# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No:

Reg. No: 201273905 Issue No: 4031

Case No:

Hearing Date: November 28, 2012

Muskegon County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

#### **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request for a hearing. After due notic e, a telephone hearing was held on November 28, 2012. Claimant personally appeared and testified.

#### <u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department of Human Services (the department) properly deny claimant's application for State Disability Assistance (SDA)?

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On February 9, 2012, claimant filed an applic ation f or State Disability Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On Augus t 13, 2012, the Medica I Rev iew Team denied c laimant's application.
- 3. On August 17, 2012, the department case worker sent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- 4. On August 27, 2012, clai mant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On October 19, 2012, the State Hearing Review T eam again denie d claimant's application stating in its analysis and recommendation:

The medic al evidenc e could reasonably support that the claimant would be limited to the performance of light exertional tasks. There is no evidence to support allegation of seizures. The claimant is not currently enga substantial gainful ac tivity based on the information that is available in file. The claimant 's impairments/combination of impairments does not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Sec urity Administration (SSA) listin g. The medica 1 evidence of record indicates that the claim ant retains the capacity to perform light exertional tasks. There is no evidence to support allegation of seizures. The claimant's past work was as: housekeep ing, 323.687-014, 2L; lunch room attendant, 311.677-010, 2L; and, tutor, 099.227-034, 7L. Therefore, the claimant retains the capacity to perform their past relevant work. SDA is denied per 20 CFR416.9210 (e&f)/BEM 261 due to the capac ity to perform past relevant work. MA-P and retroactive MA -P were not considered as part of this SDA only review. Li stings 1.02/04, 4.04, 9.00.B5 and 11.02/03/14 were considered as part of this determination.

- 6. The hearing was held on November 28, 2012. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and request ed to submit additional medical information.
- 7. Additional medical information wa s submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on November 28, 2012.
- 8. On December 19, 2012, the Stat e Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analysis and recommendation:

degenerative disc disease that Claimant has a history of causes pain with movement. She was scheduled for surgery in December 2012. She is ex pected to have improved pain symptoms post-surgically. Des pite her c ondition, she is ambulatory. She retains the c apacity to perform light work. The claimant is not currently engaging in substantial gainful activity (SGA) based on the information that is available in the file. The claimant's impai rments do not meet/equal the intent or severity of a Social Security listing. The medical evidence of record indicates that the claim ant retains the capacity to perform a wide rang e of light work. A finding about the capacity for prio r work has not been made. However, this infor mation is not material because all potentially applic able medical-vocational guidelines would direct a finding of not disable ed given the claimant's age,

education and residual functional capacity (RFC). Therefore, based on the claimant's voca tional profile, benefit s are denied using Vocational Rule 202.13 as a guide. SDA is denied per PEM 261 because the nature and severity of the claimant's impairments would not preclude work act ivity at the above stated level for 90 days.

- 9. Claimant is a 53-year-old wom an whose date of bir this Claimant is 5'5" tall and weighs 209 pounds. Claimant is a high school graduate and is able to read and write, add, subtract and count money.
- 10. Claimant last worked in 2000 as a housekeeper. Claimant has als o worked as a lunchroom attendant and doing catering.
- 11. Claimant alleges as disabling im pairments: arthritis, back problems, seizures, neuropathy, depression and memory loss.

#### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and a ppeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

If the impairment or combination of impair ments do not signific antly limit physica I or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laboratory findings which demonstrate a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

- ...Medical reports should include -
- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (such as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of di sease or injury based on its signs and symptoms).... 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing basic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv).

Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as wa lking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2 ) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

Medical evidence may contain medical opinions. Medical opinions are statements from physicians and psychologists or other a cceptable medical sources that reflect judgments about the nature and severity of the impairment(s), including your symptoms, diagnosis and prognosis, what an indiv idual can do des pite impairment(s), and the physical or mental restrictions. 20 CFR 416.927(a)(2).

All of the evidence relevant to the claim, including medical opinions, is reviewed and findings are made. 20 CFR 416.927(c).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability.... 20 CFR 416.927(e).

A statement by a medical source finding that an individual is "disabled" or "unable to work" does not mean that disability exists for the purposes of the program. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regula tions require that s everal considerations be analyzed in s equential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analys is of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the forme r work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, t he client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- 5. Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, A ppendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

At Step 1, claimant is not engaged in subst antial gainful activity and is not disqualified from receiving disability at Step 1.

The subjective and objective medical evidence on the record indicates that claimant testified on the record that she lives with her sister in a house and her sister pays the rent. Claimant testified that she is single and has no children under 18 who live with her and has no income. Claimant does receive Food Assistance Program benefits. Claimant does drive one to two times per week and po ssesses a driver's license. She usually drives to c hurch. Claimant test ified that her sister cooks fo r her but claimant testified that she does grocer y shop one time per month for pers onal products and she does need help. Claimant testified that she does fold laundry and as a hobby she reads. Claimant testified that she watches televis ion all day long. Claimant testified that she can stand for 10 minutes and she can sit for 15 to 20 minutes at a time. Claim ant stated that she can walk one block, that she s hower and dress herself but not squat, tie her shoes and touch her toes and she cannot bend at the waist very easily . Claimant testified that her level of pain on a scale from 1 to 10 without medication is a 10 and with medication it is a 5. Cla imant is right-handed and she stat ed that her hands and arm s are fine and she has neuropathy in her legs and feet. Claimant testified that the heaviest weight she can carry is a gallon of milk and t hat she does not smoke, drink or take any drugs.

An October 2011 office visit on page 30 indic ates that claimant has a history of laminectomy and bilateral foraminotomies in 2004. Claimant complained of low back pain with motion. A March 2012 office visi t on page 32 indicates that claimant has a history of diabetes, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, low back pain and obesity and that the conditions are well managed. Claimant was scheduled to undergo back surgery on December 10, 2012. A medical examination report of November 6, 2012 indicates that t claimant was 5'5" tall and weighed 209 p ounds. Her blood pre ssure was 138/81 and pulse was 76. Motor and sensory was intact. Deep t endon reflexes were diminished throughout lower extremities. Plain f ilms demonstrate a high grade iatrogentric spondylolisthesis at the L4-5 level. She has severe spondy losis at L5-S1. She has a tremendous instability on flexion and extens ion x-rays at L4-5. She was recommended to undergo decompression, instrumented fusion at the L4-5 level with reduction of her high grade spondylolisthesis (page 49).

At Step 2, claimant has the burden of proof of establishing that she has a severe ly restrictive physical or mental impairment that has lasted or is expected to last for the duration of at least 12 months. There is insufficient objective clinical medical evidence in the record that claimant suffers a severely restrictive physical or mental impairment. Claimant has reports of pain in multiple areas of her body; however, there are no corresponding clinic al findings that suppor t the reports of symptoms and limitations made by the claimant. There are no labor atory or x-ray findings listed in the file which support claimant's contention of disability. The clinical impression is that claimant is stable. There is no medical finding that claim ant has any muscle at rophy or trauma, abnormality or injury that is consistent with a deteriorating condition. In short, claimant has restricted herself from tasks associat ed with occupational functioning based upo in her reports of pain (symptoms) rather than medical findings. Reported symptoms are an insufficient basis upon which a finding that claimant has me t the evidentiary burden of proof can be made. This Admini strative Law Judge finds the at the medical record is insufficient to establish that claimant has a severely restrictive physical impairment.

Claimant alleges no disabling mental impairments.

For mental disorders, severity is assessed in terms of the functional limitations imposed by the impairment. Functional limitations are assessed using the criteria in paragraph (B) of the listings for mental disorders (descriptions of restrictions of activities of daily living, social functioning; concentration, persistence, or pace; and ability to tolerate increased mental demands associated with competitive work).... 20 CFR, Part 404, Subpart P, App. 1, 12.00(C).

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric e vidence in the record indicating claimant s uffers severe mental limitations . There is no ment al residual functional capacity assessment in the record. There is in sufficient evidence contained in the file of depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it w ould prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was or iented to time, person and plac e during the hearing. Claimant was able to answer all of the questi ons at the hearing and was

responsive to the questions. The evidentiar y record is insufficient to find that claimant suffers a severely restrictive mental impair ment. For these reasons, this Administrative Law Judge finds that claimant has failed to meet her burden of proof at Step 2. Claimant must be denied benefits at this step bas ed upon her failure to meet the evidentiary burden.

If claimant had not been denied at Step 2, the analysis would proceed to Step 3 where the medical evidence of claimant 's condition does not give rise to a finding that she would meet a statutory listing in the code of federal regulations.

If claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, this Administrative Law Judge would have to deny her again at Step 4 based u pon her ability to perform her past relevant work. There is no ev idence upon which this Administrative Law Judge c ould base a finding that claimant is unable to perform work in which she has engaged in, in the past. Therefore, if claimant had not already been denied at Step 2, he would be denied a gain at Step 4.

The Administrative Law Judge will continue to proceed through the sequential evaluation process to determine whether or not claimant has the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior jobs.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that claimant does not have residual functional capacity.

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the Department of Labor... 20 CFR 416.967.

Sedentary work. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles lik e docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which involves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Light work. Light wor k involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this categor y when it requires a good deal of walking or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg controls.... 20 CFR 416.967(b).

Claimant has submitted insufficient objecti ve medical evidence that she lacks the residual functional capacity to perform some other less strenuous tasks than in her prior employment or that she is physically unable to do light or sedentary tasks if demanded of her. Claimant's act ivities of daily liv ing do not appear to be very limit ed and she should be able to per form light or sedentary work even with her impairments. Claimant has failed to provide the necessary objective medical evidence to establish that she has a severe impairment or comb ination of impairments which prevent her from performing any level of work for a period of 12 mont hs. The claimant's te stimony as to her limitations indicates that she should be able to perform light or sedentary work.

There is insufficient objective medical/ps ychiatric evidence contained in depression or a cognitive dysfunction that is so severe that it would prevent claimant from working at any job. Claimant was able to answer all the guestions at the hearing and was responsive to the questions. Claimant was oriented to time, person and place during the hearing. Claimant's complaints of pain, while profound and credible, are out of proportion to the objective medical evidence contained in the file as it relates to claimant's ability to perform work. Therefore, this Administrative Law Judge finds that the objective medical evidence on the record does not establis h that claimant has no residual functional capacity. Clai mant is dis qualified from receiving disability at Step 5 based upon the fact that she has not established by objective medical evidence that she cannot perform light or sedentary work even with her impairments. Under the medical vocational guidelines a person who is closely approaching advanced age at 53 with a work history who is limited high school education and unskilled to light work is not considered disabled pursuant to Medical Vocational Rule 202.13.

The department's Program Elig ibility Manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for casework ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: to receive State Disability Assist ance, a person must be disabled, caring for a disable diperson or age 65 or older. BEM , Item 261, p. 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled under the MA-P program and because the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable to work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for Stat e Disability Assistance benefits either.

The Department has established by the necessary competent, material and substantial evidence on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it determined that claimant was not eligible to receive Medical Assistance and/or State Disability Assistance.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides that the department has appropriately established on the record that it was acting in compliance with department policy when it denied claimant's application for Medical Assistance, retroactive Medical Assistance and State Disability Assistance benefits. The claimant should be able to perform a wide range of light or sedentary work

even with her impairments. The department has established its case by a preponderance of the evidence.

Accordingly, the department's decision is AFFIRMED.

Landis

Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge
for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 8, 2013

Date Mailed: January 8, 2013

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the receipt date of this Dec ision and Orde r. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## 201273905/lyl

### LYL/db

