## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES				
IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013-10651 2017 April 24, 2013 Wayne (15)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on Administrative Law Judge Michael Bennane. included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of (Department) included Eligibility States.	for a hearing.  from Detroi Participants on the Department	After due notice, a t, Michigan, before behalf of Claimant		
On June 7, 2013, the case was reassigned to Act for preparation of a decision and order.	ministrative Law	Judge Jan Leventer		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Department properly $\square$ deny Claimant's application $\boxtimes$ close Claimant's case for:				
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:				
<ol> <li>Claimant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received benefits for:</li> </ol>				
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☐ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>		ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).		

2.	On December 1, 2012, the Department   denied Claimant's application   closed Claimant's case due to excess income.
3.	On October 24, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On October 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Se The Age	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.
inc lim and and Mo	ditionally, the Department of Human Services has a policy that only certain low-ome families are qualified for assistance with their Medicare premiums. The income it for a family of two persons is published in Reference Table (RFT) 242, "AD-Care di Medicare Savings Program Income Limits." There are three Tables in RFT 242, dit is Table 1, "AD-Care and Full-Coverage QMB [Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries] on the Income Limits, that applies to Claimant. Department of Human Services ference Tables (RFT) 242 (2012).
\$1, the Acc	T 242 states that the income limit for receiving assistance with Medicare premiums is 261. <i>Id.</i> Claimant's monthly income is \$2,239, which is clearly a higher amount than maximum allowed income of \$1,261. Redetermination, September 30, 2012, p. 2. cordingly, the Department acted correctly in closing Claimant's Medicare premium assistance, and shall be affirmed in this case.
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ted on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
_	properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for:	: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ightharpoonup did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan Genty
Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services
Date Signed: June 19, 2013
Date Mailed: June 20, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

JL/tm

CC: