## STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

## IN THE MATTER OF:



| Reg. No.: | $2012-76089$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Issue No.: | 2009 |
| Case No.: | 1 |
| Hearing Date: | January 3, 2013 |
| County: | Wayne (81) |

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer

## HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on January 3, 2012, at Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant were the Claimant, her Authorized Representative, and her immigration law attorney, . Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) were , Medical Contact Worker.

## ISSUE

Did the Department properly $\boxtimes$ deny Claimant's application $\square$ close Claimant's case for:


Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?
State Disability Assistance (SDA)?
Child Development and Care (CDC)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant $\boxtimes$ applied for benefits $\square$ received benefits for:

$\square$
Family Independence Program (FIP).Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).
Food Assistance Program (FAP).
Q Medical Assistance (MA).
State Disability Assistance (SDA).
Child Development and Care (CDC).
2. On July 30, 2012, the Department $\boxtimes$ denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to a determination that she was not disabled.
3. On July 30, 2012, the Department sent
$\boxtimes$ Claimant $\square$ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the $\quad \boxtimes$ denial. $\square$ closure.
4. On September 5, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the $\boxtimes$ denial of the application. $\square$ closure of the case.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
$\boxtimes$ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.

Additionally, the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are entered in this case. On November 30, 2012, the Appeals Council of the Office of Disability Adjudication and Review (ODAR) of the U.S. Social Security Administration (SSA) issued a decision denying Claimant's appeal of a denial of Social Security benefits to her.

The Department's Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260, "MA Disability/Blindness," is the applicable Department policy to be considered in this case. BEM 260 states that an Appeal Council decision is a final decision of the SSA, and the decision is applicable to a Medicaid application at the state level as well. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260 (2012), pp. 2-3.

Accordingly, it must be found and determined that the Department acted correctly in denying Claimant's application for MA benefits. Id.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
$\boxtimes$ properly denied Claimant's application $\square$ properly closed Claimant's case $\square$ improperly denied Claimant's application
for: $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC.

## DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department
$\boxtimes$ did act properly. $\square$ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.


Date Signed: January 7, 2013
Date Mailed: January 8, 2013
NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. ( 60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing MAY be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322
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