## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:				
	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-70704 2003 November 29, 2012 Wayne (76)		
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Michael J. Bennane				
HEARING DECISION				
This matter is before the undersigned Admini strative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claim ant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on November 29, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant inc luded claimant. Partic ipants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included				
<u>ISSUE</u>				
Did the Departm ent properly $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $				
Food Assistance Program (FAP)?	State Disability A	sistance (AMP)? Assistance (SDA)? ent and Care (CDC)?		
FINDINGS OF FACT				
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the coevidence on the whole record, finds as material fac		al, and substantial		
1. Cla imant ☐ applied for benefits ☒ received be	nefits for:			

Adult Medical Assistance (AMP).

State Disability Assistance (SDA).

Child Development and Care (CDC).

☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).
☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).
☐ Medical Assistance (MA).

2.	On August 1, 2012, the Department  denied Claimant's application  due to her child reaching the age of 18.
3.	On July 31, 2012, the Department sent  Claimant Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR)  notice of the denial. Closure.
4.	On August 17, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the ☐ denial of the application. ☐ closure of the case.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	partment policies are contained in the Br idges Administrative Manual (BAM), the dges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
Re 42 Ag thr	The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal sponsibility and W ork Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, USC 601, et seq. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 400.3101 ough Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program ective October 1, 1996.
pro im Re Ag	The Food Assistanc e Program (FAP) [form erly known as the Food Stamp (FS) ogram] is establis hed by the Food St amp Act of 1977, as amend ed, and is plemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal egulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence ency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 1999 AC, Rule 0.3001 through Rule 400.3015.
Se Th Ag	The Medical Ass istance (MA) program is es tablished by the Title XIX of the Soc ial curity Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). e Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independ ence ency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and MC L 0.105.
	The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is ministered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq</i> .
for Se pro	The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The D epartment of Human rvices (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA ogram pursuant to MCL 400.10, et seq., and 20 00 AACS, Rule 400.3151 through le 400.3180.

☐ The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is establis hed by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Soc ial Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Depart ment provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.
At the hearing the claimant testified that her son turned 18 on graduated from high school.
<b>Dependent child</b> means a person who lives with hi s parent(s), or other specified relative who acts as his parent, and is:
Under age 18, or Age 18 or 19 and a full-time high scho ol student who is expected to graduate before age 20. (BEM 110, p.6, 8/1/2012).
Under department policy only mi nor (dependent) children, under the age of 18 or up to 19 if the c hild is expected to graduate before turning 20 (s ee above), qualify as minor children.
Based upon the abov e Findings of Fact and Conclus ions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for:
DECISION AND ORDER
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department   ☐ did not act properly. ☐ did not act properly.
Accordingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision is $\boxtimes$ AFFIRMED $\square$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
Jan 1

Michael J. Bennane
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: December 18, 2012 Date Mailed: December 18, 2012 **NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing Syst em (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a par ty within 30 days of the mailing date of this Dec ision and Order. MAHS will not or der a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's mo tion where the final decis ion cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a ti mely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings

Re consideration/Rehearing Request

P. O. Box 30639 Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## MJB/cl

