## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

#### IN THE MATTER OF:



201263927 Reg. No: Issue No: Case No: Hearing Date: County DHS:

2009, 4031

October 11, 2012 Allegan

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: William A. Sundquist

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administ rative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Cla imant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on October 11, 2012. Claimant appeared and testified. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Serv ices (Department) included The hearing record wa s extended for 90 days for a second SHRT review of medical reports submitted at the hearing. (Claimant Exhibit 1).

#### ISSUE

Was disability, as defined below, medically established?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant's MA-P (3 months retro) /SDA application on February 2, 2012, was denied on June 28, 2012, per BEM 260/261, with a hearing request on July 9, 2012.
- Claimant was age 43, a 9<sup>th</sup> grade education, and work experience as an 2. unskilled s ales, customer helper at Wal-Mart, restaurant waitres s. and nurse's a id; and semi-skilled work as an inspector of electronic circuit board devices and soldering circuit board components.
- 3. Claimant's last employment ended January 2009 d ue to medical reasons; she became eligible for unemployment compensation benefits in March, 2009 with exhaustion in March, 2011.

#### 201263927/WAS

- Claimant alleges disability due to medically diagnosed dis orders of fibromyalgia, disc degeneration, spinal stenosis, TMJ, and post-traumatic stress disorder. (DHS Exhibit A, pp. 98).
- 5. Medical reports of examinations state the Claimant on:
  - a. January 18, 2012, Claim ant has overall general examination within normal limits with a stable condition. (DHS Exhibit A, pp. 72)
  - b. May 25, 2012, Claim ant was cooperative in answer ing questions and following commands; t hat she appears mildly depressed and seem be in mild discomfort; that her imm ediate, recent and remote memory is intact with normal concentration; t hat her insight and judgment are both appropriate ; that there is no ev idence of joint laxity, crepitance, or infusion; that grip strength remains intact; that dexterity is unimpaired; that she could tie and button clothing, and open a door; that she had no difficulty getting on and off the examination table, mild difficulty heel and toe walking, mild difficulty squatting, and no difficulty standing on eit her foot; that range of motion studies for normal for the cervical spine, dorsolumbar spine, shoulders, elbows, hips, wrists, hands -fingers; that cranial nerves are intact; that motor strength and tone are normal; that sensory is intact to light touch and pin prick; that patient walks with a mild right limp without the use of an assist device; that she had undergone a cervical spine infusion and this appears to relatively stable; that her range of motion was 4; that her degree of impairment appe ars somewhat mild but potentially treatable; and that her prognosis is fair but not declining. (DHS Exhibit A, pp. 26-29).
  - June 15, 2012, was cooperative in ans wering questions and C. following commands; that she appears mildly depressed today; that her immediate, recent and remote memory is intact with normal concentration; that her insight and judgment ar e both appropriat e; that there is no evidence in joint laxity, crepitance, or effusion; that arip strength remains intact; that dexterity is unimpaired; that she could tie, button clothing and opening door; that she had no difficulty getting on and off the examination table, mild difficulty heel and toe walking, mild difficulty squatting due to pa in in right knee, and no difficulty standing on eit her foot; that there is mild lumbar spine straightening wit hout tenderness; that range of motion is normal for the cervi cal spine, dorsolumbar spine, ankles, and wrists; that cranial nerves are inta ct; that motor stre ngth is intact; that muscle tone is normal; that she walks with a mild right limp without the use of an assist dev ice: that she does have some old neuropathy in the right arm; that she has the ability to sit, stand, bend, stoop, carry, push, pull, button close, tie s hoes, dres s-

undress, dial telephone, open door, make a fist, pick up coin, pic k up pencil, write, squat and arise from squatting, get on and off examining table, climb stairs; that she is able to walk on heels and toes; that her gait is st able and within normal limits; that she has a <u>mild</u> right lurching gait; that her grip strength is 5/5. (DHS Exhibit B, pp. 1-6).

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The State Disability A ssistance (SDA) program which provides financial ass istance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Service s (DHS or department) admin isters the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department polic ies are found in the Bridge es Administrative Manua I (BAM), the Bridges Elig ibility Manual (B EM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XIX of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by T itle 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (BRM).

The facts above are undisputed.

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

...We follow a set order to determine whether y ou are disabled. We review any current work activity, the severity of your impairment(s), your resi dual functional capacity, your past work, and your age, educati on and work experience. If we can find that you are disabled or not disabled at any point in the review, we do not review your claim further.... 20 CFR 416.920.

When determining disability, the federal regulations require that several considerations be analyzed in sequential order. If disability can be ruled out at any step, analysis of the next step is <u>not</u> required. These steps are:

- 1. Does the client perf orm S ubstantial Gainful Activity (SGA)? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 2. 20 CFR 416.920(b).
- 2. Does the client have a severe impairment that has lasted or is expected to last 12 months or more or result in death? If no, the cli ent is ineligible for MA. If yes, the analysis c ontinues to Step 3. 20 CF R 416.920(c).
- 3. Does the impairment appear on a spec ial listing of impairments or are the cli ent's symptoms, signs, and laboratory findings at least eq uivalent in s everity to the set of medical findings specified for the listed impairment? If no, the analys is continues to Step 4. If yes, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.290(d).
- 4. Can the client do the former work that he/she performed within the last 15 years? If yes, the client is ineligible for MA. If no, the analysis continues to Step 5. 20 CFR 416.920(e).
- Does the client have the Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) to perform other work according to the guidelines set forth at 20 CFR 404, Subpart P, Appendix 2, Sections 200. 00-204.00? If yes, the analysis ends and the client is ineligible for MA. If no, MA is approved. 20 CFR 416.920(f).

Step 1, dis ability is not denied. The ev idence of rec ord established the Claimant has not engaged in substantial gainful activities since January, 2009.

Step 2, disability is denied. The medical ev idence of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's significant mental/physical incapacity to do basic work activities for the required 1 year continuing duration, as defined above:

...If you do not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits your physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, we will find that you do not have a severe impairment and are, therefore, not di sabled. We will not consider your age, education, and work experience. 20 CFR 416.920(c).

**Non-severe impairment(s)**. An impairment or combination of impairments is not severe if it does not signific antly limit

your physical or mental ability to do bas ic work activities. 20 CFR 416.921(a).

**Basic w ork activities.** When we talk about basic work activities, we mean the abilities and aptitudes neces sary to do most jobs. Examples of these include --

- 1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

# SEVERE IMPAIRMENT

To qualify for MA-P, claimant must first satisfy both the gainful work and the duration cr iteria (20 CFR 416.920(a)) before further review under severity criteria. If claimant does not have any impairment or combination of impairments which significantly limits physical or mental ability to do basic work activities, an ultima tely favorable dis ability determination cannot result. (20 CFR 416.920(c)).

The burden of proof is on the Claimant to est ablish disability, as defined ab ove, by the preponderance of the medical evidence of record...20 CFR 416.912(a).

Claimant testified that primar ily it was her back that dis abled her due to pain; that her spine cracks, pops, and feel like it is going to break; that after gripping an object for 5-10 minutes, her hamstring gives out; and that she is limited to lifting/carrying 10 pounds.

...Statements about your pain or other symptoms will not alone establish that you are disabled; there must be medical signs and laboratory findings which s how that you have a medical impairment.... 20 CFR 416.929(a). The Claimant has not sustained her burden of proof to establish a ment al/physical severe impairment in combination instead of a non-sev ere impairment, for the required duration.

Therefore, the sequential evaluation is required to stop.

If disability had not already be en denied at Step 2, Step 3 w ould also be de nied. The medical evidence of record, for the required duration, does not establish the Claimant's impairments meet/equal a Social Security listing.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 4. The medic al evidenc e of record, on date of application, does not establish the Claimant's functional mental/ physical incapacity, despite her im pairments, to perform any of her past work for the required 1 year continuous duration.

At Step 5 the burden of proof shifts to the DHS.

If disability had not already been denied at Step 2, it would also be denied at Step 5. The medical evidence of record, on date of application, establishes the Claimant had at least a residual functional capacity, despite her impairments, to perform any other work in the National Economy, such as her sedent ary work as an inspector of circuit board electronic devices, for the required 1 year continuous duration.

...Your residual functional capacit y is what you can still do despite limitations. If you have more than one impairment, we will consider all of your impairment(s) of which we are aware. We will consider your ability to meet certain demands of jobs, such as physical demands, mental demands, sensory requirements, and other functions, as described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of this section. Residual functional capacity is an assess ment based on all of the relevant evidence.... 20 CFR 416.945(a).

...To determine the physical exertion requirements of work in the national economy, we classify jobs as sedentary, light, medium, heavy, and very heavy. These terms have the same meaning as they have in the Dictionary of <u>Occupational Titles</u>, published by the Department of Labor.... 20 CFR 416.967.

**Sedentary work**. Sedentary work involves lifting no more than 10 pounds at a time and occa sionally lifting or carrying articles like docket files, ledgers, and small tools. Although a sedentary job is defined as one which in volves sitting, a certain amount of walking and standing is often necessary in carrying out job duties. Jobs are sedentary if wa lking and standing are required occasionally and other sedentary criteria are met. 20 CFR 416.967(a).

Under the Medical-Vocational Guidelines, Rule 201.24, a yo unger individual, age 43, with a 9<sup>th</sup> grade education and unskilled work history who is limited to sedentary work is not considered disabled.

The Department's program e ligibility manual contains the following policy s tatements and instructions for case work ers regarding the State Disability Assistance program: To receive State Disability Assistance, a person must be disabled, carrying for a disabled person or age 65 or older. BEM, item 261, pp. 1. Because the Claimant does not meet the definition of disabled u nder the MA-P program and becaus e the evidence of record does not establish that Claiman t is unable to work for a per iod exceeding 90 days, the Claimant does not meet the disability crit eria for State Disab ility Assistance benefits either.

Therefore, medical disability has not been established at Step 2 and also would not have been established at Steps 3, 4 & 5 by the competen t, material and substantia I evidence on the whole record.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides disability was not medically established.

Accordingly, MA-P denial is UPHELD.

William A Sundquist

William A. Súndquist Administrative Law Judge For Maura D. Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: <u>February 8, 2013</u> Date Mailed: <u>February 8, 2013</u>

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may or der a re hearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at t he request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hear ings will not orde r a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

## 201263927/WAS

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical e rror, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

#### WAS/kl

