

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-56125
Issue No.: 2001
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: August 8, 2012
County: Wayne (82-19)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Kathleen H. Svoboda

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on August 8, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED]

ISSUE

With respect to the Adult Medical Assistance (AMP) Program, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application? close Claimant's case?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for was a recipient of AMP benefits.
2. Claimant was was not living with a spouse during the time period in question.
3. The total countable income of Claimant's household was \$1,015 at all times relevant to this matter.
4. The Department denied Claimant's application closed Claimant's case due to excess income.

5. On May 21, 2012, the Department sent notice of the denial closure to Claimant.
6. On May 29, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the denial of the application. closure of the case.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Adult Medical Program (AMP) is established by 42 USC 1315, and is administered by the Department pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.* Department policies are contained in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

Income eligibility for AMP coverage exists when the AMP group's net income does not exceed the group's AMP income limit. BEM 640. The AMP income limit for Claimant, an individual in an independent living arrangement, is \$316. RFT 236.

In determining Claimant's income eligibility for continued participation in AMP, the Department must consider the gross amount of wages. BEM 501; BEM 530. In this case, Claimant confirmed that the income amount used by the Department to consider his continued eligibility for AMP was correct. Claimant had previously submitted paystubs as part of the determination process. Claimant's income amount was reported as \$1,015. In determining Claimant's net income, the Department must apply a gross earning deduction of \$200 plus an additional deduction totaling 20% of the remaining gross earnings. BEM 640.

Applying this calculation, the Department properly concluded that Claimant had a net income of \$652. Because Claimant's net income of \$652 exceeded the AMP income limit of \$316, the Department acted in accordance with Department policy when it closed Claimant's AMP case.


Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

- properly denied Claimant's application. improperly denied Claimant's application.
 properly closed Claimant's case. improperly closed Claimant's case.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department did act properly. did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's AMP decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.



Kathleen H. Svoboda
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: August 14, 2012

Date Mailed: August 14, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request.

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the mailing of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

KHS/pf

cc:

