STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

| IN THE MATTER OF |
|------------------|
|------------------|

Food Assistance Program (FAP)?

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

| | Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County: | 2013 24873 2012 May 20, 2013 Wayne (35) |
|--|--|--|
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris | | |
| SETTLEMENT O | <u>RDER</u> | |
| This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on May 20, 2013, from behalf of Claimant included Authorized Hearing Representative (AHR). The confidence of the Department of Human Services FIM. | for a hearing. om Detroit, Michig Claimant did not a | After due notice, a gan. Participants on the Claimant's appear. Participants |
| <u>ISSUE</u> | | |
| Whether the Department properly: | | |
| ☐ failed to process Claimant's application for ben☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits☐ reduced Claimant's benefits | efits | |
| for: | | |
| ☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ | State Disability A | ssistance (SDA)? |

FINDINGS OF FACT

Child Development and Care (CDC)?

☐ State Emergency Services (SER)?

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

| 1. | The Department: |
|---|--|
| | ☐ Failed to process the Claimant's 7/29/11 and application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits |
| | under the following program(s): |
| | ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER. |
| 2. | The Department did not send notice to Claimant (or Claimant's Authorized Hearing Representative) of the: |
| | ☐ failure to process☐ closure☐ reduction. |
| 3. | On January 7, 2013, Claimant filed a request for hearing concerning the Department's action. |
| | CONCLUSIONS OF LAW |
| Eligibi | tment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges lity Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM). |
| Secur The [| ne Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 05. |
| | aw provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or d settlement. MCL 24.278(2). |
| Soon settler the fo 7/29/1 notice provid | present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a ment concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do llowing: The Department agreed to re register the Claimant's application dated 1 for Medical Assistance and retro application and to provide of any action taken with respect to the application. |

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Department shall re register the Claimant application dated 7/29/11 for Medical Assistance and retro application and shall determine eligibility.
- 2. The Claimant's AHR shall provide the Department a copy of the 7/29/11 application and retro application.
- 3. The Department shall provide the Claimant's AHR notice of all actions taken by the Department and all requests for verification.

Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: June 10, 2013

Date Mailed: June 10, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

LMF/cl

2013-24873/LMF

