STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-78979 2005 January 22, 2013 Ingham County DHS	
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carme	en G. Fahie		
HEARIN	G DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Adrand MCL 400.37 following Claimant's relephone hearing was held on Tuesday Participants on behalf of Claimant included from L&S (L&S) Associates, Human Services (Department) included	request for a hearing. , January 22, 2013, from ded the claimant's autho	After due notice, a n Lansing, Michigan. rized representative,	
<u>!</u> !	SSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case \square reduce Claimant's benefits for:			
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	<u> </u>	assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? y Relief (SER)?	
FINDINGS OF FACT			
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:			
 Claimant	ving: □FIP □FAP ☑MA	A □SDA □CDC □	
2. Claimant ⊠ was ☐ was not provided	with a Verification Checkli	st (DHS-3503).	
3. Claimant was required to submit reque	ested verification by March	3, 2012.	

4.	On March 5, 2012, the Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to submit verification in a timely manner.
5.	On March 5, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial of Claimant's application. closure of Claimant's case. reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On September 14, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the \boxtimes denial. \square closure. \square reduction.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 rough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program fective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence gency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3001 rough Rule 400.3015.
Se Th	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social ecurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the Aprogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.		
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SER) program is established by 2004 PA 344. The SER program is administered pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and by, 1999 AC, R 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Department policies are found in the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).		
Additionally, the claimant and her authorized representative failed to turn in her required verification of proof of citizenship to verify eligibility by the due date, which resulted in her case being denied. During the hearing, the FIM stated that the claimant should have been considered for emergeny MA even without the verification of citizenship. She is going to reprocess the application for emergency MA coverage as is required in policy. The department was not willing to reprocess the application with the proof of citizenship that L&S now has, but did not have by the eligibility due date.		
The department has met its burden that it correctly followed policy by reprocessing the claimant's application based on emergency MA only.		
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department properly improperly		
 ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits. 		
DECISION AND ORDER		
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.		
Accordingly, the Department's decision is \boxtimes AFFIRMED \square REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.		
☑ THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:		
1. Initiate a redetermination of the Claimant's eligibility for emergency MA.		
2. Provide the Claimant and her authorized representative with written notification of the Department's revised eligibility determination.		

3. Issue the Claimant any retroactive benefits she/he may be eligible to receive, if any.

/s/

Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 28, 2013

Date Mailed: January 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hi

CC:

