

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201267436  
Issue No.: 1038  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: October 8, 2012  
County: Wayne DHS (19)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Christian Gardocki

**HEARING DECISION**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 8, 2012 from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the above named claimant. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (DHS) included [REDACTED], Manager, and [REDACTED], Specialist.

**ISSUE**

The issue is whether DHS properly denied Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits due to Claimant's failure to attend Work Participation Program (WPP).

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On 5/9/12, Claimant applied for FIP benefits.
2. DHS failed to mail Claimant a notice to attend WPP.
3. On 7/13/12, DHS denied Claimant's application for FIP benefits due to Claimant's alleged failure to attend WPP.
4. On 7/30/12, Claimant requested a hearing to dispute the FIP benefit denial.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 8 USC 601, *et seq.* DHS administers the FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq* and MAC R 400.3101-3131. DHS policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

DHS requires clients to participate in employment and self-sufficiency-related activities and to accept employment when offered. BEM 233A (5/2012), p. 1. The DHS focus is to assist clients in removing barriers so they can participate in activities which lead to self-sufficiency. *Id.* However, there are consequences for a client who refuses to participate, without good cause. *Id.*

Bridges, the DHS database, will automatically issue a DHS-4785, Work Participation Program Appointment Notice, from Bridges at application, member add, or when a client loses a deferral to schedule an appointment for each mandatory work participation program participant. BEM 229 (12/2011), p. 5. Bridges retains a record of this correspondence. *Id.* The DHS-4785 will be generated overnight and can be viewed the next day in Correspondence History. *Id.*


The present case involves a denial of a FIP benefit application based on Claimant's alleged failure to attend a WPP orientation. Though DHS regulations state that the DHS-4785 is automatically issued, there was no evidence of a DHS-4785 issuance in the present case. DHS checked Claimant's correspondence history and found that a DHS-4785 was not issued to Claimant. DHS cannot deny a FIP application for a failure to attend WPP when DHS fails to give a client notice of the appointment. Accordingly, it is found that the FIP benefit application denial was improper.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that DHS improperly denied Claimant's application for FIP benefits. It is ordered that DHS:

1. reinstate Claimant's FIP benefit application dated 5/9/12; and
2. process Claimant's application subject to the finding that Claimant was not given notice of a WPP orientation obligation.

The actions taken by DHS are REVERSED.

  
Christian Gardocki  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/17/2012

Date Mailed: 10/17/2012

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CG/hw

cc:

