## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

11	LTI		BA A	•	ΓFR	$\sim$	г.
ır	4 I I	7E	IVI	۱ı	ırk	u	-



Reg. No.: 2012 70964

Issue No.: 6015

Case No.:

County:

March 14, 2013 Hearing Date: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

## **HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on March 14, 2013, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded the Claimant. Pa rticipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the ve rifi properly ⊠ deny Claimant's application ☐ c benefits for:	ication requirements, did the Department close Claimant's case ☐ reduce Claimant's
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?

## FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantia evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

- 1. Cla imant 
  ☐ applied for ☐ was receiving: ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☐ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.
- 2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by February 26, 2012.
- 3. Claimant was to submit a child provider assignment form.

4.	On February 26, 2012, the Department  ☐ denied Claimant's application. ☐ closed Claimant's case. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.
5.	On April 12, 2012, the Department sent notice of the   ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
6.	On July 2, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the   ☐ denial of Claimant's application. ☐ closure of Claimant's case. ☐ reduction of Claimant's benefits.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	epartment policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges gibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag 31	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal esponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconc iliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly k nown as the Family Independence lency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 400.3101-31. FI P replace detection to Depe ndent Children (ADC) program effective stober 1, 1996.
pro imp Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [fo rmerly known as the Food Sta mp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pur suant to MCL 400. 10, et seq., and 1997 AACS R 0.3001-3015
Se Th	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ia curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department (formerly known as the F amily Independence Agency) administers the A program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MCL 400.105.
for as	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) progr am which provides financial as sistance disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Depart ment (formerly known the F amily Independence Agency) administ ers the SDA program pursuant to M Cl 0.10, et seq., and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.
	The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE d XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Ch ild Care and Developm ent Block Grant of

1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Fede ral Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adult and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, at the hearing the Department credibly testified that it did not receive the requested verification form. The Claimant indicated that she had previously faxed the form but did not provide proof of faxing. The case file was searched and no verification was received and thus the Department denied the applic ation. Under these circumstances, considering the testimony of the parties and the documents presented, it is determined that the Department properly denied the Claimant's CDC application as of February 26, 2012, as based upon the information available to it the verification had not been received, and thus it could be reasonable a y assumed that the Claimant had not made a reasonable effort to provide the requested verification. The Department waited until April 12, 2012 to deny the application. BAM 130 pp 5, (May 1, 2012). This decision was also influenced by the lack of proof regarding fax confirmation.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department ☑ properly ☑ improperly							
☐ closed Claimant's case. ☑ denied Claimant's application. ☐ reduced Claimant's benefits.							
DECISION AND ORDER							
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department $\square$ did not act properly.							
Accordingly, the Depar $$ tment's decision is $$ $$ $$ AFFIRMED $$ $$ $$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.							

Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director Department of Human Services

Date Signed: March 26, 2013

Date Mailed: March 26, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing S ystem (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration MAY be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639

Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## LMF/cl

