STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201275605Issue No:2009; 4031Case No:January 8, 2013Hearing Date:January 8, 2013Wayne County DHS #55

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on Tuesday, January 8, 2013. Participants on behalf of the Claimant included the Claimant, and and Participants on behalf of the Department of Hum an Services (Department) included to the Claimant. The record was extended 90 days for a 2 nd SHRT review of additional medical reports submitted at the hearing. (Claimant Exhibit 1, 23 Pages).

ISSUE

Was medical recovery, established?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's last MA/SDA approval was on August 12, 2010.
- 2. On August 24, 2012 the DHS t erminated the Claimant's MA/SDA based on Medic al Recover y per BEM 260/ 261 with a hearing request on August 31, 2012.
- 3. Claimant was aged 46, with a hi gh sc hool or m ore educat ion, and unskilled factory assembler and driver of a shuttle hospital bus, and skilled work as an engineer draftsman and designer. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 7)
- 4. Claimant alleges continued disability due to a combination mental/physical impairment.
- Medical reports since Claimant's last MA/SDA approval state the claimant on:

- a. April 1, 2011: Has a GAF of score of 50. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 24).
- b. November 11, 2011: Has a GAF score of 55, evaluated by an LLNSW (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 46).
- c. November 13, 2012: Has a GA F score of 45, by an LLNSW (Claimant Exhibit 1, Pg. 8).
- d. June 13, 2012: Has no memory loss or weakness; that he has good hand grip bilaterally; that digital dexterity is *intact*; that he managed to get on and off the exam ination table without difficulty; that he walks with a *slight* limp on the left with a cane on the right; that he is *able* to do tandem, tip toe, and heel walking without problems; that he is *able* to bend and stoop; that he is *able* to squat and recover; that range of motion of the cervical spine is *slightly* decreased; that lumbar spine range of motion is *decreased*; that left knee range of motion; that he is *alert*, oriented, and cooperative, that he sees a psychiatrist *regularly* and takes medication for his condition.
- e. June 13, 2012: Has a GAF score of 51. (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 17).
- 7. State Hearing Review Team decis ion dated November 2, 2012 states the Claimant's impairments do not m eet/equal a Soc ial Sec urity listing (DHS Exhibit A, Pg. 56).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by Title XXI of the Social Security Act; (1115)(a)(1) of the Social Se curity Act, and is administered by the Department of Human Services (DHS or departm ent) pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq*. Department policies are containe d in the Bridges Administrati ve Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

I15136 i15157, 58 a-g,

...Ability to engage in substantial gainful activity. In most instances, we must show that y ou are able to engage in substantial gainful act ivity before your benefits are stopped. When doing this, we will c onsider a II your c urrent impairments not just that impairment(s) present at the time of the most recent favorabl e determination.... 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(v).

...To assure that disability reviews are carried out in a uniform manner, that a decision of continuing disability can be made in the most expeditions and administratively efficient way, and that any decision to stop disability benefits are made objectively, neutrally and are fully documented, we will follow specific steps in reviewing the question of whether your disability continues. Our review may cease an d benefits may be continued at any point if we determine there is sufficient evidence to find that you are still unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5).

The steps are:

Step 1. Do you have an impai rment or combination of impairments whic h meets or equals the severity of an impairment listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of Part 404 of this chapter? If you do, your disability wil I be found to continue. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(i).

Step 2. If you do not, has there been a medical improvement as def ined in pa ragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section? If there has been me dical improvement as s hown by a decrease in medical s everity, see Step 3 in paragraph (b)(5)(iii) of this section. If there has been no decrease in medical severity, there has been no medical improvement. (see Step 4 in paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section.) 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(ii).

Step 3. If there has been m edical improvement, we must determine whether it is related to your ability to do w ork in

accordance with paragraphs (b)(1)(I) through (b)(1)(iv) of this section; i.e., whether of not there has been an increase in the residual functional capac ity based on the impairment(s) that was present at the time of the most recent favorable medical determination. If medical improvement is not related to your ability to do w ork, see Step 5 in paragraph (b)(5)(v) of this section. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iii).

Step 4. If we found in Step 2 in paragraph (b)(5)(ii) of this section that there has been no medical improvement or if we found at Step 3 in paragraph (b)(5)(iii) of this section that the medical improvement is not rela ted to your ability to work, we consider whether any of the exceptions in paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(40 of this section apply. If none of them apply, your disability will be found to continue. If any of the first group of exceptions to medica I improvement applies, see Step 5 in paragraph (b)(5)(v) of this section. If an exception from the second group of exceptions to medical improvement applies, your disability will b e found to have ended. The second group of exceptions to medical improvement may be considered at any point in this process. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(iv).

Step 5. If medical improvement is shown to be related to your ability to do work or if any of the first group of exceptions to medical im provement applies, we will determine whether all your current impairments in combination are severe (see Sec. 416.921). This determination will consider al I your current impairments and the impact of the combination of these impairments on your ability to function. If t he residual functional c apacity assessment in Step 3 in paragra ph (b)(5)(iii) of this section shows significant limitation to your ability to do basic work activities, see Step 6 in paragraph (b)(5)(iv) of this section. When the evidence shows that all your current impairments antly limit y our physic al or in combination do not signific mental abilities to do basic work activities, these impairments will not be considered severe in nature. If so, you will no longer be considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(v).

Step 6. If your impairment(s) is severe, we will asses s your current ability to engage in s ubstantial gainful activity in accordance with 41 6.961. That is, we will ass ess your residual functional capacity based on all your current impairments and consider whether you can still do work that you have done in the past. If you can do such work, disability will b e found to have ended. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(5)(vi).

Step 7. If you are not able to do work you have done in the past, we will cons ider one fina I step. Given the residual functional capacity assessment and c onsidering y our age, education, and past work experience, c an you do other work? If you can, dis ability will be found t o have ended. If you cannot, disability will be found to continue. 20 CF R 416.994(b)(5)(vii).

At Step 1, continued eligibility is denied. The medic allevidence of record does not establish claimant's impairments meets/equals a social security listing.

At Step 2 the evidence of record does not establish claimant's mental improvement by a decrease in medical severity. Therefore; the sequential evaluation is required to Step 4.

The medic al reports of record are mostly examination, diagnostic, treatment and progress reports. They do not provide medical a ssessments of Claimant's mental/physical limitations rela tive to his functional basic work activities, as defined above. Stated differently, how do the Cla imant's medically diagnos ed disorder s significantly incapacit ate his functional abilit y to perform basic work activities for the required duration? Do the dis orders impair the Claimant's ability s lightly, mild ly, moderately (non-severe impairment, as defined below) or severely, as defined below?

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

Non-severe impairment(s). An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

Basic w ork activities. When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

(1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;

(2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

(3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;

(4) Use of judgment;

201275605/WAS

(5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and

(6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting.20 CFR 416.921(b).

At Step 4 the medic all evidence of record does not establish medical improvement related to claimant's ability to work on the date of the negative clase action. Nor do a ny of the exceptions in paragraphs (b) (3) and (4) apply.

Since claimant's let MA/SDA approval in August, 2010, claimant has had GAF scores of 50 in April, 2011 and 51 in June, 2012. 50 is cons idered a severe mental impairment with occupational-functioning and 51 modera te mental impairment with occ upational-function. DSM-IV (4th edition-revised).

Claimant had GAF sc ores of 55 in Nov ember, 2011 and 45 in November, 2012 by an LLMSW. This is an unacceptable medical source.

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical sources are by an MD, DO, or fully licensed psychologist. BEM 260. Therefore, no evidentiary weight was given to the two unacceptable medical sources.

The medic al evidenc e of record does not establish the claim ant's normal mental findings have persisted on rep eated examinations since this last approval leading up-to the negative case action. So as to reas oning per and conc lude that the claimant mentally recovered.

Therefore, it is unnecessary to determine the phys ical matter at this time until y ou determine that claimant has medically recovered a non-severe mental condition.

Therefore, disability is found to continue at Step 4.

The department's Bridges Eligibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for caseworkers regarding the State Disability As sistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable d person or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does establish that claimant is unable to work for a per iod exceeding 90 days, the claimant meets the disability criteria for State Disability Assistance benefits also.

Therefore, medical recovery has not been established at Step 4 by the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides medical recovery has not been established.

Accordingly, SDA/MA-P denial is **REVERSED** and ret roactive reinstatement. Reinstatement of benefits is so ORDERED.

Medical review is suggested in 2013.

William A Sundquest

William A. Sundquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 9, 2013

Date Mailed: April 9, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/hj

