STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	TH	MΛ.	TT		\mathbf{a}	
ПV		VI /~		ᄗ		Г.

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	20131012 3002 January 2, 2013 Oakland (02)						
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin								
HEARING DECISION								
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 2, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claim ant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Supervisor.								
ISSUE								
Due to excess income, did the Department properly ☐ deny the Claimant's applic ation ☐ close Claimant's case ☒ reduce Claimant's benefits for:								
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐		sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT								
The Administrative Law Judge, based on t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:								

1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits for: ☐ received benefits for:

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).
 ☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
 ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).
 ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).

2.	On October 1, 2012, the Department							
3.	On September 8, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. closure. reduction.							
4.	On September 21, 2012, Claimant or Claimant 's AHR filed a hearing request, protesting the							
	☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case. ☐ reduction of benefits.							
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW							
Ad Ma	epartment policies are contained in the Department of Human Service s Bridges ministrative Manual (BAM), the Department of Human Services Bridges Elig ibility anual (BEM), and the Departm ent of H uman Services Reference Tables Manual FT).							
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .							
Re 42 Ag 40	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 0.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) ogram effective October 1, 1996.							
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independenc e ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 0.3001 through R 400.3015.							
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.							
for as	The State Disabilit y Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M CL 0.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.							

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.				
Additionally, effective October 1, 2012, the Department reduced Claimant's monthly FAP allotment from \$460 to \$309. The Department explained that the reduction was due to a decrease in the amount of verified medical expenses submitted by Claimant. Claimant and his wife are Se nior/Disabled/Veteran (SDV) members of their FAP group and are therefore eligible for a deduction in their FAP budget for medical expenses they incur in excess of \$35. BEM 554 (October 1, 2012), pp 1, 6-9.				
At the hearing, Claimant ve rified that (i) he receiv ed gross monthly Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits of 899; (ii) hi s wife received gros s monthly RSDI benefit s of \$955; (iii) his FAP group was co mposed of three members; (iv) his monthly housing expens es total ed \$848.56; and (iv) he had not verified an y monthly medical expenses in excess of \$275.				
Based on the foregoing figures (and the \$148 standard deduction and \$5 75 heat and utility deduction available to Claimant), a review of Claimant's FAP budget shows that the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it concluded that Claimant was entitled to \$309 per month in F AP benefits effective October 1, 2012. BEM 550 (February 1, 2012); BEM 556 (October 1, 2011); RFT 255 (October 1, 2012), p 1; RFT 260 (October 1, 2011), p 7.				
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative La w Judge concludes t hat, due to excess income, the Department \boxtimes properly \square improperly				
☐ denied Claimant's application☐ reduced Claimant's benefits☐ closed Claimant's case				
for:				
DECISION AND ORDER				
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly.				

Accordingly, for the reasons stated above and on the record, the Department's		
🔲 FIP 🔯 FAP 🗌 MA 🗌 SDA 🗌 CDC decision is 🛛 AFFIRMED 🗍 REVERSE	ED.	

Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 3, 2013

Date Mailed: January 3, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/cl

cc: