

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201261041
Issue No.: 2014; 2026
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: October 1, 2012
County: Wayne (57)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Alice C. Elkin

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 1, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant and [REDACTED], Claimant's son. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Eligibility Specialist.

ISSUE

Did the Department properly close Claimant's case for Medical Assistance (MA) coverage under the Ad-Care program and the Medical Savings Program, Qualified Medicare Beneficiaries (QMB) benefits?

Did the Department properly provide MA coverage to Claimant with a \$1103 monthly deductible?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant was an ongoing recipient of MA coverage under the Ad-Care program and Medical Savings Program, QMB.
2. Claimant receives gross monthly Retirement, Survivors and Disability Insurance (RSDI) benefits of \$1498.
3. In connection with a June 2012 MA redetermination, the Department recalculated Claimant's MA budget

4. On June 26, 2012, the Department sent Claimant a Notice of Case Action notifying her that, effective July 1, 2012, her MA coverage under the Ad-Care program and the Medical Savings Program, QMB, would close because her income exceeded the income limit for those programs and that she would be eligible for MA coverage with a \$1103 monthly deductible.
5. Claimant filed a hearing request on June 14, 2012, disputing the Department's action.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 AACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98

and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

A review of Claimant's eligibility summary shows that Claimant received full-MA coverage under the Early Widow(er)s program until June 30, 2010. Beginning July 1, 2010, Claimant received MA coverage under the Ad-Care program. She also received Medical Saving Program benefits under the Qualified Medicare Beneficiary (QMB) program (which pays for Claimant's Medicare premiums, co-pay and deductibles). In a June 26, 2012 Notice of Case Action, the Department notified Claimant that, effective July 1, 2012, she was no longer eligible for Ad-Care coverage or QMB benefits but would be covered under the Group 2-MA program with a monthly deductible of \$1103.

Ad Care and QMB Coverage

On June 26, 2012, the Department notified Claimant that her monthly income exceeded the income limit for the Ad-Care program and QMB benefits under the Medicare Savings Program and that her MA coverage under both programs would close effective July 1, 2012.

Ad-Care and QMB benefits are both SSI-related MA category programs. BEM 163 (October 1, 2010), p 1; BEM 165 (October 1, 2010), 6. Individuals are eligible for Ad-Care and QMB if their net income does not exceed 100% of the federal poverty level. BEM 163, p 2 ; BEM 165, p 6. The monthly limit under this standard is \$931. RFT 242 (May 1, 2012), p 1.

In determining a client's net income for MA purposes, the Department considers the gross monthly RSDI income received by the client. BEM 503 (October 1, 2011), p 21; BEM 530 (August 1, 2008), p 2. In this case, Claimant confirmed that she received monthly gross RSDI income of \$1498. This amount is reduced by a \$20 disregard, resulting in a net income for MA purposes of \$1478. BEM 541 (January 1, 2011), p 3. Because Claimant's net income of \$1478 exceeds the income limit of \$931 for Ad-Care and QMB coverage, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's cases under both of these MA programs.

MA Deductible

Claimant also expressed concerns regarding the amount of her monthly deductible, which was \$1103 for May 1, 2012, ongoing. Clients are eligible for Group 2 MA coverage when net income (countable income minus allowable income deductions) does not exceed applicable Group 2 MA protected income levels (based on the client's shelter area and fiscal group size). BEM 105 (October 1, 2010), p 1; BEM 166 (October 1, 2010), pp 1-2; BEM 544 (August 1, 2008), p 1; RFT 240 (July 1, 2007), p 1. An individual whose income is in excess of the applicable monthly protected income level may become eligible for MA assistance under the deductible program, with the deductible equal to the amount that the individual's monthly income exceeds the protected income levels. BEM 545 (July 1, 2011), p 2.

In this case, the monthly protected income level for Claimant's MA group size of one living in Wayne County is \$375 per month. RFT 200 (July 1, 2007), p 1; RFT 240, p 1. As discussed above, Claimant's net unearned income for MA purposes is \$1478. Claimant's net unearned income of \$1478 exceeds the monthly protected income level of \$375 by \$1103. However, Claimant is entitled to a deduction for medical premiums she pays. See BEM 544, p 1. The evidence at the hearing established that the Department was no longer paying Claimant's Part B Medicare premiums as of July 1, 2012. Therefore, the Department was required to deduct the cost of this insurance premium from the calculation of Claimant's monthly deductible, and it did not act in accordance with Department policy when it failed to do so. Although Claimant testified that she had additional ongoing medical expenses, she acknowledged that she had not provided verification of those to the Department for consideration in calculating her MA budget and the resulting MA deductible.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department


did act properly when it closed Claimant's MA coverage under the Ad-Care program and QMB Medical Savings Program.

did not act properly when it calculated Claimant's monthly MA deductible.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated on the record and above, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED AFFIRMED IN PART with respect to closure of Claimant's Ad-Care and QMB cases REVERSED IN PART with respect to calculation of Claimant's MA deductible.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:

1. Recalculate Claimant's MA deductible for July 1, 2012, ongoing;
2. Provide Claimant with MA coverage she is eligible to receive from July 1, 2012, ongoing; and
3. Notify Claimant in writing of its decision in accordance with Department policy.


Alice C. Elkin
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/17/2012

Date Mailed: 10/17/2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

ACE/hw

cc:

