## STATE OF MICHIGAN MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN	J TH		BA A	\ <b>T</b>	CCI	0	^		
III		16	IVI	۱ı		К (	u	-	Ī

	Reg. No.: Issue No.: Case No.: Hearing Date: County:	2012-64458 2009 December 03, 2012 Wayne (82)					
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Jan Leventer							
HEARING D	ECISION						
This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a hearing was held on December 3, 2012, at Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant, her Authorized Representative Jr. and her daughter Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included Representative Representative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9							
<u>ISSU</u>	<u>E</u>						
Did the Department properly $igtimes$ deny Claimant's application $igsqcup$ close Claimant's case for:							
☐ Family Independence Program (FIP)? ☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP)? ☐ Medical Assistance (MA)?	☐ Adult Medical Assistance (AMP)? ☐ State Disability Assistance (SDA)? ☐ Child Development and Care (CDC)?						
FINDINGS OF FACT							
The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:							
<ol> <li>Claimant</li></ol>	ed benefits for:						
<ul><li>☐ Family Independence Program (FIP).</li><li>☐ Food Assistance Program (FAP).</li><li>☒ Medical Assistance (MA).</li></ul>	State Disability A	ssistance (AMP). Assistance (SDA). ent and Care (CDC).					

<ol> <li>On June 14, 2012, the Department</li></ol>
3. On June 14, 2012, the Department sent ⊠ Claimant ☐ Claimant's Authorized Representative (AR) notice of the ⊠ denial. ☐ closure.
<ol> <li>On July 10, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the</li></ol>
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).
☐ The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, <i>et seq.</i> , and MCL 400.105.
Additionally, on January 20, 2013, the U.S. Social Security Administration (SSA) awarded Retirement, Survivors, and Disability Insuarnce (RSDI) benefits to Claimant, with a disability onset date of July 13, 2011. Letter, February 18, 2013, A. Hayman to Michigan Administrative Hearing System. Pursuant to Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260, "MA Disability/Blindness," approval for RSDI meets the disability requirements for MA benefits from the State of Michigan. Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) 260 (2012), p. 1. Accordingly, it is found and determined that Claimant is eligible for MA benefits. The sole remaining question is the beginning date for the MA benefits.
Claimant applied for MA benefits on May 21, 2012. She also applied for MA benefits retroactive to February 1, 2012. Dept. Exh. 1, p. 27. As Claimant's application dates are <i>after</i> the official SSA disability onset date of July 13, 2011, it is appropriate that she receive MA coverage effective as of her application date and retroactive date. BEM 260, p. 1.
Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department
<ul> <li>□ properly denied Claimant's application</li> <li>□ properly closed Claimant's case</li> <li>□ improperly closed Claimant's case</li> </ul>
for: ☐ AMP ☐ FIP ☐ FAP ☒ MA ☐ SDA ☐ CDC.

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

of Law	dministrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions , and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department act properly.
	dingly, the Department's $\square$ AMP $\square$ FIP $\square$ FAP $\boxtimes$ MA $\square$ SDA $\square$ CDC decision AFFIRMED $\boxtimes$ REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.
	HE DEPARTMENT SHALL INITIATE WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF NG OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER, THE FOLLOWING:
1.	Initiate processing of Claimant's May 21, 2012, application, to determine if al nonmedical eligibility criteria for MA benefits have been met.
2.	If all nonmedical eligibility criteria for benefits have been met and Claimant is otherwise eligible for benefits, initiate processing of MA benefits to Claimant including any supplements for retroactive and lost benefits to which Claimant is entitled in accordance with policy.
3.	If all nonmedical eligibility criteria for benefits have been met and Claimant is otherwise eligible for benefits, initiate procedures to schedule a redetermination date for review of Claimant's continued eligibility for program benefits in March 2014.

4. All steps shall be taken in accordance with Department policy and procedure.

Jan Leventer Administrative Law Judge for Maura Corrigan, Director

Department of Human Services

Date Signed: February 28, 2013
Date Mailed: March 1, 2013

**NOTICE**: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing <u>MAY</u> be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome
  of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

## JL/tm

