

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH**

P.O. Box 30763, Lansing, MI 48909
(877) 833-0870; Fax: (517) 334-9505

IN THE MATTER OF:

**Docket No. 2012-47294 EDW
Case No. [REDACTED]**

[REDACTED]

Appellant

DECISION AND ORDER

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37, following the Appellant's request for a hearing.

After due notice, a hearing was held on [REDACTED]. The Appellant, [REDACTED], was present and testified on his own behalf. [REDACTED], Care Worker, Evergreen Commons, testified on behalf of Appellant. [REDACTED] Social Work Supervisor, appeared on behalf of Senior Resources, Region 14 Area Agency on Aging, the Department's MI Choice Program Waiver Agency (AAA or Waiver Agency). [REDACTED] Case Manager, and [REDACTED] Social Work Care Manager, appeared as witnesses for the Waiver Agency.

ISSUE

Did the Waiver Agency properly determine that the Appellant was not eligible for the MI Choice Waiver program following eligibility assessment?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the competent, material and substantial evidence on the whole record, finds as material fact:

1. The Appellant is a [REDACTED] year old gentleman, born [REDACTED].
2. The Appellant has multiple diagnoses, including ostiogenesis imperfecta, a bone disease. [REDACTED]
3. The Appellant lives in an assisted living facility. Appellant's only informal support is an [REDACTED], who travels often and is seldom able to provide Appellant any assistance. (Testimony)
4. On [REDACTED] the Waiver Agency assessed Appellant in his home for

██████████
Docket No. 2012-47294 EDW
Decision and Order

participation in the MI Choice Waiver Program. ██████████ Case Manager and ██████████, Social Work Care Manager, conducted the assessment. Following the eligibility assessment, Appellant was notified via Adequate Action Notice that he did not meet the eligibility criteria for participation in the MI Choice Waiver program. (Testimony)

5. The Appellant's request for a formal, administrative hearing was received by the Michigan Administrative Hearing System on ██████████. In his request for hearing, Appellant stated, "Part of my disability is a bone problem, ostiogenesis imperfecta, my bones throw away calcium, they are getting soft, I am in a wheelchair, told not to walk or stand any more than I have to." ██████████

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Medical Assistance Program is established pursuant to Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). It is administered in accordance with state statute, the Social Welfare Act, the Administrative Code, and the State Plan under Title XIX of the Social Security Act Medical Assistance Program.

This Appellant is claiming eligibility for services through the Department's Home and Community Based Services for Elderly and Disabled (HCBS/ED). The waiver is called MI Choice in Michigan. The program is funded through the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicare Services to the Michigan Department of Community Health (Department). Regional agencies, in this case, the Region 14 Area Agency on Aging, function as the Department's administrative agency.

Waivers are intended to provide the flexibility needed to enable States to try new or different approaches to the efficient and cost-effective delivery of health care services, or to adapt their programs to the special needs of particular areas or groups of recipients. Waivers allow exceptions to State plan requirements and permit a State to implement innovative programs or activities on a time-limited basis, and subject to specific safeguards for the protection of recipients and the program. Detailed rules for waivers are set forth in subpart B of part 431, subpart A of part 440 and subpart G of part 441 of this chapter.
42 CFR 430.25(b)

1915(c) (42 USC 1396n (c) allows home and community based services to be classified as "medical assistance" under the State Plan when furnished to recipients who would otherwise need inpatient care that is furnished in a hospital SNF, ICF or ICF/MR and is reimbursable under the State Plan. (42 CFR 430.25(b))

██████████
Docket No. 2012-47294 EDW
Decision and Order

Effective ██████████, the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) implemented revised functional/medical eligibility criteria for Medicaid nursing facility, MI Choice, and PACE services. Federal regulations require that Medicaid pay for services only for those beneficiaries who meet specified level of care criteria.

Section 4.1 of the Medicaid Provider Manual Nursing Facilities Section references the use of an online Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination tool (*Michigan Medicaid Nursing Facility Level of Care Determination, March 7, 2005, Pages 1 – 9 or LOC*). The LOC must be completed for all Medicaid-reimbursed admissions to nursing facilities or enrollments in MI Choice or PACE on and after ██████████.

The Level of Care Assessment Tool consists of seven-service entry Doors. The Doors are: Activities of Daily Living, Cognition, Physician Involvement, Treatments and Conditions, Skilled Rehabilitative Therapies, Behavior, or Service Dependency. In order to be found eligible for MI Choice Waiver services, the Appellant must meet the requirements of at least one Door. The Department presented testimony and documentary evidence that the Appellant did not meet any of the criteria for Doors 1 through 7.

Door 1
Activities of Daily Living (ADLs)

Scoring Door 1: The applicant must score at least six points to qualify under Door 1.

(A) Bed Mobility, (B) Transfers, and (C) Toilet Use:

- Independent or Supervision = 1
- Limited Assistance = 3
- Extensive Assistance or Total Dependence = 4
- Activity Did Not Occur = 8

(D) Eating:

- Independent or Supervision = 1
- Limited Assistance = 2
- Extensive Assistance or Total Dependence = 3
- Activity Did Not Occur = 8

The Appellant reported no limitations with activities of daily living. ██████████ asked Appellant to demonstrate how he completes transfers, and Appellant was able to transfer from the sofa to his wheel chair without assistance. Appellant also reported that he was independent with bed mobility and toilet use. As such, the Appellant does not qualify under Door 1.

Door 2
Cognitive Performance

Scoring Door 2: The applicant must score under one of the following three options to qualify under Door 2.

1. "Severely Impaired" in Decision Making.
2. "Yes" for Memory Problem, and Decision Making is "Moderately Impaired" or "Severely Impaired."
3. "Yes" for Memory Problem, and Making Self Understood is "Sometimes Understood" or "Rarely/Never Understood."

[REDACTED], Social Work Care Manager, testified that Appellant did have a short term memory problem but that Appellant was very independent with decision making and had no problems making himself understood. As such, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 2.

Door 3
Physician Involvement

Scoring Door 3: The applicant must meet either of the following to qualify under Door 3

1. At least one Physician Visit exam AND at least four Physicians Order changes in the last 14 days, OR
2. At least two Physician Visit exams AND at least two Physicians Order changes in the last 14 days.

The Appellant reported no physician's visit within the 14-day period leading up to the LOC Determination. As such, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 3.

Door 4
Treatments and Conditions

Scoring Door 4: The applicant must score "yes" in at least one of the nine categories above and have a continuing need to qualify under Door 4.

In order to qualify under Door 4 the applicant must receive, within 14 days of the assessment date, any of the following health treatments or demonstrated any of the following health conditions:

- A. Stage 3-4 pressure sores
- B. Intravenous or parenteral feedings
- C. Intravenous medications
- D. End-stage care
- E. Daily tracheostomy care, daily respiratory care, daily suctioning
- F. Pneumonia within the last 14 days
- G. Daily oxygen therapy

Docket No. 2012-47294 EDW
Decision and Order

- H. Daily insulin with two order changes in last 14 days
- I. Peritoneal or hemodialysis

No evidence was presented indicating that the Appellant had met any of the criteria listed for Door 4 at the time of the LOC Determination. Accordingly, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 4.

Door 5
Skilled Rehabilitation Therapies

Scoring Door 5: The applicant must have required at least 45 minutes of active ST, OT or PT (scheduled or delivered) in the last 7 days and continues to require skilled rehabilitation therapies to qualify under Door 5.

No evidence was presented indicating that the Appellant has ever received speech, physical, or occupational therapy. Accordingly, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 5.

Door 6
Behavior

Scoring Door 6: The applicant must score under one of the following 2 options to qualify under Door 6.

1. A "Yes" for either delusions or hallucinations within the last 7 days.
2. The applicant must have exhibited any one of the following behaviors for at least 4 of the last 7 days (including daily):
Wandering, Verbally Abusive, Physically Abusive, Socially Inappropriate/Disruptive, or Resisted Care.

No evidence was presented indicating that the Appellant had any delusions, hallucinations, or any of the specified behaviors within seven days of the LOC Determination. Accordingly, the Appellant did not qualify under Door 6.

Door 7
Service Dependency

Scoring Door 7: The applicant must be a current participant and demonstrate service dependency under Door 7.

The LOC Determination provides that the Appellant could qualify under Door 7 if he is currently (and has been a participant for at least one (1) year) being served by either the MI Choice Program, PACE program, or Medicaid reimbursed nursing facility, requires ongoing services to maintain current functional status, and no other community, residential, or informal services are available to meet the applicant's needs.

Docket No. 2012-47294 EDW
Decision and Order

Here, Appellant is not currently a participant in the MI Choice Program, PACE program, or residing in a Medicaid reimbursed nursing facility; he does not require ongoing services to maintain current functional status; and there are other community, residential, or informal services available to meet the applicant's needs. For example, Appellant recently received an increase in the amount of Adult Home Help (AHH) he is receiving through DHS and he is scheduled to obtain additional AHH for assistance with bathing once the provider has a male caregiver available to provide the assistance.


Based on the information at the time of the LOC determination, the Appellant did not meet the Medicaid nursing facility level of care criteria. While Appellant may be at risk for falling because of his diagnosis, this alone does not qualify him for MI Choice Waiver services. This does not imply that the Appellant does not need any assistance, only that he is not eligible to receive ongoing services through the MI Choice Waiver. Accordingly, the Waiver Agency properly determined that the Appellant was not eligible for MI Choice Waiver services.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based on the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, finds the Waiver Agency properly determined that the Appellant was not eligible for MI Choice Waiver services.


IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

The Department's decision is AFFIRMED.



Robert J. Meade
Administrative Law Judge
for Olga Dazzo, Director
Michigan Department of Community Health

cc:



Date Mailed: 5/2/2012

Bolles, Ronald
Docket No. 2012-47294 EDW
Decision and Order

***** NOTICE *****

The Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health may order a rehearing on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. The Michigan Administrative Hearing System for the Department of Community Health will not order a rehearing on the Department's motion where the final decision or rehearing cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. The Appellant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt of the rehearing decision.