

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:



Reg. No.: 2012 38218
Issue No.: 3008, 1005
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: April 5, 2012
County: Wayne County DHS 31

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lynn M. Ferris

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was held on April 5, 2012, from Detroit, Michigan. Participants on behalf of Claimant included the Claimant. Participants on behalf of the Department of Human Services (Department) included [REDACTED], Manager and [REDACTED], FIS Worker.

ISSUE

Due to a failure to comply with the verification requirements, did the Department properly deny Claimant's application close Claimant's case reduce Claimant's benefits for:

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Family Independence Program (FIP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> State Disability Assistance (SDA)? |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food Assistance Program (FAP)? | <input type="checkbox"/> Child Development and Care (CDC)? |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Medical Assistance (MA)? | |

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. Claimant applied for was receiving: FIP FAP MA SDA CDC
2. Claimant was required to submit requested verification by 1/30/12 and 3/5/12.
3. On February 1, 2012 (FIP cash assistance) and March 1, 2012 (FAP food assistance) , the Department

- denied Claimant's application
- closed Claimant's case
- reduced Claimant's benefits

On February 18, 2012 the owner of the house where the Claimant lived advised the Department that the Claimant no longer lived at [REDACTED].

The Department called the Claimant on February 19, 2012 and advised that a new address must be provided so that the Department could determine where the Claimant was living. The Department suggested that the Claimant come in with her former landlord so that verification of her address could be made. The Claimant told the Department she still resided at the [REDACTED] address.

The Department sent two verifications to the Claimant at the [REDACTED] address so that it could verify her whereabouts and receive updated shelter information.

The verifications were not responded to by the Claimant. Exhibits 1 and 2.

The Claimant submitted a several documents at the hearing including a letter from Coley Cole, a voter registration card for the [REDACTED] address and a school registration for her daughter. Claimant Exhibit 1.

4. On February 23, 2012, the Department sent notice of the
 - denial of Claimant's application.
 - closure of Claimant's case.
 - reduction of Claimant's benefits.
5. On March 2, 2012, Claimant filed a hearing request, protesting the
 - denial. closure. reduction of Claimant's FAP benefits.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual (BAM), the Bridges Eligibility Manual (BEM) and the Reference Tables Manual (RFT).

The Family Independence Program (FIP) was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACRS R 400.3101-3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children (ADC) program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program (FAP) [formerly known as the Food Stamp (FS) program] is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence

Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1997 AACS R 400.3001-3015

The Medical Assistance (MA) program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance (SDA) program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and 1998-2000 AACS R 400.3151-400.3180.

The Child Development and Care (CDC) program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and 1997 AACS R 400.5001-5015.

Additionally, the Department in accordance with Department policy BAM 130 correctly sought to verify and locate the Claimant so it could determine the Claimant's current address. The Department sent several requests for verification to the [REDACTED] address, addressed to the Claimant which were not responded to by the Claimant. The Claimant testified that she did not receive the verifications. The Department also contacted the Claimant advising her that there was an issue with determining her current address, as the owner of the residence where she claimed to be living signed a statement that she was no longer living there. Exhibit 4.

The proper mailing and addressing of a letter creates a presumption of receipt. That presumption may be rebutted by evidence. *Stacey v Sankovich*, 19 Mich App 638 (1969); *Good v Detroit Automobile Inter-Insurance Exchange*, 67 Mich App 270 (1976). In this case the Claimant did not rebut the presumption that she did not receive the verifications. Thus it is determined that the verification requests were received and the Claimant failed to submit the verification timely.

The Department correctly closed the Claimant's FIP and FAP case when she did not respond to the verification requests, nor did she appear with the owner or the house or appear herself in person to address the issue of where she was living. Further, the Claimant's evidence of school attendance by her daughter and a voter registration card do not serve to establish where the Claimant was living on February 18, 2012 (which is the period in question). Claimant Exhibit 1. Based upon the foregoing evidence and testimony of the parties, it is determined that the Department correctly closed Claimant's case for FIP and FAP as she failed to cooperate and did not respond to the verifications sent to her at the address where she claimed to be living. BAM 130.

Based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, the Administrative Law Judge concludes that the Department

properly improperly

closed Claimant's case

denied Claimant's application

reduced Claimant's benefits


DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department

did act properly did not act properly.

Accordingly, the Department's decision is AFFIRMED REVERSED for the reasons stated on the record.

THE DEPARTMENT IS ORDERED TO DO THE FOLLOWING WITHIN 10 DAYS OF THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS DECISION AND ORDER:



Lynn M. Ferris
Administrative Law Judge
for Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: April 6, 2012

Date Mailed: April 6, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases).

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:

201238218/LMF

- misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
- typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
- the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail to:

Michigan Administrative hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

LMF/hw

cc:

