

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201270097  
Issue No.: 6021  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: March 14, 2013  
County: Wayne (#15)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: MICHELLE HOWIE**

**SETTLEMENT ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Thursday March 14, 2013. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participant on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) was [REDACTED] (FIM).

**ISSUE**

Whether the Department properly processed the Claimant's Child Development and Care (CDC) benefits?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On February 28, 2013, Claimant submitted an application for CDC benefits.
2. As of August 2, 2012, Claimant's CDC provider had not received payment.
3. On August 2, 2012, the Department received Claimant's written hearing request disputing the action taken on her CDC application.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), the Reference Tables Manual (RFT), and the State Emergency Relief Manual (ERM).

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1999 AC, Rule 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

The law provides that disposition may be made of a contested case by stipulation or agreed settlement. MCL 24.278(2).

In the present case, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the Department's lack of action on her CDC application. Soon after commencement of the hearing, the parties testified that they had reached a settlement concerning the disputed action. Consequently, the Department agreed to process Claimant's CDC application retroactive to February 28, 2012 and to authorize any CDC provider payments Claimant was eligible to receive.

As a result of this settlement, Claimant no longer wishes to proceed with the hearing. As such, it is unnecessary for this Administrative Law Judge to render a decision regarding the facts and issues in this case.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department and Claimant have come to a settlement regarding Claimant's request for a hearing.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Initiate reprocessing of Claimant's CDC application retroactive to February 28, 2012.
2. Authorize retroactive payment for CDC Provider payments (if any) that Claimant was otherwise eligible to receive in accordance with Department policy.

*M. Howie*

---

**MICHELLE HOWIE**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

201270097/MH

Date Signed: 3/21/2013

Date Mailed: 3/21/2013

**NOTICE:** The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

MH/hw

cc:

