STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No: 201255782 Issue No: 2009

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Aaron McClintic

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, an inperson hearing was held on Claimant appeared and testified. Claimant's Authorized Hear ings Represent ative, appeared for the Claimant. The Department was represented by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Did the Department pr operly deny Claim ant's Medica I Assistance (MA-P) program application?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. Claimant applied for MA-P on with a request for retroactive coverage back to
- 2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on
- 3. <u>Claimant's Authorized Representa</u> tive was notified of the denial on
- 4. Claimant filed a request for hear ing on denial. regarding the MA denial.
- 5. An in-person hearing was held on
- 6. On **Constant of**, the State Heari ng Review Team denied the application because t he medical evidence of reco rd indic ates that the Claimant's

condition is improving or is expected to improve within 12 months from the date of onset or from the date of surgery.

- 7. Claimant is 5' 2" tall and weighs 200 pounds having lost 50 pounds in the last year.
- 8. Claimant is 50 years of age.
- 9. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnos ed as COPD, high blood pressure, sleep apnea, depression, anxiety.
- 10. Claimant has the following symptoms: insomnia, memory and concentration problems, pain, fatigue, shortness of breath and dizziness.
- 11. Claimant completed high school and some college courses. Claimant was attending classes at the time of hearing tak ing 2 class es, 2 days a week for 6 hours of class time per week.
- 12. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
- 13. Claimant is not work ing. Claimant last work ed in produce clerk. Claimant previously worked as a fast food worker.
- 14. Claimant lives with her husband.
- 15. Claimant testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
- 16. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:



- 17. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:
 - i. Sitting: 30 minutes
 - ii. Standing: 10 minutes
 - iii. Walking: 3 blocks
 - iv. Bend/stoop: difficulty
 - v. Lifting: 20 lbs.
 - vi. Grip/grasp: no limitations
- Following hearing updated records were gathered and forwarded to the State Hearing Rev iew. Claimant agreed to this and waived timelines s standards.

- 19. On **Claimant's appeal bec ause the medical evidence of r ecord indicates that the Claimant retains the capacity to perform light work.**
- 20. In a medical examination report dated found to be capable of lifting 10 pounds hours in an 8 hour day and no restriction was found to be stable. Claimant's condition was found to be stable.
- 21. Claimant was not receiv ing any mental health treat ment at the time of hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The regulations governing the hearing and a ppeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An oppor tunity for a hearing shall be granted to an ap plicant who requests a hearing because his or her clai m for assistance has been den ied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients h ave the right to contes t a department decision affecting elig ibility or benefit levels whenev er it is believed that the decis ion is incorrect. The department will provide an adm inistrative hearing to review th e decis ion and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The Medic al Assistance (MA-P) program is established by Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is im plemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department administers the MA-P program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Feder al Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining el igibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substant ial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations r equire that the department use t he same operative definition for "disabled" as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

"Disability" is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an indiv idual is disabled, 20 CFR 4 16.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual f unctional c apacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not di sabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if t he individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful ac tivity. 20 CFR 416.9 20(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified a this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Clai mant is considered disabled is whether the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ab ility to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

- 1. Physical functions s uch as walkin g, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching carrying or handling;
- 2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
- 3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
- 4. Use of judgment;
- 5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
- 6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding t hat Claimant has significant physical and mental limitati ons upon Claimant's abili ty to perform basic work activities such as walk ing, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling; Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysi s, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or

equal to a listed impairment. Se e Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listings 3.03 and 12.04 were considered.

The person claiming a physica I or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/pre scribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CRF 416.913. A conc lusory statement by a physici an or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient, without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analys is to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was as a fast food worker. Working as a fast food worker as testified to by Claimant would be considered light work. The Claimant's impairments would not prevent her from doing past relevant work, because she is capable of performing work on light exertional level. Therefore, Claimant's appeal is denied at step 4. Claimant's testimony regarding her limitations and ability to sit, stand, walk, lift and carry are not supported by substantial evidence. Claim ant failed to submit substant ial medical evidence that she has a psychological impairment that is significantly limiting.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he above findings of fact and conclusion s of law, decides that the Claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of the MA-P program.

Accordingly, the Department's decis ion in the a bove stated matter is, hereby, **AFFIRMED**.

Aaron McClintic Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 03/19/2013

Date Mailed: 03/20/2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsider ation on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decis ion and O rder. Administrative Hearings will not or der a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's moti on where the final decision cannot be implemented within 60 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical e rror, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AM/kl

