## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

DEL ARTIMENT OF HOMAN GENTIGES			
IN THE MATTER OF:			
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2013 43781 May 28, 2013 Wayne (43)	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris			
HEARING DECIS	SION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 28, 2013, from behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participation Human Services (Department) included Program Manager.	for a hearing. m Detroit, Michig	After due notice, a gan. Participants on of the Department of	
ISSUE			
Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's for:	application 🗌 cl	ose Claimant's case	
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		sistance (AMP)? ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?	
FINDINGS OF FA	<u>ACT</u>		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the cevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		rial, and substantia	
1. Claimant $\boxtimes$ applied for benefits $\square$ received be	enefits for:		

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP). State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

Family Independence Program (FIP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On February 1, 2013, the Department
Ex	
3.	On February 20, 2013, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
	The Claimant did not attend the Path Orientation as scheduled.
4.	On March 6, 2013, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the idges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Pa Cla fro me De fail	ditionally, During the hearing it was conceded by the Claimant that she received the th Notice of Appointment and did not attend the Path orientation as scheduled. The aimant did not provide any further evidence that she should be otherwise excused m attending the Path orientation and did not provide a doctor's note or completed edical needs form regarding her pregnancy, and thus it is determined that the partment correctly denied the Claimant's application for FIP cash assistance for lure to participate and complete Path orientation as required. BEM 229, pp1, 1/2013)
	sed upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons ated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
	properly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for	: ☐ AMP ☑ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\boxtimes$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\square$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 31, 2013

Date Mailed: May 31, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that affect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

## 2013-43781/LMF

