# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:		B - 1-4 N - 0040 40004 DA	
	,	Docket No. 2013-10331 PA Case No.	
A	Appellant /		
DECISION AND ORDER			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 <i>et seq.</i> , upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.			
appeare Review	ue notice, a hearing was held on ed without representation. She had not officer, represented the Department. Medicaid analyst.		. The Appellant , Appeals ,
ISSUE			
[	Did the Department properly deny Appella	nt's request for prior au	thorization?
FINDIN	GS OF FACT		
	ministrative Law Judge, based upon the c se on the whole record, finds as material f		substantial
	At the time of hearing, the Appellant is Appellant's Exhibit #1)	s a year old, Medic	caid beneficiary.
F	The Appellant's dentist (February 6, 2012, for "various teeth" b Department Exhibit A, p. 2)		nt approval, on lower dentures.
	Additional information was requested by t A, p. 2)	the Department. (Depa	artment's Exhibit
d fe	The denial was based on a the later com demonstrating that the Appellant did not n ewer than eight teeth in occlusion, in Department's Exhibit A, pp. 2, 5 and See	neet the program requir ncluding fixed bridges	

Case Name:
Docket No. 2013-10331 PA
Decision & Order

- 5. On \_\_\_\_\_, the Appellant was notified of the Department's denial. She was further advised of her appeal rights. (Department's Exhibit A, pp. 5 and 6)
- 6. On \_\_\_\_\_, the Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health received the instant request for hearing brought by Appellant. (Appellant's Exhibit #1)

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

#### PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Medicaid requires prior authorization (PA) to cover certain services before those services are rendered to the beneficiary. The purpose of PA is to review the medical need for certain services. It does not serve as an authorization of fees or beneficiary eligibility. Different types of services requiring PA include:

- Procedures identified as requiring PA on the procedure code databases on the MDCH website;
- Procedures/items that are normally noncovered but may be medically necessary for select beneficiaries (e.g., surgery normally cosmetic in nature, obesity surgery, off-label use drugs, etc.); and
- Referrals for elective services by out-of-state nonenrolled providers.

Medicaid Provider Manual, MPM, Practitioner § 1.10, October 1, 2012, p. 4.

Under the general policy instructions for Medicaid related dental services the MPM sets the threshold for dentures at less than eight teeth in occlusion:

Complete and partial dentures are benefits are authorized:

- If there is one or more anterior teeth missing:
- If there are less than eight posterior teeth in occlusion (fixed bridges and dentures are to be considered occluding teeth); or
- Where an existing complete or partial denture cannot be made serviceable through repair, relining, adjustment, or duplicating (rebasing) procedures. If a

Case Name:
Docket No. 2013-10331 PA
Decision & Order

partial denture can be made serviceable, the dentist should provide the needed restorations to maintain use of the existing partial, extract teeth, add teeth to an existing partial, and remove hyperplastic tissue.

MPM, Dental, §6.6A, October 1, 2012, p.17

\*\*\*

At hearing, the Department witness explained that the Appellant's request was denied for failure to meet policy requirements regarding number of teeth in occlusion in posterior areas of the mouth.

The Appellant said she needed the dentures to "grind her food" which was important owing to her diagnosis "as a diabetic." The Appellant said that the dentist incorrectly logged an incorrect date in his PA request — although the Department's witness indicated on the record that the reason for the denial was the number of teeth in occlusion — not the Department's five (5) year rule.

The Appellant was given instructions from the Department witness for the process of seeking a medical exception for the authorization of dentures - thus allowing her dentist to challenge policy for medical necessity.

The Department witness said that the Appellant had eight (8) teeth in occlusion as of

On review, I thought the Department's decision to deny dentures was reached within policy. The Appellant failed to preponderate her burden of proof.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department properly denied PA of the Appellant's request for upper and lower dentures.

## **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

\s\

Dale Malewska
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

Case Name:
Docket No. 2013-10331 PA
Decision & Order

CC:



Date Mailed: <u>1/25/2013</u>

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.