

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No: 201257155
Issue No.: 1001, 2001, 3002
Case No: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: July 10, 2012
Wayne County DHS

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kevin Scully

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on July 10, 2012, from Lansing, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included [REDACTED]. Participants on behalf of Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Did the Department properly determine the Claimant's eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP), the Family Independence Program (FIP), and the Adult Medical Program (AMP)?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was an ongoing recipient of Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits as a group of five.
2. The Claimant applied for Family Independence Program (FIP) and Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits.
3. The Claimant receives monthly earned income as a home help provider in the gross monthly amount of [REDACTED].
4. A group member receives monthly earned income in the gross monthly amount of [REDACTED].
5. Another group member receives monthly Supplemental Security Income (SSI) in the gross monthly amount of [REDACTED].
6. The Claimant has monthly shelter expenses of [REDACTED].

7. None of the members of the Claimant's benefit group are under the age of [REDACTED].
8. On May 25, 2012, the Department notified the Claimant that it would reduce her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
9. On May 25, 2012, the Department notified the Claimant that it had denied her application for Family Independence Program (FIP) and Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits.
10. The Department received the Claimant's request for a hearing on June 5, 2012, protesting the decrease of her Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits, and the denial of her application for Family Independence Program (FIP) and Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM), and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3101 through R 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, R 400.3001 through R 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program, which provides financial assistance for disabled persons, is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department of Human Services (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 2000 ACS, R 400.3151 through R 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, R 400.5001 through R 400.5015.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing and reasons stated on the record, the Department has established that it properly determined the Claimant's countable income. The Department established that it properly applied this income towards its determination of her eligibility for the Food Assistance Program (FAP).

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing and reasons stated on the record, the Department has established that it properly denied the Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) benefits because she does not meet the non-financial requirement of caring for a minor child in her household.

Based on the evidence and testimony available during the hearing and reasons stated on the record, enrollment in the Adult Medical Program (AMP) was currently frozen to new enrollments when the Claimant submitted her application. Applications received during the freeze on AMP enrollments must be registered and denied using "applicant did not meet other eligibility requirements" as the denial reason. BEM 640.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly when it reduced the Claimant's Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits and denied the Claimant's application for Family Independence Program (FIP) and Adult Medical Program (AMP) benefits. did not act properly when .

Accordingly, the Department's AMP FIP FAP MA SDA CDC decision is **AFFIRMED** REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

/s/
Kevin Scully
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 11, 2012

Date Mailed: July 11, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or

reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KS/tb

cc:

