STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-78585 2021 January 22, 2013 Presque Isle County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fal	nie	
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a selephone hearing was held on Tuesday, January 22, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant and the claimant's authorized representative and sister, Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Essential Research Participants on Behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to excess assets, did the Department properly ⊠ deny the Claimant's application ☐ close Claimant's case for:		
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Medical Assistance (MA)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:		
 Claimant		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP). ☐ Medical Assistance (MA). ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).
 Due to excess assets, on September 4, 2012, the Department ✓ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. 		

3.	On September 4, 2012, the Department sent Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On September 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq.
Res 42 Age	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.3101 bugh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
Sec The	The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Experiment (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the a program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 0.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.
pro imp Reg Age	The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) gram] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal gulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015.

Additionally, the claimant had a life insurance policy from Metlife with a cash surrender value of \$4,115.32. As a result, she had excess assets for MA that has an asset limit of \$2,000 for single person and \$3,000 for a couple, which made her ineligible for MA. The claimant is eligible to reapply if she meets the asset eligibility.

The department has met its burden that the claimant had excess assets for MA eligibility.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
 □ properly denied Claimant's application □ improperly denied Claimant's application □ improperly closed Claimant's case □ improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA FAP decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
<u>/s/</u>
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: January 28, 2013
Date Mailed: January 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

