# STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909 (877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 373-4147

IN THE MATTER OF:	D I 4 N - 0040 67440 TDN
,	Docket No. 2012-67442 TRN Case No.
Appellant	
DECISION AND ORDER	
	dersigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) pursuant to MCL et seq., upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.
	er own behalf.  , Eligibility Specialist, appeared as a witness cord was left open for both parties to submit documentation edicaid status. Documentation was received from the (Exhibit 4, pages 1-15) and from the Department on
<u>ISSUE</u>	
Did the Department properly deny the Appellant's requests for medical transportation expense reimbursement?	
FINDINGS OF FACT	
The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:	
1. The Appellant is a	Medicaid beneficiary.
	ubmitted Medical Transportation Statements requesting sement for medical transportation to physical therapy and . (Exhibit 2, pages 1-7)
completed by the	the Department received a DHS-54A Medical Needs form Appellant's physical therapist indicating she is not a provider. (Exhibit 2, pages 8-9)

, the Department issued a Medical Transportation Notice to

the Appellant indicating the requests for medical transportation could not be

Docket No. 2012-67442 TRN Decision and Order

approved because the Appellant requested transportation to a provider who is not enrolled in Medicaid. (Exhibit 1, page 12)

- 5. On and and the Appellant's Requests for Hearing were received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System. (Exhibit 1, page 4; Exhibit 3, pages 4-5)
- 6. The Appellant received physical therapy from a Medicaid enrolled provider. (Exhibit 4, pages 1-15; Exhibit 5, page 2)

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medicaid program was established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act (SSA) and is implemented by 42 USC 1396 *et seq.*, and Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (42 CFR 430 *et seq.*). The program is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act (MCL 400.1 *et seq.*), various portions of Michigan's Administrative Code (1979 AC, R 400.1101 *et seq.*), and the State Plan promulgated pursuant to Title XIX of the SSA.

Policy addressing medical transportation coverage under the State Medicaid Plan is found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), 825 Medical Transportation. In part, the policy states:

#### COVERED MEDICAL TRANSPORTATION

Medical transportation is available to obtain medical evidence or receive any MA-covered service from any MA-enrolled provider, including:

- Chronic and ongoing treatment.
- Prescriptions.
- Medical supplies.
- Onetime, occasional and ongoing visits for medical care.

**Exception:** Payment may be made for transportation to V.A. hospitals and hospitals which do not charge for care (such as St. Jude Children's Hospital, Shriners Hospital).

Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), 825 Medical Transportation Pages 2 of 17, January 1, 2011

In this case, the Appellant submitted Medical Transportation Statements requesting mileage reimbursement for medical transportation to physical therapy appointments in . (Exhibit 2, pages 1-7) However, on , the Department received a DHS-54A Medical Needs form completed by the Appellant's physical therapist indicating she is not a Medicaid enrolled provider. (Exhibit

Docket No. 2012-67442 TRN Decision and Order

2, pages 8-9) Accordingly, the Department issued a Medical Transportation Notice to the Appellant on the Appellant on the Appellant on the Appellant requests for medical transportation could not be approved because the Appellant requested transportation to a provider who is not enrolled in Medicaid. (Exhibit 1, page 12)

The Appellant testified that the physical therapist made a mistake completing the DHS-54A Medical Needs form and the services were provided by a Medicaid enrolled provider. (Appellant Testimony) The record was left open and the Appellant submitted documentation showing that the physical therapy bills were paid by Medicaid. (Exhibit 4, pages 1-15) It appears that while the physical therapist herself was not a Medicaid enrolled provider, the physical therapy services were provided through Hospital, which is a Medicaid enrolled provider. The Department confirmed that attending physician Dr. Hospital is an enrolled Medicaid provider. (Exhibit 5, page 2)

The Department denied the Appellant's medical transportation requests for physical therapy appointments based on the DHS-54A Medical Needs form completed by the physical therapist indicating she is not a Medical enrolled provider. (Exhibit 2, pages 8-9) Based on the information available to the Department at that time, the denial was appropriate. However, the recently available information indicates an error was made in completing the DHS-54A, because the Appellant received physical therapy services from a Medicaid enrolled provider. Accordingly, the Department should reprocess the Appellant's requests for medical transportation to physical therapy appointments in and and consider the recent clarification of the provider's Medicaid status. The Department must issue written notice of the medical transportation expense reimbursement determinations to the Appellant.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

This Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Department improperly denied the Appellant's medical transportation reimbursement request based on the recent clarification of the provider's Medicaid status.

Docket No. 2012-67442 TRN Decision and Order

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is REVERSED. The Department must re-process the Appellant's requests for medical transportation to physical therapy appointments in and and another and and consider the recent clarification of the provider's Medicaid status. The Department must issue written notice of the medical transportation expense reimbursement determinations to the Appellant.

\_\s\

Colleen Lack
Administrative Law Judge
for James K. Haveman, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

Date Mailed: <u>1/4/2013</u>

#### \*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\*

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.