

**STATE OF MICHIGAN  
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM  
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909  
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**Docket No.** 2012-51556 HHS  
**Case No.** [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Appellant

\_\_\_\_\_ /

**DECISION AND ORDER**

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and 42 CFR 431.200 *et seq.*, upon the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] represented the Appellant. [REDACTED], the Appellant, appeared and testified. [REDACTED] appeared as witnesses for the Department.

**ISSUE**

Did the Department properly terminate the [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]) case?

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Appellant is a Medicaid beneficiary who had been authorized for [REDACTED]
2. The Appellant has been diagnosed with arthritis, diabetes and hypertension. (Exhibit 1, page 6)
3. The Appellant had been receiving HHS for assistance with the Activity of Daily Living ("ADL") of grooming and the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living ("IADLs") of housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation. (ASW Testimony)
4. The Appellant's niece was his HHS provider. (Exhibit 1, page 13)
5. On [REDACTED] the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed an in-home assessment for a review of the [REDACTED] case. The Appellant's [REDACTED] was also present. The ASW went over the ADLs and

IADLs included in the HHS program. It was reported that the Appellant walked with a cane, was currently independent with grooming and only received some assistance with IADLs. (ASW Testimony and Exhibit 1, page 13)

6. On ██████████, the ██████████ completed a DHS-54A Medical Needs form certifying that the Appellant had a medical need for assistance with meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and housework. The ██████████ noted a cane or scooter for special transportation and indicated the Appellant would be able to work with limitations of leg pain and hip pain. (Exhibit 1, page 6)
7. The Appellant uses a regular style cane. (Appellant Testimony)
8. Based on the available information the ASW concluded that the Appellant did not have a medical need for hands on assistance with any ADL. (ASW Testimony, Exhibit 1, page 14)
9. On ██████████ the Department sent the Appellant an Advance Action Notice which informed him that effective ██████████ his HHS payment would be reduced to \$196.65 based on the assessment determination that he only required assistance with shopping/errands, laundry, housework, and meal preparation. (Exhibit 1, pages 7-9)
10. On ██████████ the Department sent the Appellant an Advance Action Notice which informed him that effective ██████████ his ██████████ case would be terminated based on the new policy which requires the need for hands on services with at least one ADL. (Exhibit 1, pages 10-12)
11. The Department does not intend to implement the reduction to the Appellant's ██████████ case, only the termination based on the new policy. (ASW Testimony)
12. On ██████████, the Appellant's request for hearing was received by the ██████████. (Exhibit 1, pages 4-5)

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

██████████ are provided to enable functionally limited individuals to live independently and receive care in the least restrictive, preferred settings. These activities must be certified by a physician and may be provided by individuals or by private or public agencies.

Adult Services Manual (ASM 120, 11-1- 2011), pages 1-5 of 6 addresses the adult services comprehensive assessment:

## **INTRODUCTION**

The DHS-324, Adult Services Comprehensive Assessment is the primary tool for determining need for services. The comprehensive assessment must be completed on **all open independent living services cases**. ASCAP, the automated workload management system, provides the format for the comprehensive assessment and all information will be entered on the computer program.

## **Requirements**

Requirements for the comprehensive assessment include , but are not limited to:

- A comprehensive assessment will be completed on all new cases.
- A face-to-face contact is required with the client in his/her place of residence.
- The assessment may also include an interview with the individual who will be providing home help services.
- A new face-to-face assessment is required if there is a request for an increase in services before payment is authorized.
- A face-to-face assessment is required on all transfer-in cases before a payment is authorized.
- The assessment must be updated as often as necessary, but minimally at the six-month review and annual redetermination.
- A release of information must be obtained when requesting documentation from confidential sources and/or sharing information from the department record.
  - Use the DHS-26, Authorization to Release Information, when requesting client information from another agency.
  - Use the DHS-1555, Authorization to Release Protected Health Information, if requesting additional medical documentation; see RFF 1555. The form is primarily used for APS cases.
- Follow rules of confidentiality when home help cases have companion APS cases, see SRM 131 Confidentiality.

\*\*\*

## Functional Assessment

The **Functional Assessment** module of the **ASCAP** comprehensive assessment is the basis for service planning and for the HHS payment.

Conduct a functional assessment to determine the client's ability to perform the following activities:

Activities of Daily Living (ADL)

- Eating.
- Toileting.
- Bathing.
- Grooming.
- Dressing.
- Transferring.
- Mobility.

Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL)

- Taking Medication.
- Meal Preparation and Cleanup.
- Shopping.
- Laundry.
- Light Housework.

Functional Scale

ADLs and IADLs are assessed according to the following five-point scale:

1. Independent  
Performs the activity safely with no human assistance.
2. Verbal Assistance  
Performs the activity with verbal assistance such as reminding, guiding or encouraging.
3. Some Human Assistance  
Performs the activity with some direct physical assistance and/or assistive technology.
4. Much Human Assistance  
Performs the activity with a great deal of human assistance and/or assistive technology.
5. Dependent  
Does not perform the activity even with human assistance and/or assistive technology.

HHS payments may only be authorized for needs assessed at the 3 level or greater.

An individual must be assessed with at least one activity of daily living in order to be eligible to receive home help services.

**Note:** If the assessment determines a need for an ADL at a level 3 or greater but these services are not paid for by the department, the individual would be eligible to receive IADL services.

**Example:** Ms. Smith is assessed at a level 4 for bathing however she refuses to receive assistance. Ms. Smith would be eligible to receive assistance with IADLs if the assessment determined a need at a level 3 or greater.

See ASM 121, Functional Assessment Definitions and Ranks for a description of the rankings for activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living.

\*\*\*

### **Time and Task**

The specialist will allocate time for each task assessed a rank of 3 or higher, based on interviews with the client and provider, observation of the client's abilities and use of the reasonable time schedule (RTS) as a **guide**. The RTS can be found in ASCAP under the Payment module, Time and Task screen. When hours exceed the RTS rationale **must** be provided.

An assessment of need, at a ranking of 3 or higher, does not automatically guarantee the maximum allotted time allowed by the reasonable time schedule (RTS). **The specialist must assess each task according to the actual time required for its completion.**

**Example:** A client needs assistance with cutting up food. The specialist would only pay for the time required to cut the food and not the full amount of time allotted under the RTS for eating.

### IADL Maximum Allowable Hours

There are monthly maximum hour limits on all IADLs except medication. The limits are as follows:

- Five hours/month for shopping
- Six hours/month for light housework
- Seven hours/month for laundry
- 25 hours/month for meal preparation

#### Proration of IADLs

If the client does not require the maximum allowable hours for IADLs, authorize only the amount of time needed for each task. Assessed hour for IADLs (except medications) must be prorated by **one half** in shared living arrangements where other adults reside in the home, as home help services are **only** for the benefit of the client.

**Note:** This does not include situations where others live in adjoining apartments/flats or in a separate home on shared property and there is no shared, common living area.

In shared living arrangements, where it can be **clearly** documented that IADLs for the eligible client are completed separately from others in the home, hours for IADLs do not need to be prorated.

**Example:** Client has special dietary needs and meals are prepared separately; client is incontinent of bowel and/or bladder and laundry is completed separately; client's shopping is completed separately due to special dietary needs and food is purchased from specialty stores; etc.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 120, 11-1-2011,  
Pages 1-5 of 6*

Certain services are not covered by ██████████. ASM 101 provides a listing of the services not covered by ██████████.

#### **Services not Covered by Home Help**

Home help services must **not** be approved for the following:

- Supervising, monitoring, reminding, guiding, teaching or encouraging (functional assessment rank 2).
- Services provided for the benefit of others.
- Services for which a responsible relative is **able** and **available** to provide (such as house cleaning, laundry or shopping).
- Services provided by another resource at the same time (for example, hospitalization, MI-Choice Waiver).

██████████  
Docket No. 2012-51556 HHS  
Decision and Order

- Transportation - See Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM) 825 for medical transportation policy and procedures.
- Money management such as power of attorney or representative payee.
- Home delivered meals.
- Adult or child day care.
- Recreational activities . (For example, accompanying and/or transporting to the movies, sporting events etc.)

**Note:** The above list is not all inclusive.

*Adult Services Manual (ASM) 101, 11-1-2011,  
Pages 3-4 of 4.*

The Appellant had been authorized ██████████ for assistance with grooming, housework, laundry, shopping and meal preparation. (ASW Testimony)

On ██████████ the ASW went to the Appellant's home and completed an in-home assessment for a review of the Appellant's ██████████ case. The Appellant's niece was also present. The ASW went over the ADLs and IADLs included in the ██████████ program. It was reported that the Appellant walked with a cane, was currently independent with grooming and only received some assistance with IADLs. The narrative notes clearly indicate the ASW reviewed the prior authorization to see why grooming had been authorized, an old shoulder injury that prevented the Appellant from raising his arms to shave, and verified that he was currently able to lift his hands and complete this task. (ASW Testimony and Exhibit 1, page 13)

The Department also received an ██████████ DHS-54A Medical Needs form from the Appellant's doctor certifying that the Appellant had a medical need for assistance with meal preparation, shopping, laundry, and housework. The ██████████ noted a cane or scooter for special transportation and indicated the Appellant would be able to work with limitations of leg pain and hip pain. (Exhibit 1, page 6)

Based on the information gathered for the review, the ASW determined that the Appellant's ██████████ case must be terminated because he did not need hands on assistance, functional ranking 3 or greater, with at least one ADL. The Department does not intend to implement the reduction in the Appellant's ██████████ case, only the termination based on the new policy. (ASW Testimony)

The Appellant disagrees with the termination and testified that he needs help with getting to the store and other places, housework and laundry. The Appellant explained that he walks because his doctors have told him to walk, but he has trouble, uses a regular style cane, and sometimes he cannot walk. The Appellant stated he is supposed to get a scooter, he gets tired and is in pain all of the time. The Appellant

**Docket No. 2012-51556 HHS**  
**Decision and Order**

reporting having three operations, a bypass and two arm surgeries. (Appellant Testimony) The Request for Hearing states the Appellant needs assistance with the activities listed on the time and task authorization print out, shopping, meal preparation, housework, and laundry. (Exhibit 1, pages 4-5)

The evidence does not establish that the Appellant needed hands on assistance, functional ranking 3 or greater, with at least one ADL. The use of an assistive device for walking would only support a functional ranking of 3 if the individual would require physical assistance without the use of a walker or pronged cane. Adult Services Manual (ASM) 121 11-1-2011, page 3 of 4. The Appellant testified he has a regular style cane, not a pronged cane. The Appellant's reported needs for assistance were with the IADLs of housework, shopping, laundry and meal preparation. Accordingly, the ASW properly applied Adult Services Manual policy and took action to terminate the Appellant's HHS case because the Appellant did not require hands on assistance with at least one ADL based on the available information.

**DECISION AND ORDER**

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds that the Department properly terminated the Appellant's authorization based on the information available at the time of the assessment.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.

---

Colleen Lack  
Administrative Law Judge  
for Olga Dazzo, Director  
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:

[REDACTED]

Date Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Mailed: \_\_\_\_\_

**Docket No. 2012-51556 HHS**  
**Decision and Order**

**\*\*\* NOTICE \*\*\***

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.