## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE **DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)?

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-71332 4000 November 29, 2012 Wayne (76)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. B	Bennane			
SETTLEMENT ORDER				
This matter is before the undersigned Admini stand MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's requestelephone hearing was held on November Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Department of Human Services (Department) in	st for a hearing. Afte 29, 2012, from Do e claimant. Participa ncluded	r due notice, a etroit, Michigan.		
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Whether the Department properly:				
☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits ☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits				
for:				
Family Independence Program (FIP)? Food Assistance Program (FAP)? Medical Assistance (MA)?	Child Developme	Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)? / Services (SER)?		

# **FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon t he competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1.	On March 6, 2012, the Department:			
	<ul> <li>☐ denied Claimant's application for benefits</li> <li>☐ closed Claimant's case for benefits</li> <li>☐ reduced Claimant's benefits</li> </ul>			
	under the following program(s):			
	☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ AMP ☐ SDA ☐ CDC ☐ SER.			
2.	On August 17, 2012, Claimant filed a r equest for hearing concerning the Department's action.			
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW				
Eligibi	rtment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges ility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Manual (ERM).			
Respo 42 US Agend throug	e Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal possibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 6C 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence by) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 gh Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ive October 1, 1996.			
progra impler Regul Agend	e Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) am] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is mented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal ations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence by) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 001 through Rule 400.3015.			
Secur The D	e Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial ity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ enceby) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 05.			
	e Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is nistered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .			
for dis	e State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance sabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human ces (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA			

Rule 400.3180.	et seq., and 20 00 AAC	5, Rule 400.3151 (Illough
☐ The Child Development and Car and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, 1990, and the Personal Responsibili The program is implemented by Tit and 99. The Depart ment provides 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.50	the Chaild Care and De ity and Work Opportunity le 45 of the Code of Fed servic es to adults and o	velopm ent Block Grant of Reconciliation Act of 1996. er al Regulations, Parts 98 children pursuant to MCL
☐ The State Emergency Relief (SE SER program is administer ed pursu 400.7001 through Rule 400.7049. Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).	iant to MCL 400.10, et s	•

The law pr ovides that disposition may be made of a contest ed case by s tipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's action. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to do the following: provide outstanding SDA payment for April 2012.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wish es to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnec essary for this Admi nistrative Law Judge to render a decis ion regarding the facts and issues in this case.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING:

1. Provide claimant's outstanding SDA payment for April 2012.

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 19, 2012

Date Mailed: December 19, 2012

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

### MJB/cl

