# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012-71478 Issue No.: 2009; 4031

Case No.: Hearing Date:

November 15, 2012

County: Ionia

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Vicki L. Armstrong

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge upon the Claimant's request for a hearing made pursuant to Mi chigan Compiled Laws 400.9 and 400.37, which gov ern the administrative hearing a nd appeal process. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on November 15, 2012, in the Ionia County DHS office. Claimant per sonally appeared and te stified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Eligib ility Specialis t

During the hearing, Claimant wa ived the time period for the i ssuance of this decision in order to allow for the submission of additional medical evidence. The new evidence was forwarded to the State Hearing Review Team (SHRT) for consideration. On December 19, 2012, the SHRT found Claimant was not disabled. This matter is now before the undersigned for a final decision.

## <u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the Department of Human Se rvices (the department) properly denied Claimant's application for Medical Ass istance (MA-P), Retro-MA and State Dis ability Assistance (SDA)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- (1) On March 22, 2012, Claimant filed an application for MA-P/Retro-MA and SDA benefits alleging disability.
- (2) On August 1, 2012, the Medical Review T eam (MRT) denied Claimant's application for MA-P and Retro-MA i ndicating that she was capable of

- performing other work. SDA was de nied due to lack of duration. (Department Exhibit A, pp 8-9).
- (3) On August 7, 2012, the department ca seworker sent Claimant notice that her application was denied.
- (4) On August 20, 2012, Claimant filed a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- (5) On October 9, 2012, the State Hearing Review T eam (SHRT) found Claimant was not d isabled a nd retained the ability to perform light unskilled work. SDA was denied because the information in the file was inadequate to ascertain whether Claimant is or would be disabled for 90 days. (Department Exhibit B, pp 1-2).
- (6) Claimant has a hist ory of anxiety, posttraumati c stress disorder, depression, heart attack, diabetes and neuropathy.
- On January 12, 2012, Claimant dev eloped chest pain and went to the (7) hospital where she was found to have ST elevation myocardial infarction. She did get thrombolytics and was transferred to the medical center where she was admitted to the intensive care unit and placed on heparin and nitroglycerin drip. Her chest pai n improved and she had a heart catheterization where she had a stent placed to the right coronary artery times two. She was also found to have microcytic anemia secondary to iron deficiency. Her Hb A1C was 11.7 which indicated she had severely uncontrolled blood s ugars at home despite the us e of glipizide and metformin. She was instructed s he would need insulin at home for improved control. She was di scharged on January 16, 2012 with a rction, CAD, microcrytic anemia diagnosis of acute myocardial infa secondary to iron deficiency. dys lipidemia, gastroesophageal reflux disease, diabetic neuropathy diabetes and hypomagnesemia. (Department Exhibit A, pp 43-249).
- On April 24, 2012, Claimant underwent a medical examination on behalf of (8) the department. Claimant's chief complaints were diabetes, neuropathy in feet and hands, and heart problems. Claimant's sugars app ear to be poorly controlled by history. She was recently in the emergency room for elevated s ugars and sustained a recent myocardial infarction. She complains of polyuria and poly dipsia and has had about a 10 pound weight loss. She had dysesthesia to light touch from the knees distally and had mild difficulty performing orthopedic maneuvers due to her dysesthesia and she compensates with a guarded gait but is stable enough not to need an assistive device. Her overall degree of impairment appears mild but declining; prognosis is fair to guarded but will continue to deteriorate without treatment. (Department Exhibit A, pp 30-35).

- On June 26, 2012, Claimant un derwent a psychologic al examination on behalf of the department. Claimant stated she was diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder, depression and anxiety. She stated that her husband died of cancer in 2011 and she had a heart attack in January, 2012. She also noted that her daughter was killed by a car while the two of them were walking in Febr uary, 2012. When asked about her depression, she stated she feels depressed and suicidal. She notes that she cannot walk far because when sh e starts walking sh e sees her daughter flying through the ai r. As a result, she does not often want to leave home and she does not want to be around others. She says she cannot be in the store wit hout having the ur ge to run out. She has panic attacks when she goes out, but does not appear to have them at home. She notes that she has nightmares and recurrent memories of the incident. She is easily startled. She has sadness and crying spells all the time. She has a dec reasing appetite. She eats only because she has diabetes. She cannot sleep and gets four or five hours off and on during the night and is up at night seeing shadows sometimes and feeling scared and hearing voices saying her name. She often does not want to be alone. Diagnosis: Axis I: Posttraum atic Stress Disorder, Panic with Agoraphobia, Bereavement; Axis III: Diabetes with neuropathy, Pancreas problems; Axis V: G AF=48. Pr ognosis is poor, particularly in the shortterm and i s guarded even for the longterm, given the nature of her emotional issues. (Department Exhibit A, pp 10-14).
- (10) On October 5, 2012, Claimant presented to the emergency department for evaluation of a cough, c hest pain and difficulty breat hing. She states that the cough and diffic ulty breathing star ted at approximately 2am. She reports clear blood-s treaked sputum production. Chest pain began around 3am while at rest. She was given nitroglycerin by EMS with no improvement. She now complain s of a headache that began after receiving nitroglycerin. Comprehens ive metabolic panel shows a lo w sodium of 129, elevated glucose of 595, low bicarb of 21 and is otherwise unremarkable. An IV was establis hed and she was placed on continuous cardiac m onitoring. Clinic al present ation is most s uggestive of acute bronchitis. She was diagnosed with pleuritic chest pain, dyspnea and type 2 dia betes mellitus, o ut of control, currently hyperglycemic. (Department Exhibit A, pp 7-20).
- (11) Claimant is a 52 year old wo man whos e birthday is Claimant is 5'2" tall and weighs 170 lbs. Claimant has a high schoo l education.
- (12) Claimant was appealing the denial of Social Securi ty disability benefits at the time of the hearing.

# **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medic al Assistance (MA) program is est ablished by the Title XIX of the Socia I Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department of Human Services uses the federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the Medical Assistance program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

... the inability to do any subs tantial gainful activity by reason of any medically dete rminable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. 20 CFR 416.905

The SDA program differs from the feder al MA regulations in that the durational requirement is 90 days. This means that the person's impairments must meet the SSI disability standards for 90 days in order for that person to be eligible for SDA benefits.

The person claiming a physica I or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as his or her medical history, clinical/laboratory findings, di agnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged, 20 CF R 416.913. An individual's subjective pain complaints are not, in and of the mselves, sufficient to estab lish disability. 20 CFR 416.908 a nd 20 CF R 416.929. By the same token, a conclus ory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient without supporting medical evidence to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.929.

A set order is used to deter mine disability. Current work activity, severity of impairments, residual functional capacity, past wor k, age, or education and work experience is reviewed. If there is a finding that an individual is disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, there will be no further evaluation. 20 CFR 416.920.

If an individual is working and the work is substantial gainful activity, the individual is not disabled regardless of the medical condition, education and work experienc e. 20 CFR

416.920(c). If the impairment, or combination of impairments, do not significantly limit physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, it is not a severe impairment(s) and disability does not exist. Age, education and work experience will not be considered. 20 CFR 416.920.

Statements about pain or other symptoms do not alone establish disability. There must be medical signs and laborator y findings which demons trate a medical impairment. 20 CFR 416.929(a).

Medical reports should include -

- (1) Medical history.
- (2) Clinical findings (suc h as the results of physical or mental status examinations);
- (3) Laboratory findings (such as blood pressure, X-rays);
- (4) Diagnosis (statement of dis ease or injury based on its signs and symptoms). 20 CFR 416.913(b).

In determining disability under the law, the ability to work is measured. An individual's functional capacity for doing bas ic work activities is evaluated. If an individual has the ability to perform basic work activities with out significant limitations, he or she is not considered disabled. 20 CFR 416.994(b)(1)(iv). Basic work activities are the abilities and aptitudes necessary to do most jobs. Examples of these include –

- (1) Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- (2) Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- (3) Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- (4) Use of judgment;
- (5) Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- (6) Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

Medical findings must allow a determination of (1) the nature and limiting effects of your impairment(s) for any period in question; (2 ) the probable duration of the impairment ; and (3) the residual functional capacity to do work-related physical and mental activities. 20 CFR 416.913(d).

The residual functional capac ity is what an individual can do desp ite limitations. All impairments will be considered in addition to ability to meet certain demands of jobs in the national economy. Physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements and other functions will be evaluated. 20 CFR 416.945(a).

To determine the physical demands (exertional requirements) of work in the national economy, we class ify jobs as sedentary, light, medium and heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles, published by the Department of Labor. 20 CFR 416.967. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occasionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, Although a sedentary job is def ined as one which involves ledgers, and small tools. sitting, a certain amount of wa lking and standing is often necess ary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if walking and standing are required occasion ally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a). Light work involves lifting no more than 20 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 10 pounds. Even though the weight lifted may be very little, a job is in this category when it requires a good deal of walk ing or standing, or when it involves sitting most of the time with some pushing and pulling of arm or leg c ontrols. 20 CFR 416.967(b). Medium work involves lifting no more t han 50 pounds at a time wit h frequent lifting or carrying of objects weighing up to 25 pounds. If someone can do medium work, we determine that he or she can also do sedentar y and light work. 20 CFR 416. 967(c). Heavy work involves lifting no more than 100 pounds at a time with frequent lifting or carrying o f objects weighing up to 50 pounds. If som eone can do heavy work, we deter mine that he or she can also do medium, light, and sedentary work. 20 CFR 416.967(d).

The Administrative Law Judge is responsible for making the determination or decis ion about whether the statutory definition of disability is met. The Administrative Law Judge reviews all medical findings and other ev idence that support a medical source's statement of disability. 20 CFR 416.927(e).

When determining disability, the federal regula tions require that s everal considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- Does the client perf orm Substantial Gainful Activit y (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analys is c ontinues t o Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a special listing of impairments or are the client's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least equivalent in severity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed

impairment? If no, the analysis continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).

- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 year s? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200.00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Based on Finding of Fact #6-#11 above this Administrative Law Judge answers:

Step 1: No.

Step 2: Yes.

Step 3: Yes. Claimant has show n, by clear and convincing documentary evidence and credible testimony, her mental impairments meet or equal Listing 12.04(A) and 12.04(B):

**12.04 Affective disorders**: Characterized by a distur bance of mood, accompanied by a full or partial manic or depressive syndrome. Mood refers to a prolonged emotion that colors the whole psychic life; it generally involves either depression or elation.

The required level of severity for these disorders is met when the requirements in both A and B are satisfied.

A. Medically documented persist ence, either continuous or intermittent, of one of the following:

- 1. Depressive syndrome characterized by at least four of the following:
- a. Anhedonia or per vasive los s of intere st in a lmost all activities; or
- b. Appetite disturbance with change in weight; or
- c. Sleep disturbance; or
- d. Psychomotor agitation or retardation; or

### 2012-71478/VLA

- e. Decreased energy; or
- f. Feelings of guilt or worthlessness; or
- g. Difficulty concentrating or thinking; or
- h. Thoughts of suicide; or
- i. Hallucinations, delusions, or paranoid thinking; or
- 2. Manic s yndrome characterized by at least three of the following:
- a. Hyperactivity; or
- b. Pressure of speech; or
- c. Flight of ideas; or
- d. Inflated self-esteem; or
- e. Decreased need for sleep; or
- f. Easy distractibility; or
- g. Involvement in activities that have a high probability of painful consequences which are not recognized; or
- h. Hallucinations, delusions or paranoid thinking; or
- 3. Bipolar syndrome with a history of episodic periods manifested by the full symptomatic picture of both manic and depressive syndromes (and currently characterized by either or both syndromes);

#### AND

- B. Resulting in at least two of the following:
- 1. Marked restriction of activities of daily living; or
- 2. Marked difficulties in maintaining social functioning; or
- 3. Marked difficulties in maintaining concentration, persistence, or pace; or
- 4. Repeated episodes of decomp ensation, each of extended duration:

Accordingly, this Ad ministrative Law Judg e concludes that Claimant is disabled for purposes of the MA/Retro-MA and SDA progr ams. Consequently, the department's denial of her March 22, 2012, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application cannot be upheld.

# **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusion sof law, decides the department erred in determining Claimant is not currently disabled for MA/Retro-MA and SDA eligibility purposes.

Accordingly, the department's decision is **REVERSED**, and it is ORDERED that:

- The department shall process Claim ant's March 22, 2012, MA/Retro-MA and SDA application, and shall award her all the benefits she may be entitled to receive, as long as she meets the remaining financial a no non-financial eligibility factors.
- 2. The department shall rev iew Claimant's medica I cond ition for improvement in January, 2015, unless her Social Se curity Administration disability status is approved by that time.
- 3. The department shall obtain updated medical evidence from Claimant's treating physicians, physical therapists, pain clinic notes, etc. regarding her continued treatment, progress and prognosis at review.

It is SO ORDERED.

/s/	
	Vicki L. Armstrong
	Administrative Law Judge
	for Maura D. Corrigan, Director
	Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 2, 2013

Date Mailed: January 2, 2013

**NOTICE**: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party wit hin 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Admi nistrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely r equest for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is ne wly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to addres s other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the loc al DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## VLA/las

CC:

