

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE  
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 201257473  
Issue No.: [REDACTED]  
Case No.: [REDACTED]  
Hearing Date: October 8, 2013  
County: Muskegon

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:** Gary F. Heisler

**HEARING DECISION**

Upon a hearing request by the Department of Human Services (Department) to establish an over issuance (OI) of benefits to Respondent, this matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9, 400.43a, and 24.201, *et seq.*, and Mich. Admin Code, R 400.941, and in accordance with 7 CFR 273.15 to 273.18, 42 CFR 431.200 to 431.250, 45 CFR 99.1 to 99.33, and 45 CFR 205.10. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on October 8, 2013, from Lansing, Michigan. Respondent did not appear. This matter having been initiated by the Department and due notice having been provided to Respondent, the hearing was held in Respondent's absence in accordance with Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 725. Participants on behalf of the Department included RS [REDACTED] and AP Supervisor [REDACTED].

**ISSUE**

Did Respondent receive a [REDACTED] over-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits from December 1, 2010 to February 29, 2012 which the Department is entitled to recoup?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. On November 2, 2009, Claimant submitted an application for Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits. Claimant reported her earned income and the Department received verification of her employment. Claimant began receiving FAP benefits under the Simplified Reporting category.

2. On August 19, 2011, an Over-Issuance Referral was made because the Department had not properly budgeted Claimant's earned income.
3. On May 30, 2012, Claimant was sent a Notice of Over-Issuance (DHS-4358) packet.
4. On June 11, 2012, Claimant submitted a request for hearing.
5. On June 11, 2012, the Department submitted this request for a debt establishment hearing.
6. December 1, 2010, to February 29, 2012, has been properly calculated as the over-issuance period.
7. Claimant received a [REDACTED] over-issuance of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits during the over-issuance period because the Department did not properly budget the earned income she reported.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Department policies are contained in the Department of Human Services Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), Department of Human Services Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and Department of Human Services Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, 7 USC 2011 to 2036a and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in 7 CFR 271.1 to 285.5. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10 and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 to .3015.

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, if any, finds that the Department did establish a [REDACTED] Food Assistance Program (FAP) over-issuance to Respondent which the Department is entitled to recoup.

### **DECISION AND ORDER**

Accordingly, the Department is **AFFIRMED**.

/s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
Gary F. Heisler  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Maura Corrigan, Director  
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: 10/21/2013

Date Mailed: 10/22/2013

**NOTICE OF APPEAL:** The claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the Decision and Order of Reconsideration or Rehearing Decision.

Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request (60 days for FAP cases).

A Request for Rehearing or Reconsideration may be granted when one of the following exists:

- Newly discovered evidence that existed at the time of the original hearing that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision;
- Misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision which led to a wrong conclusion;
- Typographical, mathematical or other obvious error in the hearing decision that affects the rights of the client;
- Failure of the ALJ to address in the hearing decision relevant issues raised in the hearing request.

The Department, AHR or the claimant must specify all reasons for the request. MAHS will not review any response to a request for rehearing/reconsideration. A request must be *received* in MAHS within 30 days of the date the hearing decision is mailed.

The written request must be faxed to (517) 335-6088 and be labeled as follows:

Attention: MAHS Rehearing/Reconsideration Request

If submitted by mail, the written request must be addressed as follows:

Michigan Administrative Hearings  
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request  
P.O. Box 30639  
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

GFH/sw

cc:

