STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



 Reg. No.:
 2013 32765

 Issue No.:
 4060

 Case No.:
 Hearing Date:

 Hearing Date:
 April 24, 2013

 County:
 Wayne (17)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37; MCL 400.43 (a); Mich Admin Code, R 400.941 and MCL 24.201, et seq., upon a hearing request on March 7, 2013 by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent. After due notice, a hearing was held on April 24, 2013.

Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accordance with Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Item 725. Other participants included **Exercise**, Lead Agent, Office of Inspector General who appeared on behalf of the Department.

ISSUE

Did Respondent receive an OI of \Box FIP \Box FAP \Box SDA \boxtimes CDC benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Respondent was a recipient of \Box FIP \Box FAP \Box SDA \boxtimes CDC during the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008.
- 2. Respondent received a FIP FAP SDA CDC OI during the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008, due to Department's Respondent's error.

2013-32765/LMF

- 3. Respondent did receive a FIP FAP SDA CDC OI during the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008 due to Department's Respondent's error in falsely reporting continuing employment to justify CDC need eligibility requirements.
- 4. The Claimant represented to the Department through verifications of employment that the Claimant worked for during the period when she was not so employed
- 5. The Claimant received \$13,369 in CDC overissuances which are still due and owing to the Department.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

☑ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Additionally, the Department established through the evidence it presented that during the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008 the Claimant was not employed by as of June 2007. The evidence presented demonstrated that a Verification of Employment provided by the Claimant had been altered to indicate that she was still employed as of June 2007 when in fact she had been fired. Exhibit 1 pp 30-34. The OIG agent testified credibly that she spoke to the individual at who confirmed the original form verification of employment she filled out and returned had been altered after she provided the form, and the employment end date information was not correct and did not appear as she had previously completed it

date information was not correct and did not appear as she had previously completed it. In addition, a review of the CDC payments made was reviewed and credits as appropriate were made to account for some income received during the period.

Based on the evidence provided and the testimony of the OIG Lead Agent, it is determined that the Claimant was not working during the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008 and thus was not entitled to receive CDC benefits as need was not established. It was also determined that during the period the Claimant was not attending Work First. Based upon the foregoing, the Department has established that the Claimant received an overissuance of CDC benefits in the amount of \$13,369 and is entitled to pursue debt collection and recoupment of CDC benefits in that amount.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department \square properly determined that the Respondent received an \$13,369 OI of CDC benefits and

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, finds that the Department \bigotimes did make a correct determination to establish a debt for the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008 in the amount of \$13,369.

Accordingly, the Department is AFFIRMED with respect to the overissuance of \$13,369 for the period July 8, 2007 to July 19, 2008, and

The Department is ORDERED to initiate collection procedures in accordance with Department policy for recoupment of CDC benefits in the amount of \$13,369.

M. Serris

Lynn M. Ferris Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 4, 2013

Date Mailed: June 4, 2013

NOTICE: The law provides that within 60 days from the mailing date of the above hearing Decision the Respondent may appeal it to the circuit court for the county in which he/she resides or has his or her principal place of business in this state, or in the circuit court for Ingham County. Administrative Hearings, on its own motion, or on request of a party within 60 days of the mailing date of this Hearing Decision, may order a rehearing.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

2013-32765/LMF

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/cl

CC:	