#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: 2013 10590 3002

January 3, 2013 Wayne County DHS (19)

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

# HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 3, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included

### <u>ISSUE</u>

Due to excess income, did the Department properly deny the Claimant's applic ation close Claimant's case income claimant's benefits for:

L	
$\geq$	$\langle$

Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Medical Assistance (MA)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

- State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
- Child Development and Care (CDC)?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Cla imant applied for benefits for: received benefits for:

imes

Family Independence Program (FIP). Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

- 2. On December 1, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application
  Closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits
  due to excess income.
- On October 23, 2012, the Department sent
  □ Claimant □ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the □ denial. □ closure. □ reduction.
- 4. On October 25, 2012, Claimant or Claimant's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the

denial of the application.	closure of the case.	$\boxtimes$ reduction of benefits.
----------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------------

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*.

☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq*. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [for merly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

☐ The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) progr am, which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) admini sters the SDA program pursuant to M CL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Ch	ild Devel	opment and	I Care (CDC	C) program is	establis he	d by Titles	IVA, IVE
and XX of	the Soc	ial Security	Act, the Ch	ild Care and	d Developm	ent Block	Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. T he Department provides servic es to adult s and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, after a thorough review of the FAP budget prepared by the Department, it is determined that the Department incorrectly calculated the earned inc ome amount of \$998 included in the budget bas ed upon the two weekly check s tubs received from the Claimant. Exhibit 7

Additionally the Claim ant credibly testified t hat his employment was seasonal, the las t time he worked was September 2012 and that he advised hi s worker at the time he provided the check stubs to her that his employment was seasonal, that he was no longer working, and that the business was shut down for the season. Based on this testimony, the Claimant's employer at the time the veri fication of employment to establish the Claimant was no longer wor king was s ent was closed and no respons e was received by the Department. The Claimant indicated at the hearing that he would attempt to obtain his last date worked from the employer based on a recent contact phone number given to him by another employee as the business is clos ed. If he is unsuccessful in obtaining the information, the Department sha II include no earned income for December benefits and shall re calculate the Clai mant's FAP benefit s including only unearned income from RSDI in the amount of \$732. The Claimant's income for September was a one-time income due to an emergency with his rent and is not recurring or cont inuing. T he Claimant is dis abled per receipt of RSDI and he credibly testified that he worked to avoid eviction.

It should be noted that the FAP benefits of the Claimant may still be red uced after the recalculation ordered herein, as prior to the December 2012 reduction, the Claimant's benefits were computed as if no income wa now is rec eiving RSDA and SSI and the St income must be included in the FAP calculat ion and may result in a lower benefit amount than the \$200 previously received by the Claimant.

Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La income, the Department properly w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department properly

☐ denied Claimant's application
 ☑ reduced Claimant's benefits
 ☐ closed Claimant's case

for:  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC.

### DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department i did act properly i did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's  $\square$  AMP  $\square$  FIP  $\boxtimes$  FAP  $\square$  MA  $\square$  SDA  $\square$  CDC decision is  $\square$  AFFIRMED  $\boxtimes$  REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. The Department is to recalculate the Claimant's FAP benefits for December 2012 on the basis of the unearned in come being received a nd shall not include earned income in the FAP calculation in accordance with this Decision.
- 2. The Department shall issue a FAP supplement, if any is appropriate, to the Claimant for FAP benefits he was otherwise entitled to receive in accordance with Department policy.

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 10, 2013

Date Mailed: January 10, 2013

LMF/cl

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order . MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

• A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

#### 2013-10590/LMF

- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the Claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

CC:		