STATE OF MICHIGAN STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS AND RULES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reg. No:201275067Issue No:2009Case No:Image: Comparison of the second second

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Christopher S. Saunders

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an in person hearing was held on December 13, 2012. The claimant personally appeared and provided testimony. The claimant was represented by the strategy of

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for Medical Assistance (MA) and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 26, 2011, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On March 8, 2012, the Medica I Review Team denied claimant's application stating that claimant was capable of performing other work.
- 3. On March 21, 2012, the department ca seworker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- 4. On August 21, 2012, cl aimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On October 23, 2012, the State Hearing Review T eam again denie d claimant's application stat ing that the claimant retains the capacity to perform light exertional tasks.
- 6. After the hearing, the record was left open to allow the claima nt to submit additional medical ev idence not initially considered by the State Hearing Review Team. Said evidence was submitted and subsequently forwarded

to the State Hearing Review Team. The State Hearing Rev iew Team again denied the claimant's application on May 8, 2013 stating that the claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of simple, unskilled, medium work.

- 7. On May 15, 2012, the claimant was seen at Flint Neurol ogical Center for an EEG and an EKG. The impression was that of a normal awake and asleep electroencephalogram. There was no evidence of abnormal focal, paroxysmal or electrographi cal s eizure activity. The claimant was also seen at Flint Neurological Center on April 30, 2012 for a neurologica I examination. The impre ssion was that of vascular headache, dizzy spell, and numbness involving the hands. (Department Exhibit C).
- 8. On May 6, 2011, the c laimant was seen at for a follow up regarding a compliant of back pain. Lumbar x-rays were taken at said appointment and s howed no spondylolst hesis, no fractures, and no instability on flexion or extension. It was noted t hat the vertebral body heights and disc space were very well maintained. (Department Exhibit C).
- 9. The claimant underwent a one view x -ray of the chest on July 17, 2011. The results showed that the heart was not enlarged and the pulmonary vasculature was not congested. There was no focal air space consolidation or edema. The impr ession showed no ac ute process. Additionally, the claim ant also underwent a two view chest x-ray on July 18, 2011. It was noted that there was no pneum onia or congestive heart failure. The heart size was no rmal and there was no acut e process noted. (Department Exhibit A pages 16-18).
- 10. On July 18, 2011, the claimant under went a psychological consult ation at diagnosis of adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depressed mood. She was assigned a GAF of 45. (Department Exhibit A pages 19-20).
- 11. An ultrasound of the c laimant's kidneys was preformed on April 2 9, 2011. Multiple cysts were noted on both kidneys along with concomitant renal enlargement bilaterally. The conclusi on was enlarged polycystic kidneys. (Department Exhibit A page 57).
- 12. On July 26, 2011, the claimant had an echocardiogram preformed at the The sum mary of the echocar diogram shows the left ventri cular cavity size is normal, normal left ventricular function, and an estimated left ventricula r ejection fraction of greater than 70%. The summary also shows mi Id concent ric left ventricular hypertrophy, trace tri cuspid regurgit ation, and an LA volume index of 29cc/m2. (Department Exhibit A pages 58-59).
- 13. The claimant was seen at the 2011 due to complaints of lower back pain. Her physical exam showed

antalgic gait and no gross malalignment of the spine or extremities. There was diffuse tenderness on palpitation of the left lower lumbar spine and left S1 joint area. Manual m uscle te sting showed 5/5 strength of the bilateral lower extremity myotomes. There was negative str aight leg raising bilaterally. The MRI of the lumbar spine was normal and hip x-rays were normal. The assessment showed low back pain and radiculitis in the left S1 area, left S1 joint pain/dysfunc tion, and left trochanteric bursitis. (Department Exhibit A pages 74-75).

- 14. Claimant is a 38 y ear old woman, date of birt h She stands 5'8" tall and weighs 260 pounds. She completed high school and currently holds a certificate as an LPN. She was also cert ified as a CNA. The claimant pr eviously worked as a home health nurse, a CNA, and as a medical receptionist. She is not cu rrently working and has not worked since October 2012.
- 15. The claimant stated t hat she had filed an application for Social Security Disability benefits and was denied at application. She has appealed that denial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

In general, claimant has the responsibilit y to prove that he/she is disabled. Claimant's impairment must re sult from anatomical, physiol ogical, or ps ychological abnormalities which can be shown by medically ac ceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. A physical or mental impairment must be established by medical evidence consisting of signs, symptoms, and laboratory findings, not only claimant's statement of symptoms. 20 CFR 416.908; 20 CFR 416.927. Pr oof must be in the form of medical evidence e showing that the claimant has an impairment and the nature and extent of its severity. 20 CFR 416.912. In formation must be sufficient to enable a determination as to the nature and limiting effects of the impairment for the period in question, the probable duration of the impairment and the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913.

A set order is used to deter mine disability . Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

At step one, the Administrative Law Judge must determine whethe r the claimant is engaging in substantial gainful activi ty (20 CFR 404.1520(b) and 416.920(b)). Substantial gainful activity (SGA) is defined as work activity that is both substantial and gainful. "Substantial work activity" is work activity that involves doing signific ant physical or mental activities (20 CFR 40 4.1572(a) and 416.972(a)). "Gainful work activity" is work that is usually done for pay or profit, whether or not a profit is realize d (20 CFR 404.1572(b) and 416. 972(b)). Generally, if an individual has earnings from employment or self-employment above a specific level set out in the regulations, it is presumed that he/she has de monstrated the ability to engage in SG A (20 CF R 404.1574, 404.1575, 416.974, and 416.975). If an individual engages in SGA, he/she is not disabled regardles s of how severe his/ her physical or mental impairments are and regardless of his/her age, edu cation, and work experience. If the individual is not engaging in SGA, the analysis proceeds to the second step.

At step two, the Admi nistrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant has a medically determinable impairment that is "severe" or a combination of impairments that is "severe" and that said impairment(s) have met the duration r equirement (20 CFR 404.1520(c) and 416.920(a)(2)(ii) and (c)). An impairment or combination of impairments is "sever e" within the meaning of the r equilations if it signific antly limits an individual's ability to perform basic work acti vities. An impair ment or combination of impairments is "not severe" when medical and other evidence e establish only a slight abnormality or a combination of slight abno rmalities that would have no m ore than a minimal effect on an individual's ability to work (20 CFR 404.1521 and 416. 921; Social Security Rulings (SSRs) 85-28, 96-3p, and 96-4p). In order for an impair ment(s) to meet the duration requirement, the impairment(s) must hav e lasted or be expected to last for at least 12 months, unless the impair ment(s) is expected to result in death (20 CFR 416. 909). If the claimant does not have a severe medically determinable impairment or combination of impairments that hav e met the duration requirement he/she is not disabled. If the claimant has a severe im pairment or combination of impairments that have met the duration requir ement, the analysis proceeds to the third step.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings wh ich demonstrate a medical im pairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

...Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood press ure, X-rays);
- Diagnosis (statement of disease or injury based on it s signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing bas ic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms,

diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidenc e relevant to the claim, including m edical opinions, is rev iewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416 .927(c). A statement by a m edical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

At step three, the Administrative Law Judg e must determine whet her the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criteria of an impairment listed in 20 CFR Part 404, S ubpart P, Appendix 1 (20 CFR 404.1520(d), 404.1525, 404.1526, 416.920(d), 416.925, and 416.926). If the claimant's impairment or combination of impairments meets or medically equals the criter is of a listing and meets the duration requirement (20 CF R 404.1509 and 416.909), the claimant is disabled. If it does not, the analysis proceeds to the next step.

Before considering st ep four of the sequential evaluation pr ocess, the Administrative Law Judge must first determine the claimant's residual functional capace ity (20 CFR 404.1520(e) and 416. 920(e)). An in dividual's residual functional capacity is his/her r ability to do physic al and mental work activities on a sustained basis despite limitations from his/her impairments. In making this finding, all of the claimant's impairments, including impairments that are not severe, must be considered (20 CFR 404.1520(e), 404.1545, 416.920(e), and 416.945; SSR 96-8p).

Next, the Administrative La w Judge must determine at step four whether the claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform the requirements of his/her past relevant work (20 CFR 404.1520(f) and 4 16.920(f). The term past relevant work means wor k performed (either as the claimant actually performed it or as it is generally performed in the national economy) within the last 15 years or 15 years prior to the date that disability must be established. In addition, the work must have lasted long enough for the claimant to learn to do the job and have been SGA (20 CFR 404.1560(b), 404.1565, 416.960(b), and 416.965). If the claimant has the residual f unctional capacity to do his/her past relevant work, the claimant is not disabled. If the cl aimant is unable to do any past relevant work or does not have any past relevant work, the analysis proceeds to the fifth and last step.

At the las t step of the sequential ev aluation proc ess (20 CFR 404.15 20(g) and 416.920(g), the Administrative Law Judge must determine whether the claimant is able to do any other work considering his/her r esidual functional capacity, age, education, and work experience. If the claimant is able to do other work, he/she is not disabled. If

the claimant is not able to do other work and meets the duration requirements, he/she is disabled.

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more t han 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one whic h involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Medium work. Medium work involves lifting no more than 50 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weig hing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentary and light work. 20 CFR 416.967(c).

Heavy work. Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weig hing up to 50 pounds. If someone can do heavy work, we determine that he or she c an also d o medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The law does not require an applicant to be completely symptom free before a finding of lack of disability can be rendered. In fact, if an applicant's symptoms can be managed to the point where s ubstantial gainful activity can be achieved, a finding of not disabled must be rendered.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations ar e assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental di sorders (descriptions of restrict ions of activities of daily living, social functioning; c oncentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerat e increased mental demands associated wit h com petitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

In determining how a severe ment al impairment affects the cli ent's ability to work, fou r areas considered to be essential to work are looked at:

...Activities of daily living including adaptive activities such as cleaning, shopping, cooking, taking public transportation, paying bills, maintaining a residence, caring appropriately for one's grooming and hygiene, using t directories, using a post office, etc. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(1).

..Social functioning refers to an indiv idual's capac ity to interact independently, appropriate ly, effectively, and on a sustained basis with other indi viduals. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(2).

Social functioning includes the ability to get along wit h others, such as family member s, friends, neighbors, grocery clerks, landlords, or bus dr ivers. You may demonstrate impaired s ocial functioning by, for example, a history of altercations, evictions, firings, fear of strangers, avoidance of interpersonal relationships, or social isolation. You may exhibit strength in soc ial functioning by such things as your ability to initiate social co ntacts with others, communicate clearly with others, or intera ct and actively participate in group activities. We also nee d to consider cooperative behaviors, consideration for ot hers, awareness of others' feelings, and social maturity. Social functioning in work situations may involve interactions with the public, responding appropriately to persons in authority (e.g., supervisors), or cooperative beha viors involving coworkers. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(2).

We do not define "marked" by a s pecific number of different behaviors in which social functioning is impaired, but by the nature and overall degree of interference with function. For example, if you are highly antagonistic, uncooperat ive or hostile but are tolerated by local storekeepers, we may nevertheless find that you have a marked limitation in social functioning because that behavior is not acceptable in other social cont exts. 20 CFR, Pa rt 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(2).

...Concentration, per sistence or pace refers to the ability to sustain focused attention and concentration sufficiently long to permit the timely and appropriate completion of tasks commonly found in work settings. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(3).

Limitations in concentration, persistence, or pace are best observed in work settings, but may also be reflected by limitations in other settings. In addition, major limitations in this area can often be assessed through clinical examination or psychological testing. W herever possible, however, a mental status examination or psychological test data should be supplemented by other available evidence. 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(3).

Episodes of decompensati on are exac erbations or temporary increases in sympt oms or signs accompanied by a loss of adaptive functioning, as manifested by difficulties in performing activities

of daily living, maintaining social relationships, or maintaining concentration, persist ence, or pace. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(4).

Episodes of decompensation may be dem onstrated by an exacerbation in sym ptoms or signs that would or dinarily require increased treatment or a less stressful situation (or a combination of the two). Episodes of decompensation may be inferred from medical re cords showing significant alteration in medication; or documentation of the need for a more structured psychological support system (e.g., hospitalizations, placement in a halfway house, or a highly structured and directing hous ehold); or other relevant information in the record about the existence, severity, and duration of the episode. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C)(4).

The evaluation of disability on the basis of a mental disorder requires sufficient evidence to: (1) establish the presence of a medically determinable ment al impairment(s); (2) assess the degree of functional limit ation the impair ment(s) imposes; and (3) project the probable duration of the impairment(s). Medical ev idence must be s ufficiently complete and detailed as to symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings to permit an independent determination. In addition, we will consider information from other sources when we determine how the established impairment(s) affects your ability to function. We will cons ider all relevant evidence in your case record. 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(D).

When we rate the degree of li mitation in the first three functional areas (activities of da ily living; social functioning; and conce ntration, persistence, or pace), we will u se the

following five-point scale: none, slight, moderate, marked, and extreme. When we rate the degree of limitation in the fourth functional area (episodes of decompensation), we will use the following four-point scale : none, one or two, three, four or more. The last is in compatible with the ability to do any gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920a(c).

After we rate the degree of functional limitation resulting from the impair ment(s), we will det ermine the severity of your mental impairment(s). 20 CFR 416.920a(d).

If we rate the degree of your limitation in the first three functional areas as "none" or "mild" and "none" in the fourth area, we will generally conclude that your impairment(s) is not severe, unless the evidence otherwise indicates that there is more than a minimal limitation in your ability to do any basic work activities. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(1).

If your mental impairment(s) is sever e, we will then determine if it meets or is equivalent in severity to a listed mental dis order. We do this by comparing the diagnostic medical findings about your im pairment(s) and the rating of the degree of functional limitat ion to the criteria of the appropriate listed mental disorder. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(2).

If we find t hat you have a sev ere mental impairment(s) that neither meets nor is equivalent in severity to any listing, we will then assess your residual functional capacity. 20 CFR 416.920a(d)(3).

At Step 1, claimant is not currently working and h as not worked since October 2012. The claimant is not preclude d from a finding of disability at Step 1. The Administrativ e law Judge will then proceed with the sequential evaluation.

At Step 2, the claimant's symptoms are evaluated to s ee if there is an underlying medically determinable phys ical or ment al impairment(s) that could reas onably be expected to produce the claimant's pain or other symptoms and ha s met the durational requirement. This must be shown by medi cally ac ceptable clinical and laboratory diagnostic techniques. Once an underlying physical or mental impairment(s) has been shown, the Administr ative Law Judge must evaluate the intens ity, persistence, and limiting effects of the claim ant's symptoms to determine the extent to which they limit the claimant's ability to do basic work activities. For this purpose, whenever statements about the intensity, persistence, or functionally limiting effects of pain or other symptoms are not substantiated by objec tive medical evidence, a findi ng on the credibility of the statements based on a consideration of the entire case record must be made.

This Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective me dical evidence of record does support the claimant's contention that s he is suff ering from a severe physical impairment that has lasted for 12 months. The objective medic al evidence of record shows claimant's physical impairments do meet the *de minimus* level of s everity and duration required for f urther analysis. Howe ver, the objective medical ev idence does not support the claimant's c ontention that she is suffering from a severe mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for 12 months. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge does not find that the claimant is suffering from a severe mental impairment as defined by statute. Becaus e the claimant is suffering from a severe physical impairment, t he claimant is therefore not precluded from a finding of disability at Step 2. The Administrative Law Judge will then proceed with the sequential evaluation.

The analysis then proceeds to Step 3. The objective medica I evidence of r ecord does not support a finding that claimant's diagnosed impairments, st anding alone or combined, are severe enough to meet to meet or equal any specifically lis ted impairments; consequently, the analysis must continue.

At Step 4, it must be determined whether or not claim ant has the ability to perform her past relevant work. The claimant has a past re levant work history consisting of working as a home health nur se, working as a CNA, wo rking in customer service, and working as a medical receptionist. The claimant 's past relevant work history consists of sedentary to medium semi-skille d to skilled work. Although the claimant is suffering from numerous impairments, t he objective medical evidence s upports the conclus ion that the claimant does retain some residual functional capacity. The objective medical evidence shows that the claimant's heart is not enlarged, that her x-rays of her hips and lumbar spine were unremarkable, and that the MRI of her lum bar spine was a lso unremarkable. The claimant has been diagnosed with vascular headaches, but the objective medical evidence does not support a conclusion that said condition would preclude work at all exertiona I levels. Although the c laimant is clearly suffering from polycystic kidney disease, the objective medical evidence still sports the conclusion that the claimant would retain the residual f unctional capacity to perform light work Accordingly, the claimant would not be pr ecluded from performing her past relevant work as a medical receptionist. Therefore, as claimant would still be able to perform her past relevant work, she is precluded from a finding of disability at Step 4.

At Step 5, this Administrative Law Judge must determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other jobs in the national economy. Although the claimant has been precluded from a finding of disability at Step 4, this Administrative Law J udge will nonetheles s c ontinue with the sequential evaluation process. The objective medical evidence supports the conclus ion that the claimant retains the residual f unctional c apacity to perform light work. Therefore, had the claimant not already been precluded from a finding of disability at Step 4, s he would be precluded from a finding of disability at Step 5, as she retains the residual I functional capacity to perform light work. Medical vocational guidelines have been de veloped and can be found in 20 CFR, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sectio n 200.00. When the facts coin cide with a particula r guideline, the guideline directs a conclusion as to di sability. 20 CFR 416.969. Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, a younger individual (age 38) with a high school education, a semiskilled to skille d work history, and who is capa ble of light work is not considered disabled pursuant to Vocational Rule 202.21.

The claimant has not presented the required competent, material and substantial evidence which would support a finding that the claimant has an impairment or combination of impair ments which results in the inability to engage in any substantial gainful activity. Alt hough the claimant has cited medical problems, the clinical documentation submitted by the claimant is not sufficient to establish a finding that the claimant is disabled. There is no object ive medic al evidence to substantiate the claimant's claim that the al leged impairment(s) are severe enough to reach the criteria and definition of disability. The claimant is not disabled for the purposes of the Medical Assistance disability (MA-P) program.

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the depar tment has appropriately establis hed on the record that i t was acting in compliance wit h department policy when it deni ed claimant's application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Christophe

<u>/s/</u>____

r S. Saunders Administrative Law Judge for Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: May 15, 2013

Date Mailed: May 15, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may or der a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

CSS/hj

