## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

Reg. No.: 2013-10061

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

4 1	Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012 3 April 18, 2013 Wayne 19	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer			
HEARING DECISION			
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 18, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included			
On May 14, 2013, the case was reassigned to Administrative Law Judge Jan Leventer to prepare a decision and order in this case.			
<u>ISSUE</u>			
Did the Department properly X deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case for:			
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:			
1. Claimant X applied for benefits  received ben	efits for:		

	<ul> <li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li> <li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li> <li>X Medical Assistance (MA).</li> <li>☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).</li> <li>☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA).</li> <li>☐ Child Development and Care (CDC).</li> </ul>		
2.	The Department failed to act on Claimant's May 17, 2012 application.		
3.	On October 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the X Department's failure to act on his MA application.   ☐ closure of the case.		
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).		
Se Th Ag	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social scurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 0.105.		
red an wa to Cla	Iditionally, Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105, "Rights and Responsibilities," quires the Department to perform three tasks: determine eligibility, provide benefits d protect client rights. In this case, although there is ample proof that an application is filed, there is nothing to establish that the Department took any action with regard this application. It is found and concluded that this constitutes a failure to protect the aimant's right to apply for and be considered for benefits. Department of Human ervices Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 105 (2013).		
sta	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department by failure to act,		
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case   X improperly denied Claimant's application improperly closed Claimant's case		
for	:		
DECISION AND ORDER			
of	e Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. X did not act properly.		
Ac is	cordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP X MA SDA CDC decision AFFIRMED X REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		

X THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO INITIATE THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

- 1. Reinstate/register Claimant's May 17, 2012 MA and retroactive MA application.
- 2. Process Claimant's application to determine eligibility.
- 3. Provide MA and retroactive MA benefits (to February, 2012) to Claimant at the benefit level to which he is entitled.
- 4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 5, 2013

Date Mailed: June 6, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

## 2013-10061/JL

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

