STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-77055 2006 January 22, 2013 Ingham County DHS
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Carmen G. Fa	ahie	
HEARING DEC	SION	
This matter is before the undersigned Administration and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, Janua Participants on behalf of Claimant included representative, from L&S (L&S) Ass Department of Human Services (Department) included	for a hearing. ary 22, 2013, from the claimant a ociates, Inc. Part	After due notice, an Lansing, Michigan. and her authorized
ISSUE		
Due to a failure to comply with the verification properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ close benefits for:		
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?		ssistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?
FINDINGS OF I	-ACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:		
1. Claimant ⊠ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐I	FIP □FAP ⊠MA [□SDA □CDC.
 The department received a notice from the Bridges system that the claimant failed to coo OCS. 		
 3. On June 7, 2012, the Department 		

201277055/CGF

reduced Claimant's benefits for failure to comply with OCS.	
 4. On June 7, 2012, the Department sent notice of the ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits. 	
 On September 4, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the	
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridg Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).	es
☐ The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Person Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-142 USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.37 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) progreffective October 1, 1996.	93, nce 101
☐ The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (I program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independent Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, R 400.30 through Rule 400.3015.	is eral nce
∑ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Socurity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CF The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.	R).
☐ The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistant for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to M 400.10, et seq., and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.	wn
The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, I and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 19 The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to M 400.14(1) and 1999 AC. R 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.	t of 96. 98

Additionally, the department stated that the claimant failed to comply with child support, but did not provide written verification that the department sent the claimant a verification checklist giving her an opportunity to comply with OCS at application as is required in policy. Therefore, the department has not met its burden that it properly denied the claimant's application because she failed to comply with OCS.

Date Mailed: January 28, 2013

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the receipt date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CGF/hi

CC:

