

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 20125977  
Issue No.: 1080  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: November 29, 2011  
County: Wayne (57)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Susanne E. Harris**

**ORDER OF DISMISSAL – NO ADJUDICABLE ISSUE**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was commenced on November 29, 2011, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of the Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Jet Liaison. Regulations governing the hearing and appeal process for applicants and recipients of public assistance in Michigan are found in Mich Admin Code, R 400.901 through R 400.951. Rule 400.903(3), in pertinent part, states:

A hearing shall not be granted when either state or federal law requires automatic grant adjustments for classes of recipients, unless the reason for an individual appeal is incorrect grant computation.

See also Bridges Administrative Manual which articulates policies regarding the hearing process. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will **not** grant a hearing regarding the issue of a mass update required by state or federal law **unless** the reason for the request is an issue of incorrect computation of program benefits or patient-pay amount. BAM 600.

In the instant case, the Claimant testified that she did not remember if she ever received benefits...even benefits as recently as September of 2011, and she could therefore not contest the evidence the Department submitted. The Bridges print out submitted by the Department indicates that the Claimant received 132 months of federal FIP benefits. The evidence and testimony provided confirm that the Claimant is disputing action taken as a result of a change in law and policy that placed a lifetime limit on the receipt of assistance through the Family Independence Program. There are no other adjudicable

issues raised. As there is no right to contest the change in law or policy, the Request for Hearing is DISMISSED.

*Susanne E. Harris*

**Susanne E. Harris**  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: November 30, 2011

Date Mailed: November 30, 2011

**NOTICE:** Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
  - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
  - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
  - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at  
Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P. O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

SEH/cl

cc:

