#### STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No:201273712Issue No:2009, 4031Case No:Image: December 13, 2012Hearing Date:December 13, 2012Barry County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

# **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant 's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on December 13, 2012. Claim ant appeared and provided testimony on her behalf. Participants on behal f of the Dep artment of Human Servic es (Department) included

### **ISSUE**

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

# FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's MA-P/SDA applicati on on February 16, 2012 was denied on July 16, 2012 per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on August 26, 2012.
- 2. Claimant was age 46, with a GED, and work experience as an unskilled cashier, short order cook, and sells person in a country food store.
- 4. Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnosed dis orders of bi-polar and back disorder.
- 5. Medical reports of exam states the Claimant on May 25, 2012 had a GAF score of 54 (DHS exhibit A, pg 29).

# CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies ar e found in the Bridg es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

Facts above are undisputed:

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perform Substant ial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the client is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis continues to Step 3. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

#### 201273712/WAS

- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the clie nt's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equiv alent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the forme r work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, t he client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Re sidual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Ap pendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1 dis ability is not denied. The ev idence of record establish the Claim ant has not engaged in full-time substantia I gainful activity since Ma rch 2009 and curr ently she is employed 5-10 hours a week as a house cleaner.

Step 2 disability is denied. The medical evid ence of record, on date of applic ation, does not establish the Claimant significant function on al mental/physical incapacity to do basic work activities for the required one year continuous duration, as defined below.

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- (1) Physical functions such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;

- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

The Claimant has the burden of proof to establish disability based on the 5 step process above. .....20 CFR 416.912(a).

[In reviewing your impairment]...We need reports about your impairments from acceptable m edical sources.... 20 CFR 416.913(a).

Acceptable medical sources ab out your impairments are by a M.D. or D.O. or fully licensed psychologist. ....20 CFR 416.913(a)(c)(1)(2)

Claimant testified that she c an not work more than her cu rrent job 5-10 hours a week due to mental disc omfort being in the pub lic; that she has anxiety and stress being around people; and that physically she has intermittent back pain; and that she is limited to lifting/carrying 2 gallons of milk.

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a).

The medic al reports of record are exami reports, except for the GAF score of 54, Claimant's basic wor k limitations for the Claimant's diagnosed medical disorders moderately (non-severe impairment as defined above) or severely, as defined above?

Therefore, the Claimant has not sustained her burden of pr oof to establish a severe mental/physical impairment in combination, instead o f the non-severe impairment, for the required duration. Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop.

If Step 2 disab ility h ad not bee n denied, st ep 3 wo uld a lso b e denied. The medic al evidence of record, for the required duration, does not establish Claimant's impairments meet/equal Social Security listed impairment.

#### 201273712/WAS

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 4. The medic al evidenc e of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's functional mental/ physical incapacity, despite her im pairments, to perform any of her past work, (Findings of Fact #2), for the required one year continuous duration.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 5. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, establishes the Claimant had a residual functional capacity, despite her im pairments, to perform other work in the national economy for the required one year continuous duration.

At Step 5, the burden of proof shifts to the department to establish that Claimant does have a residual functional capacity.  $\dots$  20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(v).

The residual functional capac ity is when an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments would be considered in addition to ability to m eet certain standards of job in the national ec onomy. Physical demands , mental demands, sensory requirement s and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a)

To determine the physical dem ands (exer tion requirements) of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as s edentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the *Dictionary of Occupational Titles*, published by the department of labor. ....20 CFR 460.967

**Light w ork**. Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walking or standing or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg control. 20 CFR 416.967(b)

Under the Medical-Vocational guidelines, Rule 202.20, a younger individual (age 46), with a high school equivalency e ducation and an unskilled work history who is limited to light work is not considered disabled.

The department program eligibility manual contains the following policy statements and instructions for case workers regarding the estate disability as sistance p rogram: To receive state disability assistance, a person must be disabled, c arrying for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, Item 261, page 1. Because the claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that claimant is unable t o work for a period exceeding 90 days, the claimant does not meet the disability criteria for stat e disability assistance benefits either.

Therefore, medical disabili ty has not been establis hed at Step 2 and also would not have been established at Step 3, 4 and 5 by the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P/SDA denial is UPHELD.

William A Sundquist William A. Sundquist

Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 12, 2013

Date Mailed: February 12, 2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party wit hin 30 days of the ma iling date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

WAS/cr

CC:

