

**STATE OF MICHIGAN
MICHIGAN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING SYSTEM
ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS FOR THE
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES**

IN THE MATTER OF:

[REDACTED]

Reg. No.: 2012-37240
Issue No.: 2006, 4003
Case No.: [REDACTED]
Hearing Date: June 27, 2012
County: Wayne (15)

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Colleen M. Mamelka

HEARING DECISION

This matter is before the undersigned Administrative Law Judge pursuant to MCL 400.9 and MCL 400.37 following Claimant's request for a hearing. After due notice, a telephone hearing was conducted from Detroit, Michigan on Wednesday, June 27, 2012. The Claimant appeared and testified. Participating on behalf of Department of Human Services ("Department") was [REDACTED].

ISSUE

Whether the Department properly terminated the Medical Assistance ("MA-P") and State Disability Assistance ("SDA") benefits effective March 1, 2012?

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the competent, material, and substantial evidence on the whole record, including testimony of witnesses, finds as material fact:

1. The Claimant was a MA-P and SDA recipient.
2. On January 17, 2012, the Department sent a Redetermination packet to the Claimant requesting it be returned by February 1, 2012. (Exhibit 1, pp. 1 – 5)
3. The Department did not receive the Redetermination.
4. On February 1, 2012, the Department sent a Notice of Case Action to the Claimant informing her that her MA-P and SDA benefits would terminate effective March 1, 2012 based on the failure to complete the Redetermination process. (Exhibit 1, pp. 6 – 12)
5. On February 29, 2012, the Department received the Claimant's written request for hearing. (Exhibit 2)

6. The Claimant's MA-P and SDA benefits terminated effective March 1, 2012.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Department policies are found in the Bridges Administrative Manual ("BAM"), the Bridges Eligibility Manual ("BEM") and the Reference Tables Manual ("RFT").

The Family Independence Program ("FIP") was established pursuant to the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, Public Law 104-193, 42 USC 601, *et seq.* The Department, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers FIP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3101 through Rule 400.3131. FIP replaced the Aid to Dependent Children program effective October 1, 1996.

The Food Assistance Program ("FAP"), formerly known as the Food Stamp program, is established by the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, and is implemented by the federal regulations contained in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Department (formerly known as the Family Independence Agency) administers FAP pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3001 through Rule 400.3015.

The Medical Assistance ("MA") program is established by the Title XIX of the Social Security Act and is implemented by Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. The Department, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the MA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and MCL 400.105.

The State Disability Assistance ("SDA") program which provides financial assistance for disabled persons is established by 2004 PA 344. The Department, formerly known as the Family Independence Agency, administers the SDA program pursuant to MCL 400.10, *et seq.*, and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.3151 through Rule 400.3180.

The Child Development and Care ("CDC") program is established by Titles IVA, IVE and XX of the Social Security Act, the Child Care and Development Block Grant of 1990, and the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. The program is implemented by Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 98 and 99. The Department provides services to adults and children pursuant to MCL 400.14(1) and Mich Admin Code, Rules 400.5001 through Rule 400.5015.

Clients must cooperate with the local office in determining initial and ongoing eligibility to include the completion of the necessary forms. BAM 105. Verification means documentation or other evidence to establish the accuracy of the client's verbal or written statements. BAM 130. For MA and SDA purposes, benefits stop at the end of the benefit period **unless** a redetermination is completed **and** a new benefit period is certified. BAM 210. If the client does not complete the redetermination process, benefits expire at the end of the benefit period. BAM 210.

In this case, the Department sent a Redetermination packet, regarding the Claimant's MA-P and SDA benefits, to the Claimant requesting the Redetermination be submitted by February 1, 2012. The Department did not receive the Redetermination and denied the MA-P and SDA benefits for closure effective March 1, 2012. The Claimant testified that she submitted the Redetermination of February 1, 2012 and that she had signed the sign in log. During the hearing and as requested, the Department pulled the February 1, 2012 sign in sheets. After review of the 11 pages, it was confirmed that the Claimant's signature was not on the logs. In light of the foregoing, the Claimant was asked if she was sure about the February 1st date to which the Claimant responded that she was not mistaken. Ultimately, under the facts provided, the Department established that it acted in accordance with Department policy when it terminated the Claimant's MA-P and SDA benefits based on the failure to complete the Redetermination process. Accordingly, the Department's determination is AFFIRMED.

DECISION AND ORDER

The Administrative Law Judge, based upon the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and for the reasons stated on the record, finds that the Department acted in accordance with policy when it terminated the Claimant's MA-P and SDA benefits effective March 1, 2012 based on the failure to complete the Redetermination.

Accordingly, the Department's actions are AFFIRMED.

Colleen M. Mamelka

Colleen M. Mamelka
Administrative Law Judge
For Maura Corrigan, Director
Department of Human Services

Date Signed: July 6, 2012

Date Mailed: July 6, 2012

NOTICE: Michigan Administrative Hearing System (MAHS) may order a rehearing or reconsideration on either its own motion or at the request of a party within 30 days of the mailing date of this Decision and Order. MAHS will not order a rehearing or reconsideration on the Department's motion where the final decision cannot be implemented within 90 days of the filing of the original request. (60 days for FAP cases)

The Claimant may appeal the Decision and Order to Circuit Court within 30 days of the receipt of the Decision and Order or, if a timely request for rehearing was made, within 30 days of the receipt date of the rehearing decision.

Claimant may request a rehearing or reconsideration for the following reasons:

- A rehearing **MAY** be granted if there is newly discovered evidence that could affect the outcome of the original hearing decision.
- A reconsideration **MAY** be granted for any of the following reasons:
 - misapplication of manual policy or law in the hearing decision,
 - typographical errors, mathematical error, or other obvious errors in the hearing decision that effect the substantial rights of the claimant:
 - the failure of the ALJ to address other relevant issues in the hearing decision.

Request must be submitted through the local DHS office or directly to MAHS by mail at
Michigan Administrative Hearings
Reconsideration/Rehearing Request
P. O. Box 30639
Lansing, Michigan 48909-07322

CMM/cl

cc:

