STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No: 201277452

Issue No: 2009

Case No:

Hearing Date: January 29, 2013

Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Suzanne L. Morris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9; and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 29, 2013. The claimant appeared and provided testimony, along with a friend. The departm ent witness was

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services Assistance (MA) application?

(DHS) properly deny claimant's Medica

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On July 9, 2012, claimant applied for MA with the Michigan Department of Human Services (DHS).
- Claimant did not apply for retro MA.
- On August 6, 2012, the MRT denied.
- 4. On August 24, 2012, the DHS issued notice.
- 5. On September 11, 2012, claimant filed a hearing request.
- 6. Claimant testified at the administrative hearing that he has submitted a disability application with the Social Security Administration (SSA).
- 7. On October 29, 2012, the State Hearing Revie w Team (SHRT) denied claimant.

- 8. The claimant submitted new and additional medic all evidence at the hearing, which was submitted to SHRT on Januar y 30, 2013 and considered. The SHRT denied claimant again on March 13, 2013.
- 9. As of the date of hear ing, claimant was a 47-year-old male standing 5'8" tall and weighing 232 pounds. Cla imant has a 9th gr ade education and testified that he is capable of only basic reading and writing.
- Claimant testified that he smokes about one pack of c igarettes each day, only drinks alcohol on a social basi s and smokes marijuana about once a week. Claimant reported no other drug use.
- 11. Claimant testified the at he does not have ea driver 's lic ense as it is suspended and he can't afford the fees to get it back.
- 12. Claimant is not currently working. Claimant last worked doing heavy lifting for Two Men and a Truck on a part-time basis for three years. Prior to that, the claimant worked as a driver for a hospital courier service for three years and also at a junkyard prior to that job.
- 13. Claimant alleges disability on the basis of chest pain and shortness of breath.
- 14. Claimant was admitted to the hospital on J une 18, 2012 with chest pain and shortness of breath. A CT of the chest showed multiple pulmonary emboli. Claimant was started on fondaparinux and warfarin. Claimant's blood pressure was elevated and his blood sugars were high. These were both controlled by date of release on June 21, 2012. Claimant was to follow up with the resident clinic to have his INR lev els checked and his blood pressure and diabetes monitored.
- 15. A progress note from De cember 26, 2012 notes the duration of therapy to be six months. The c laimant's INR le vel was 3.3, which was just above the desired level. Clai mant was advised to see a primary care physician because his six mont hs of warf arin treatment (anticoagulation t herapy) was to be up on December 29, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (RFT).

In order to receive MA benefits based upon disa bility or blindness, claimant must be disabled or blind as defined in T itle XVI of the Social Security Act (20 CFR 416.901).

201277452/SLM

DHS, being authorized to make such disability determinations, utilizes the SSI definition of disability when making medical decisions on MA applications. MA-P (disability), also is known as Medicaid, which is a program designated to help public assistance claimants pay their medical expenses. Mi chigan administers the federal Medicaid program. In assessing eligibility, Michigan utilizes the federal regulations.

Relevant federal guidelines provide in pertinent part:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

The federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in shequential order:

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your residual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, education and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

The regulations require that if disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is not required. These steps are:

- 1. If you are working and the work you are doing is substantial gainful activity, we will find that you are not dis abled regardless of your medical condition or your age, education, and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(b). If no, the analysis continues to Step 2.
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in deat h? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.909(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special Listing of Impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment that meets the duration requirement? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(d).

- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analys is continues to Step 5. Sections 200.00-204.00(f)?
- 5. Does the client hav e the Residual Func tional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? This step consider s the residual functional capacity, age, education, and past work experience to see if the client can do other work. If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is a pproved. 20 CFR 416.920(g).

At application claimant has the burden of proof pursuant to:

...You must provide medical evidence showing that you have an impairment(s) and how severe it is during the time you say that you are disabled. 20 CFR 416.912(c).

Federal regulations are very specific regarding the type of medical evidence required by claimant to establish statutory disability. The regulations essentially require laboratory or clinical medical reports that corroborate claimant's claims or claimant's physicians' statements regarding disability. These regulations state in part:

- ... Medical reports should include --
- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as sure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).
- ...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).
- ...The med ical evidence...mus t be complete and detailed enough to allow us to make a determination about whether you are disabled or blind. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical findings consist of symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings:

- (a) Sy mptoms are your own description of your physical or mental impairment. Y our statements alone are not enough to establish t hat there is a physic al or mental impairment.
- (b) **Signs** are anatomical, physiological, or psychological abnormalities which can be observed, apart from your statements (symptoms). Signs must be shown by medically acceptable clinic al diagnostic techniques. Psychiatric signs are medically demonstrable phenomena which indicates pecific psychological abnormalities e.g., abnormalities of behavior, mood, thought, memory, orientat ion, development, or perception. They must also be shown by observable facts that can be medically described and evaluated.
- (c) **Laboratory findings** are anatomical, phy siological, or psychological phenomena which can be shown by the use of a medically accept—able laboratory diagnostic techniques. Some of these diagnostic—techniques include chemical tes—ts, el ectrophysiological studies (electrocardiogram, electroencephalogram, etc.), roentgenological studies (X -rays), and psychologic al tests. 20 CFR 416.928.

It must allow us to determine --

- (1) The nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question;
- (2) The probable duration of your impairment; and
- (3) Your residual functional capac ity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Information from other sour ces may also help us to understand how y our impairment(s) affects your ability to work. 20 CFR 416.913(e).

...You can only be found disabled if you are unable to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or ment al impairment which can be expected to result in death, or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less t han 12 months. See 20 CFR 416.905. Your impairment must result

from anatomical, physiologi cal, or psyc hological abnormalities which are demonstrable by medically acceptable clinical and laborat ory diagnostic techniques.... 20 CFR 416.927(a)(1).

Applying the sequential analysis her ein, claimant is not inelig ible at the first step as claimant is not currently working. 20 CFR 416.920(b). The analysis continues.

The second step of the analysis looks at a two-fold assessment of duration and severity. 20 CFR 416.920(c). To meet the durational requirement is for the MA program, the claimant's condition must la st or be expected to last fo r a continuous period of 1 months. 20 CFR 416.909. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe and a finding of not disabled is made at Step 2 when medical evidence establishes only a slight abnormality or combination of slight abnormalities, which would have no more than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work, even if the individual's education and/or work experience were specifically c onsidered. Social Security Ruling 85-28. In other words, a finding of no sev erity is appropriate when a person's impairments have no more than a minimal effect on his or her physical or mental abilities to per form basic work activities. The law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's symptoms can be m anaged to the point w here substantial gainful activit y can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered. In this case, the medical evidenc e does not document severe medical conditions that would significantly impact the claimant's ability to work, thus the claimant is denied at Step 2 of the analysis.

Although the claimant est ablished that he was diagnos ed wit h multiple pulmonar y emboli when he was admitted to the hospita I on June 18, 2012, the expected length of treatment according to the medical documentation was until December, 29, 2012. This does not meet the durational requirements for the MA program. While the claimant also has hypertension and diabetes, this is not the basis for his appeal. Further, there are no records to show the claimant continues to be treated for his hypertension or diabetes or that these conditions are severely limiting in any way.

The claimant has not presented the requi red competent, materi al and substantial evidence which would support a finding that the claimant has an imp airment or combination of impairments which would significantly limit the physical or mental ability to do basic work activities for a continuous period of 12 months. 20 CF R 416.920(c). Although the claimant has cited medical problems, the clinical documentation submitted by the claimant is not sufficient to establis h a finding that the claimant is disabled. There is no objective medical evidence to s ubstantiate the claim ant's claim that the alleged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disability. The claimant is not disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance dis ability (MA-P) program or for the SDA program.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department's actions were correct.

Accordingly, the department's determination in this matter is **UPHELD**.

Suzanne
Administrative
Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 2, 2013

Date Mailed: July 2, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde rarehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the mailing date of the rehearing decision.

SLM/hj

