STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-77109 2021 January 16, 2013 Macomb County DHS #12
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fahie		
HEARING DECISION		
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Wednesday, January 16, 2013 from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included Exercise (ES.		
<u>ISSUE</u>		
Due to excess assets, did the Department properly \boxtimes deny the Claimant's application \square close Claimant's case for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)?		Assistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)?
FINDINGS OF FACT		
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including the testimony at the hearing, finds as material fact:		
Claimant ⊠ applied for benefits ☐ received benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).☐ Medical Assistance (MA).☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).		Assistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA).
 Due to excess assets, on August 21, 2012, the Department ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. 		

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that, due to excess assets, the Department
properly denied Claimant's application improperly denied Claimant's application properly closed Claimant's case improperly closed Claimant's case
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department ☐ did act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP MA SDA FAP decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Carmen G. Fahie Administrative Law Judge For Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services
Date Signed: January 22, 2013
Date Mailed: January 23, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hj

