STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF: Reg. No: 2012-55413

Issue No: <u>2009</u>

Case No:

Hearing Date: September 19, 2012

Genesee-06 County DHS



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Landis Y. Lain

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon claimant's request fo ra hearing to protest the denial of claimant's application for MA. After due notic e, an in person hearing was held on September 19, 2012. Claimant personally appeared and testified. Claimant was represented at the hearing by

<u>ISSUE</u>

Whether the claimant meets the disability criteria for Medical Assistance (MA-P)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

- 1. On October 17, 2011, claimant filed an application for Medical Assistance and retroactive Medical Assistance benefits alleging disability.
- 2. On Januar y 25, 2012, the Medica I Rev iew T eam denied c laimant's application stating that claimant c ould perform other work pursuant to Medical/Vocation Rule 202.21.
- 3. On February 24, 2012, the depar timent caseworker s ent claimant notice that her application was denied.
- 4. On May 21, 2012, claimant file d a request for a hearing to contest the department's negative action.
- 5. On July 3, 2012, the State Hearing Review Team again denied claimant's application stating in its analys is and recommendation: the claimant's

lungs and heart was within normal limits. There was limite d range of motion of the knees and all other join ts were normal. She had decreased grip strength of the left hand. However, dexterity was unimpaired. She had normal motor strength and tone. Her gait is normal. The diabetes is under control with no reports of end organ dam age. Her vision is within normal limits. The medical evidence s hows that she may be depr essed at times. She is still able to remember, understand, and communicate with others. As a result of the claimant combination of severe physical condition, she is restricted to performing light unskilled work. She retains the capacity to lift up to 20 lbs occasionally, 10 lbs frequently and stand and walk f or up to 6 of 8 hours. Claimant is not engaging in sub stantial gainful activity at this time. Claimant's severe impair ments do not meet or equal any listing. Despite the impairments, she retains the capacity to perform light unskilled work. Therefore, based on the claim ant's vocational profile (c laimant approaching advance age, 12th education, and light work history); MA-P is denied using Vocational Rule 202.20 as a guide. Retroactive MA-P benefits are denied at step 5 of the sequential evaluation; claimant retains the capacity to perform light unskilled work.

- 6. The hearing was held on September 19, 2012. At the hearing, claimant waived the time periods and request ed to submit additional medical information.
- 7. Additional medical information was submitted and sent to the State Hearing Review Team on September 20, 2012.
- 8. On January 24, 2013, the State Hearing Review T eam approved claimant for Medical Assistance stating in its recommended decision: the claimant received a partially favorable allow ance beginning on day of her 50 th birthday supported by the medical evidence for Social Security Disability benefits. Therefor e, MA -P/Retro MA-P is approved effective April 26, 2012. At the medical review of January, 2014 request medical records from the last 6 months.
- 9. According to claimant's award letter dated October 2, 2012, claimant was entitled to monthly disability benefits beginning October, 2012 under the Retirement, Survivor's and Disability Insurance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is estab lished by Title XI X of the Social Sec urity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the C ode of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (DHS or department) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105. Department policies are found in

the Program Administrative Manual (PAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (PEM) and the Program Reference Manual (PRM).

Because of the SHRT determination, it is not necessary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability, per BAM, Item 600.

Because of the Social Security Administration determination, it is not necess ary for the Administrative Law Judge to discuss the issue of disability. PEM, Item 260.

A person eligible for retirement, survivors and disability insurance (RSDI) benefits based ability or blindness crit eria. Disab ility or on his dis ability or blindness meets the dis blindness starts from the RSDI disability onset date establish ed by the So cial Security Administration (SSA). This inclu des a per son whose entire RSDI benefit is being with child for recruitment. No other evidence is required. BEM, Item 260, Page 1, Claima nt filed the current application for RSDI on May 11, 2011. The claimant was denied by initial determination dated December 2. 2011 af ter review of the cas e by staff at Michigan Disability Determination Service. The partially favorable decision from the Social Security Administration Administrative Law Judge i ndicates that beginnin g on claimant's age c ategory chan ged cons idering c laimant's age, education and work experience the finding of disabled is reached by direct application of Medical Vocation al Rule 201.14. And a finding of disability as of the claimant's 50 th birthday is supported by argument. The claimant was not considered disabled prior to (p 13 Social Security Administration decision issued September 24, 2012).

The department is required to init iate a determination of claimant's financial eligibility for the requested benefits, if not previously done.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the claimant meets the definition of medically disabled under the Medical Assistance Program as of the April 4, 2012 RSDI approval date.

Accordingly, the department is **ORDERED** to initiate a review of the applic ation if it has not already done so, to determine if all other non -medical eligibility criteria are met. The department shall inform the claimant of the determination in writing.

A medical review should be scheduled for January, 2014. The department should check to see if claimant is in current payment status or not. If the claimant is in current payment status at the medical review no further action will be necessary. However, if the claimant is not in current payment status at the medical review, the department is to obtain updated application forms (DHS49) and obtain updated medical records.

It is ORDERED that t he department shall review this case in one year from the date of this Decision and Order.

Landis

Y. Lain

Administrative Law Judge

for Maura D. Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: January 30, 2013

Date Mailed: January 30, 2013

NOTICE: Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsider ation on the Department's motion where the final decisi on cannot be implement ed within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decis ion and Order or, if a timely request for r ehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly disc overed evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration <u>MAY</u> be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

2012-55413/LYL

LYL/las

