

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: [REDACTED]  
Issue No: 2009, 4031

[REDACTED]

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Aaron McClintic

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 upon the Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED]. Claimant appeared along with her husband, [REDACTED], and both testified. The Department was represented by [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly deny Claimant's Medical Assistance (MA-P) Program and State Disability Assistance (SDA) applications?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for MA-P and SDA on [REDACTED].
2. The Medical Review Team denied the application on [REDACTED].
3. Claimant filed a request for hearing on [REDACTED], regarding the MA-P and SDA denials.
4. A telephone hearing was held on [REDACTED].
5. On [REDACTED], the State Hearing Review Team denied the application because the medical evidence of record indicates the Claimant retains the capacity to perform a wide range of light work and her past relevant work.

6. Claimant is 5' 5" tall and weighs 290 pounds having lost 10 pounds in the last year.
7. Claimant is 51 years of age.
8. Claimant's impairments have been medically diagnosed as diabetes, arthritis, carpal tunnel syndrome, hyperlipidemia, neuropathy, obesity, sleep apnea and hypertension.
9. Claimant has the following symptoms: pain, fatigue, dizziness, and shortness of breath.
10. Claimant completed high school and an Associates degree.
11. Claimant is able to read, write, and perform basic math skills.
12. Claimant is not working. Claimant last worked in ██████████ as a computer cataloger.
13. Claimant lives with her husband.
14. Claimant testified that she cannot perform some household chores.
15. Claimant takes the following prescribed medications:
  - a. ██████████
  - b. ██████████
  - c. ██████████
  - d. ██████████
  - e. ██████████
  - f. ██████████
  - g. ██████████
  - h. ██████████
  - i. ██████████
  - j. ██████████
16. Claimant testified to the following physical limitations:
  - i. Sitting: 10-15 minutes
  - ii. Standing: 10-15 minutes
  - iii. Walking: ½ block
  - iv. Bend/stoop: difficulty
  - v. Lifting: 10 lbs.
  - vi. Grip/grasp: limitations

17. Claimant testified to experiencing pain at a high level of 7-8 on an every day basis with some pain always present at a low level of 5.
18. In a consultative physical examination dated ██████████, the consulting physician stated the following under Summary: "This is a 51-year-old Caucasian female with a history of diabetes and diabetic neuropathy. She is quite intelligent and was very pleasant to work with today. She formerly worked as an electronic computer cataloger but she indicates she can no longer perform her usual duties. She had a lot of symptoms of carpal tunnel syndrome but her Tinel's test was only slightly abnormal. She has evidence of diabetic neuropathy with decreased sensation in the upper and lower extremities and this could interfere with the interpretation of her Tinel's test. Blood pressure was normal. Her BMI and total body weight are significantly elevated. She was in no acute psychological distress whatsoever during her exam today."
19. An x-ray report of Claimant's cervical spine showed, "At C5-6 and C6-7 there is disc space loss and osteophyte formation. There is reversal or normal lordosis. The oblique views show neural foraminal stenosis bilaterally with right significantly greater than left. IMPRESSION: cervical spondylosis."

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in the Michigan Administrative Code, MAC R 400.901-400.951. An opportunity for a hearing shall be granted to an applicant who requests a hearing because his or her claim for assistance has been denied. MAC R 400.903(1). Clients have the right to contest a department decision affecting eligibility or benefit levels whenever it is believed that the decision is incorrect. The department will provide an administrative hearing to review the decision and determine the appropriateness of that decision. BAM 600.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MAC R 400.3151-400.3180. Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Program Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Bridges Reference Manual (PRM).

The Department conforms to state statute in administering the SDA program. 2000 PA 294, Sec. 604, of the statute states:

- (1) The department shall operate a state disability assistance program. Except as provided in subsection

(3), persons eligible for this program shall include needy citizens of the United States or aliens exempted from the supplemental security income citizenship requirement who are at least 18 years of age or emancipated minors meeting 1 or more of the following requirements:

- (a) A recipient of supplemental security income, social security, or medical assistance due to disability or 65 years of age or older.
- (b) A person with a physical or mental impairment which meets federal supplemental security income disability standards, except that the minimum duration of the disability shall be 90 days. Substance abuse alone is not defined as a basis for eligibility.

Pursuant to Federal Rule 42 CFR 435.540, the Department uses the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) policy in determining eligibility for disability under the MA-P program. Under SSI, disability is defined as:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.... 20 CFR 416.905.

Federal regulations require that the department use the same operative definition for “disabled” as used for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) under Title XVI of the Social Security Act. 42 CFR 435.540(a).

“Disability” is:

...the inability to do any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment which can be expected to result in death or which has lasted or can be expected to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months ... 20 CFR 416.905.

In determining whether an individual is disabled, 20 CFR 416.920 requires the trier of fact to follow a sequential evaluation process by which current work activity, the severity of the impairment(s), residual functional capacity, and vocational factors (i.e., age, education, and work experience) are assessed in that order. When a determination that an individual is or is not disabled can be made at any step in the sequential evaluation, evaluation under a subsequent step is not necessary.

First, the trier of fact must determine if the individual is working and if the work is substantial gainful activity. 20 CFR 416.920(b). In this case, the Claimant is not working. Therefore, the Claimant is not disqualified at this step in the evaluation.

The second step to be determined in considering whether the Claimant is considered disabled is whether the severity of the impairment. In order to qualify the impairment must be considered severe which is defined as an impairment which significantly limits an individual's physical or mental ability to perform basic work activities. Examples of these include:

1. Physical functions such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, reaching carrying or handling;
2. Capacities for seeing, hearing, and speaking;
3. Understanding, carrying out, and remembering simple instructions;
4. Use of judgment;
5. Responding appropriately to supervision, co-workers and usual work situations; and
6. Dealing with changes in a routine work setting. 20 CFR 416.921(b).

In this case, the Claimant's medical evidence of record supports a finding that Claimant has significant physical and mental limitations upon Claimant's ability to perform basic work activities such as walking, standing, sitting, lifting, pushing, pulling, reaching, carrying, or handling; Medical evidence has clearly established that the Claimant has an impairment (or combination of impairments) that has more than a minimal effect on the Claimant's work activities. See Social Security Rulings: 85-28, 88-13, and 82-63.

In the third step of the analysis, the trier of fact must determine if the Claimant's impairment (or combination of impairments) is listed in Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR, Part 404. This Administrative Law Judge finds that the Claimant's medical record does not support a finding that the Claimant's impairment(s) is a "listed impairment" or equal to a listed impairment. See Appendix 1 of Subpart P of 20 CFR Part 404, Part A. Listing 1.04 and 9.00 were considered.


The person claiming a physical or mental disability has the burden to establish it through the use of competent medical evidence from qualified medical sources such as clinical/laboratory findings, diagnosis/prescribed treatment, prognosis for a recovery and/or medical assessment of ability to do work-related activities or ability to reason and to make appropriate mental adjustments, if a mental disability is being alleged. 20 CFR 416.913. A conclusory statement by a physician or mental health professional that an individual is disabled or blind is not sufficient, without supporting medical evidence, to establish disability. 20 CFR 416.927.

The fourth step of the analysis to be considered is whether the Claimant has the ability to perform work previously performed by the Claimant within the past 15 years. The trier of fact must determine whether the impairment(s) presented prevent the Claimant from doing past relevant work. In the present case, the Claimant's past employment was a computer cataloger. Working as a computer cataloger as testified to by Claimant would be considered light work. The Claimant's impairments would not prevent her from doing past relevant work, because she is capable of performing work at least on the light exertional level. Therefore, Claimant's appeal is denied at step 4.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, decides that the Claimant is not medically disabled for the purposes of the MA-P and SDA programs.

Accordingly, the Department's decision in the above stated matter is, hereby, **AFFIRMED**.



---

Aaron McClintic  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 02/13/2013

Date Mailed: 02/13/2013

**NOTICE:** Administrative Hearings may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. Administrative Hearings will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.

[REDACTED]/AM

- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant,
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

AM/kl

cc:

[REDACTED]