2013. The Claimant appeared and testified.

Jet Case Manager, Jet Coordinator, FIM appeared on behalf of the Department.

Triage Coordinator from the Michigan Works Program, appeared as a witness on behalf of the Department.

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department correctly sanc tioned and closed the Claimant's cash assistance (FIP) for noncompliance with work-related activities without good cause.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. The Claimant was an ongoing participant of the Work First program and was an ongoing recipient of FIP benefits.
- The Claimant did not meet the conditions of her re-engagement letter to appear at Work First of November 5, 2012 to provide the program copies of her weekly participation assignments.
- 3. The Department sent a notice of noncompliance to the Claimant on November 7, 2012. The Notice scheduled a triage for November 15, 2012. Exhibit 1.
- 4. The Claimant did attend the triage. At the triage the Department found no good cause.

- 5. The Department sent a Notice of Ca se Action on Nov ember 7, 2012 closing the Claimant's FIP case for 3 months effective December 1, 2012.
- 6. The Claimant requested a hearing on November 15, 2012 protesting the c losure of her FIP cash assistance case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 60 1, et seq. The Department of Human Services ("D HS" or "Department"), formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the FIP program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq and Michigan Administrative Code Rules 400.3101-3131. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM"), and the Bridges Reference Manual ("BRM").

DHS requires clients to participat e in employment and self-sufficiency related activities and to ac cept employment when offered. BEM 233A All Work E ligible Individuals ("WEI") as a condition of e ligibility must engage in employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities. BEM 233A The WEI is considered non-compliant for failing or refusing to appear and participate with the Jobs, Education, and Training Program ("JET") or other employment service provider. BEM 233A Good cause is a valid reason for noncompliance with employment and/or self-sufficiency related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the noncompliant person. BEM 233A Failure to comply without good cause results in FIP closure. BEM 233A The first and second oc currences of non-compliance result in a 3 and 6 month FIP closure respectively. BEM 233A The third occurrence results in a Lifetime sanction.

JET participants will not be te rminated from a JET program without first scheduling a triage meeting with the client to jointly discuss noncompliance and good cause. BEM 233A In processing a FIP closure, the Department is required to send the client a notice of non-compliance, DH S-2444, which must include the date(s) of the noncompliance; the reason the client was determined to be non-compliant; and the penalty duration. BEM 233A In addition, a triage must be held within the negative action period. BEM 233A A good cause determination is made during the triage and prior to the negative action effective date. BEM 233A. However, a failure to participate can be overcome if the client has good cause. Good cause is a valid reason for failing to participate with employment and/or self-sufficiency-related activities that are based on factors that are beyond the control of the claimant. BEM 233A. The penalty for noncompliance is FIP closure.

BEM 233A provides direction to the Depar tment as follows when determining goo d cause:

Clients must comply with tri age requirement and provide go od cause verific ation within the negative action period. Determine good cause based on the best information available during the triage and prior to the negative action date. Good cause may be verified by information already on file with DHS or the work participation program. BEM 233A, page 8.

In this case, the Claimant was assigned to attend Work First and appeared at Work First with her t wo-year-old child. The Claimant was sent away by the program because children are not allowed and the Claimant was re-engaged by the program that day. As part of the re-engagement, the Claimant was to appear at Work First on November 5, 2012 and provide the program her assignments for the week of October 29, 2012. The Claimant did not appear or call the program to advise she could not attend the appointment.

The Department presented a witness, an employee of the Work First program, whose credible testimony supported the Department's actions fi nding the Claim ant in non-compliance without good cause. The Claim ant essentially did not contact the program because her phone was shut off and did not request transportation to Work First prior to the appoint ment which she missed. Overa II it did appear that the Claimant did not make sufficient efforts to assure c ompliance with the Work First requirements or, in the alternative, seek assistance with transportation or a deferral due to her ankle.

The evidence presented demonstrated that the Department held a triage and that at the triage the Department determined that the Claimant had faile d to meet her week ly participation requirements and correctly determined that good cause was not established. The Department correctly found no good cause and in stituted closure of the Claimant's FIP case. The Claimant's inaction with regar d to attending Work First and not communicating with the program caused the sanction to be properly imposed.

Based of the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the testimony of witnesses and the documentary evidence received, the Department has demonstrated that it correctly followed and applied Department policy in c losing and sanctioning the Claimant's FIP case for noncompliance without good cause and imposing a 3 month sanction. BEM 233A.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department correctly closed the Claimant's cash assistance FIP case,

2013 11283 /LMF

and correctly impos ed a 3 month sancti on closing the Claimant's case for noncompliance with work-related activities for non-participation with the Work First program. Accordingly, the Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

Lynn M. Ferris` Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 25, 2013 Date Mailed: January 25, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision.
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Re

consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

